

Idaho National Engineering and Environmental Laboratory

DOE/DNFSB Interface Workshop Briefing

DOE Workshop
Maintaining and Improving
Established Integrated
Safety Management
Systems
August 27-28, 2002 at INEEL

T. D. Lee

Performance Assurance Director October 22, 2002



### Workshop Format and Content

- General Session
  - Purpose
  - Requirements
  - Guidance
  - Expectations
  - Experience
- Breakout Sessions
  - DOE Oversight and Annual Reviews
  - Contractor Self-Assessments and Annual Evaluations
  - Updates to ISMS Descriptions and Safety Performance Objectives, Measures, and Commitments
- Closing Session
  - Best Practices
  - Issues
  - Recommendations



#### **Best Practices**

- Measures and indicators of DOE performance (OAK)
- Robustness of field office oversight processes (SRO)
- DOE field office information tracking, trending, and reporting systems (ID,OAK)
- Promoting performance based assessments (RL/PNNL, OAK/LBNL)
- Integrated closure of corrective actions and findings (RF/RFETS)
- Implementation of DOE Policy 450.5 to reduce DOE oversight (OAK/LBNL)
- Senior management involvement (SRS, Y-12, RFETS, INEEL)



#### Best Practices (cont'd)

- Partnering for common expectations and coordinated reviews (OAK/LBNL, RL/PNNL, ID/INEEL, YSO/Y-12, SRO/SRS, RF/RFETS)
- Continuous evaluation processes (RFETS, Y-12, INEEL, Fluor-Hanford)
- Real time feedback (RFETS, INEEL)
- Focus on small number of issues (RFETS, Fluor-Hanford)
- Formal, rigorous, annual, integrated evaluation of ISMS effectiveness (INEEL, SRS, Y-12)
- ISMS, VPP, and ISO 14001 EMS Integration(INEEL, Fluor-Hanford, SPRO)
- Human performance management (SRS, SPRO, INEEL, CH₂M Hill-Hanford)



#### Issues (Preliminary)

Requirements, guidance, intent, and expectations: not clear, not integrated, not understood, and not fully implemented/achieved

- Maintenance of ISMS description documents
- Content of safety performance, objectives, measures, and commitments
- Use of DOE budget guidance and direction
- Identification of minimal system performance requirements (CPOF)
- Identification of measures of system effectiveness
- Completion of FRAMs
- Use of Guide 450.4-1B
- Scope and formality of DOE annual reviews
- Scope and formality of contractor annual evaluations



#### Recommendations (Preliminary)

- Revise ISMS clause
  - Add requirement for annual evaluations
  - Delete reference to DOE budget guidance and direction
  - Add requirement for maintenance of ISMS description using NRC change process
  - Add requirement to fully describe ISMS maintenance and improvement processes in system description document
- Revise CPOF clause
  - Delete requirement for ISMS minimal performance requirements
  - Add (if necessary) penalties for not complying with ISMS clause
- Revise DOE budget guidance and direction
  - Delete guidance and direction for annual updates of safety performance objectives, measures, and commitments
  - Delete requirements for submittal and revision of related documents



#### Recommendations (Cont'd)

- Complete/revise DOE FRAMs
  - Ensure clarity and consistency of requirements
  - Define and exemplify the scope, rigor, and formality of DOE annual reviews
- Revise DOE Guide 450.4-1B-Chapter 4
  - Define and exemplify safety performance, objectives, measures, and commitments
  - Define and exemplify measures of system effectiveness
  - Define (clarify) and exemplify the scope, rigor, and formality of contractor annual evaluations
  - Include results of above changes
  - Recommend schedules for completion of activities
- Issue instructions/expectations to all DOE/NNSA headquarters and field elements and contractors to implement the FRAMS and DOE Guide 450.4-1B - Chapter 4



#### Recommendations (Cont'd)

- Hold an in-depth training workshop on ISMS maintenance and improvement using the revised requirements and guidance documents
- Establish a plan and schedule for full implementation and verification of ISMS maintenance and improvement processes including dates for:
  - Modification of all contractor and DOE field element ISMS description documents
  - Review of all contractor documents by field elements
  - Review of all contractor and field element documents by headquarters elements
  - Full implementation of processes
  - Verification of implementation by DOE headquarters led teams using Phase I and II ISMS verification processes
- Schedule and perform rigorous annual reviews by headquarters led teams at each site until the reviews at that site indicate full implementation of ISMS including maintenance and improvement processes



# Workshop Report

Executive Summary Workshop Summary

- 1. Background and Introduction
- 2. Requirements and Guidance
- 3. Intent and Expectations
- 4. Current Processes and Best Practices
- 5. Issues and Recommendations
- 6. Conclusions and Path Forward