ORPS Redesign

- History
 - ➤ Reyes Report Opportunities for Improvement: A Review of Safety Management at the Department of Energy
 - Executive Safety Conference December 2001
 - ➤ Issues eliminate nuisance and non-value added reporting, add graded approach including Short Form
- Redesign led by EH-3 along with:
 - Leadershi p Team composed of PSO and Field managers
 - ➤ Working Group composed of ~55 HQ & Field representatives (both DOE and contractor)
- Developed new Model and Criteria for occurrence reporting – Emergency & SC 1-4 w/graded approach for resulting actions

OCCURRENCE REPORTING MODEL

Classification	Timelines ¹	Verbal Notification	Investigation	Problem Analysis	Corrective Actions	Report Approvals	Corrective Action Closures	Corrective Action Effectiveness	Lessons Learned ³
Emergencies	Operational Emergencies and Emergencies are defined by DOE O 151.1A. The initial notifications are defined by DOE O 151.1A. The Significance Category in the written reports is coded as E (for Emergency) and the occurrence is processed using the highest Significance Category of the appropriate reporting criteria.								
Significance Category 1 Reportable Occurrence	Cat: NLT 2 hrs VN: NLT 2 hrs VN: COB next business day not to exceed 80 hrs UR: As needed FR: 45 days	DOE Field Office & DOE Headquarters	Team with Trained Investigator Lead	Root Cause Determined	Remedy Problem, Prevent Recurrence, &Preclude Similar Problems	DOE Field Office & DOE Headquarters Approval	Contractor Document & Independently Verify	Contractor Assess Effectiveness to Prevent Recurrence	Enter into DOE LL Database & Coverage in OE Summary. DOE Consider Accident Investigation
Significance Category 2R. Includes Recurring Category 1, 2, 3, and/or 4 Reportable Occurrences	Cat: NLT 2 hrs VN: NLT 2 hrs WN: COB next business day UR: As needed FR: 45 days	DOE Field Office (DOE HQ at Field Office Discretion)	Trained Investigator	Root Cause Determined	Remedy Problem, Prevent Recurrence, &Preclude Similar Problems	DOE Field Office Approval	Contractor Document & Independently Verify	Contractor Assess Effectiveness to Prevent Recurrence	Enter into DOE LL Database & Optional Coverage in OE Summary
Significance Category 2 Single Reportable Occurrence	Cat: NLT 2 hrs VN: NLT 2 hrs WN: COB next business day UR: As needed FR: 45 days	DOE Field Office (DOE HQ at Field Office Discretion) ²	Trained Investigator	Cause Determined (Level C)	Remedy Problem & Prevent Recurrence	DOE Field Office Approval	Document & Verify by Sampling	Optional	Enter into DOE LL Database & Optional Coverage in OE Summary
Significance Category 3 Reportable Occurrence	Cat: NLT 2 hrs VN: COB next business day WN: NLT 5 business days FR: 45 days	DOE Field Office (DOE HQ) ²	Conduct of Operations Critique	Cause Determined (Level C)	Remedy Problem	Contractor Approval	Document (Verification Optional)	Optional	Per Site Specific Process
Significance Category 4 Reportable Occurrence	Cat: NLT 2 hrs VN: COB next business day Short Form Report: NLT 5 business days	(DOE HQ) ²		No reportii	ng of causal analysis	or lessons learned in	ORPS. Corrective	actions are optional.	

¹ Cat: Categorization Time from Discovery Date and Time VN: Verbal Notification from Categorization Date and Time WN: Written Notification from Categorization Date and Time UR: Update Report FR: Final Report from Categorization Date and Time

³ LL: Lessons Learned OE: Operating Experience

NLT: No Later Than COB: Close of Business

 $^{^2}$ Specific Significance Category 2, 3, and 4 Criteria also require Verbal Notification to the HQ EOC.

Changes to ORPS Criteria

- Reduced "nuisance reporting" e.g., contamination thresholds raised; eliminated value-based, procedure violations with limited safety significance, and safeguards & security
- Updated reporting to current regulations
- Better balanced risk significance between groups to optimize graded approach
- Added some new criteria serious injuries; hazardous energy control; reporting trends
- Added flag for "Potentially Higher Significance"
- Developed new Causal Analysis Tree, incorporating ISM

Back-fit Analysis

- Performed Back-fit Analysis to quantify changes & identify issues.
 - ≥ 20 sites responded, representing all PSOs
 - ➤ Average response indicated 25% less reporting required
 - Expected Reporting Breakdown under Proposed Criteria –

```
SC 1 - 3%; SC 2 - 16%; SC 3 - 36%; SC 4 - 46% (Short Form)
```

- Now resolving issues identified in Back-fit Analysis
- Developing Implementation Process

Path Forward

- Briefings Stakeholder, EFCOG, DNFSB
- Documents
 - Revise Order and Manual
 - > Create Guidance Documents
- Training Develop tools, CBT, Training Sessions
- Software Define Business Rules, Develop Software, Alpha/Beta Test, Document
- Implement across DOE Complex Spring 2003