1	saying is, you recognize the need to do your job
2	properly, that you need to know what's going on a
3	daily basis at the Site
4	MR. LOCKHART: Yes, sir.
5	CHAIRMAN CONWAY: and that includes
6	and it requires then your Facility Reps actually
7	entering the buildings and knowing what's going on.
8	MR. LOCKHART: Absolutely.
9	CHAIRMAN CONWAY: Okay, and as I say,
10	you're not discouraging them, you're encouraging them
11	now.
12	MR. LOCKHART: I will be encouraging them
13	strongly, and I will be leading by example. I'm
14	going to be there myself.
15	CHAIRMAN CONWAY: As I said, our review
16	out there at the Site indicated that DOE
17	representation in the buildings had fallen back
18	dramatically. They were not having a presence.
19	MR. LOCKHART: Yes.
20	CHAIRMAN CONWAY: Mr. Parker?
21	MR. PARKER: Mr. Chairman. I am going to
22	follow the exactly as Mr. Lockhart did, is we'll go
23	straight down the lines of inquiry, and answer those
24	questions for you. Related to self-assessment and
25	assurance resources, Kaiser-Hill deploys a broad

array of tools and types of assessments. Within the self-assessment, we have the project program, we have an internal independent assessment, and then also we have a very focused safety management assessment. We also deploy external independent assessments and where we'll bring in somebody like Jim Taylor from NRC to take a look at certain areas that we've targeted. We use an INPO-based safety assessment center, and a variety of other activities like that. Next slide please.

expectations for Rocky Flats and MvKaiser-Hill is safe work, and if it isn't safe, I absolutely do not want it going on. Adherence to applicable requirements, achievement of all of our performance objectives, and we do have goals of zero, and while I won't take any bets that we're going to get there, those remain our goals, and we look for We implement and we look to implement best practices, and environment of management an continuous improvement.

Our assurance program structure is really broken into three key areas. Reporting to the senior site management is on the left side a project self-assessment, which is the line organization, and within that line organization are what we believe the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

personnel requirement highest standards οf expertise in nuclear safety, and we have not yet transitioned out of that need as demonstrated in many In the center of our organization is our safety and quality program, and that really is a -that's where our independent assessment comes from, and typically our independent assessment organization has drawn on NRC and other types of background and, know, regional administrator level, again, you looking for the highest standards that we can deploy On the top of the organization, you see an there. entity called Joint Company Union Safety Committee where our union members are also and our building trade members are very involved with our assessment as well. Next slide please.

I'll go through these relatively guickly. We have a series of implementing directives that drive project self-assessment so we take the orders, proceduralize those, then roll them into and implementation program at the Site, and the ongoing self-assessments continuing provide basis, а verifying desired standards of performance are being achieved. Next slide please.

Our independent assessment program is driven through our procedure 003, and it formally

1

2

3

4

5

б

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

conducts and documents evaluations performed by qualified individuals, groups, or organizations that are not part of the line entity. It measures items and service quality, and adequacy of the work performance, and planning and scheduling assessment activities are on a schedule basis, and we use an annual, periodic, conditional, and management directed approach. Next slide please.

I mentioned that on a quarterly basis our SMPs [Safety Management Program] are reviewed in detail, all 17 of them, and that's an ongoing and formal review that's conducted with the DOE so that we track and trend performance in each of those I mentioned our SAC [Safety Analysis Center] arenas. This is an event or activity where on a center. daily basis the senior line managers, their safety manager, and my senior management, get together and review the events of the day, they're categorized from one, which would be extremely minor, all the way to a five, which would e very, very significant event, we track and trend that data. Next slide please.

The frequency of our self-assessments was a line of inquiry. We -- as I said, we conduct them annually, quarterly, monthly. We've completed over

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

600 discreet formal assessments, 26 were independent, and one was joint with RFFO [Rocky Flats Field The line organization has conducted 611, Officel. 108 corrective actions were identified and entered into the tracking system. Using our SAC system, we have tracked 1700 events, and we also use leading indicator program where through walking around in the field, we use a card system that we roll up every day, where we're looking for good and bad observations. Next slide, please.

The scope of the assessment topics under conduct of ops, work control, and document management conducted, can see, 134 and six we as you independent. In the area of criticality and safety, and nuclear and safety, 43 and eight, and I won't go through those, but we have taken a broad range of assessment activities in that area.

You asked a question about implementation of 226.1. We believe that at Rocky Flats there are not a lot of things needed to implement this activity, and that we retain the technical assets and resources available now or on demand to implement this, and one of the things that we have the ability to do is to go outside and acquire assets, safety assets, technical assets, as we see needed to do

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

this. Implementing approach would be just contract direction, and we would be ready to implement within six months.

Slide 12 is just additional detail on what would be needed to fully comply with that draft quidance.

Τ wanted to aet to our technical have 170 safety, technical and We assessment support people at the site, and within the within the line independent \_\_\_ and those are organization, and then within the safety and central program we have 30. This is dedicated independent assessment staff, with formal qualification process, are matrixed as needed. This year our safety staff in the area of industrial hygiene and occupational actually increased because of the safetv has additional decommissioning wiring-type activity and elevated surface work. There are no constraints on safety resources that we need to acquire for Site, and it fully supports implementation of our program.

You questioned the corrective action program. That is also governed by formal manuals at the site, and we basically use a causal analysis manual. We have a corrective action process, Price-

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Anderson Program Manual, and the self-assessment program feeds directly into our corrective action program, and it's entered into PASS [Price-Anderson Screening System], and I've just taken during Fiscal Year '03 the various tracking areas, how many were entered, and how many were closed. As you can see, for example, under the PASS system, we had some things that were delinquent from being closed before we accelerated that, and we're able to accomplish more than what we had entered in.

High significant safety issue receive formal causal analysis. We use, at a minimum, five different approaches, barrier analysis, all the way through Phoenix, and the Site, one of your last questions was, is the Site's corrective action program, if it's undergone several significant revisions, you know, what's its status, and we believe it is mature, but we also recognize that continuous improvement and vigilance are required, and need increased we focus to screening οf corrective actions that can prevent reoccurrence at Rocky Flats. Thank you very much.

CHAIRMAN CONWAY: Dr. Eggenberger?

VICE CHAIRMAN EGGENBERGER: Yes, I have a question. With respect to self-assessments, I assume

## NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Τ	that you're looking at work planning
2	MR. PARKER: Yes, sir.
3	VICE CHAIRMAN EGGENBERGER: and on a
4	self-assessing basis, and other. How is that going
5	and how much longer will that effort be continued?
6	MR. PARKER: I believe that we are nearly
7	complete with that assessment, and in fact, I sat
8	through a debrief approximately a week and a half ago
9	where we got direct feedback on ongoing problems with
10	the use of a standard work package, as opposed to one
11	that is specifically designed for the work and
12	hazards that are unique to decommissioning. So we're
13	prepared to brief you on that and will be briefing
14	I think you
15	VICE CHAIRMAN EGGENBERGER: Yeah, I was
16	going to say, has DOE been debriefed?
17	MR. PARKER: I know that they've heard
18	the first phase of this, absolutely.
19	VICE CHAIRMAN EGGENBERGER: Oh, okay.
20	MR. LOCKHART: We haven't gotten the
21	formal debrief. I believe that's being scheduled.
22	We're expecting that.
23	VICE CHAIRMAN EGGENBERGER: Okay. Yeah,
24	we'd like to hear that. Thank you.
25	CHAIRMAN CONWAY: Dr. Mansfield?

1	DR. MANSFIELD: No, nothing.
2	CHAIRMAN CONWAY: Dr. Matthews?
3	DR. MATTHEWS: You had a couple of fairly
4	significant incidents in the last year, the defacing
5	of filters and the fire, and I was curious what
6	lessons learned did you take back from those two
7	incidents, and how have you applied those?
8	MR. PARKER: Related to the fire, I
9	believe that there were many indicators and flashing
1.0	lights that were telling us, and clearly one of the
1.1	root causes of the fire, and what was related at work
12	planning, there were some flashing lights that were
13	going off well in advance that told us that we were
14	using a work package that wasn't going to work for
15	these kind of unique, even though a glove box looks
16	like a glove box, looks like a glove box, and we
17	picked what we thought was the simplest glove box at
18	Rocky Flats, and we know what the outcome is, so the
19	I believe that any time you ignore your indicators
20	you're going to get in trouble. That would be a
21	great lesson learned for everybody in this room.
22	DR. MATTHEWS: But my question is, how
23	have you applied those lessons learned at Rocky Flats
24	to prevent it happening again?

MR. PARKER:

25

We have largely discontinued

Τ	The use of what we call a standard work package, and
2	are going to a unique work package for every
3	situation that we're going to encounter.
4	DR. MATTHEWS: I do have one more
5	question. Mr. Lockhart talked about by April the
6	criticality hazard should be eliminated from your
7	Site. So I was wondering what remaining nuclear
8	hazards you have, and when will they be eliminated?
9	MR. PARKER: We basically have hold-up,
10	minor amounts of hold-up, in 707, and in 371. Those
11	are largely either contained in one remaining
12	hydroform press in 707 and some ducts and a glove box
13	in 371. We are removing that hold-up as we speak,
14	and we believe that the significant hold-up that
15	would lead in to a criticality will be eliminated
16	from the Site in March of next year.
17	DR. MATTHEWS: March of `04?
18	MR. PARKER: Yes, sir.
19	DR. MATTHEWS: Okay, but what about other
20	contaminations and other potential radioactive
21	related type
22	MR. PARKER: Oh, I'm sorry. Well,
23	radiological hazards are going to continue to be a
24	problem at Rocky Flats. Certainly we've had 18 skin
25	contaminations at the Site, and so that's going to be

1	an ongoing issue, and something we're not going to be
2	able to move on past until the radiological issues
3	are gone, and then I would say waste management,
4	efficient management of our waste operations, we
5	still have about a year of trans-uranic shipping to
6	do. We also have low-level activities into Nevada,
7	and then finally, what represents a very large
8	personal injury activity is out industrial hazards,
9	falling, hoisting of rigging, large equipment on the
10	Site, those activities are top priority.
11	DR. MATTHEWS: Thank you.
12	CHAIRMAN CONWAY: The Board recently
13	submitted to DOE what I guess I would call a case
14	study on the fire at Rocky Flats. Have you had a
15	chance to see that?
16	MR. PARKER: Is this a
17	CHAIRMAN CONWAY: Within the last week is
18	when it was submitted.
19	MR. PARKER: Was this a letter that
20	CHAIRMAN CONWAY: A letter and reports,
21	with the back-up reports
22	MR. PARKER: Yes.
23	CHAIRMAN CONWAY: Our staff reports.
24	MR. PARKER: Three attachments, 31 pages.
25	I've read it in detail.

2

1	CHAIRMAN CONWAY: Okay. Have you had a
2	chance, Mr. Lockhart?
3	MR. LOCKHART: Yes, sir.
4	CHAIRMAN CONWAY: Well, number one, I
5	would hope you would take that into consideration as
6	you finalize your analysis, and number two, I would
7	be interested in hearing anything back from you if
8	you take exception with any of the conclusions and
9	observations made by our staff. So that's an open
LO	invitation to you to do so. Anybody have anything
L1	else?
12	DR. MANSFIELD: Just one more thing under
L3	standard work packages. Your commitment not to rely
L4	so heavily on standard work packages is commendable.
15	That doesn't mean the work packages can't be
16	identical if your work planning shows that a
L7	previously prepared one works. There's always the
L8	weakness there, I counsel you, that because it's
L9	easier to do it that way, it ought to be done that
20	way more often.
21	MR. PARKER: That's right.
22	DR. MANSFIELD: That's all I have, Mr.
23	Chairman.
24	CHAIRMAN CONWAY: Thank you. I thank you
25	for your attendance here today, and for your

1	assistance, and one other comment I guess, and that
2	is 371 I've seen to be a problem for a long time.
3	Are you satisfied you've got good management control
4	there?
5	MR. PARKER: I am absolutely convinced
6	that our management will get that job done. It's
7	CHAIRMAN CONWAY: Well, it's just been
8	371 traditionally has been a problem area.
9	MR. PARKER: It's a tough building.
10	CHAIRMAN CONWAY: Jessie, did you want to
11	say something? Please come forward. Thank you.
12	MS. ROBERSON: Thank you, sir. Three
13	things
14	CHAIRMAN CONWAY: Jessie, for the record,
15	would you tell us who you are so the record shows.
16	MS. ROBERSON: Jessie Roberson, Assistant
17	Secretary for Environmental Management for DOE.
18	CHAIRMAN CONWAY: And former Member of
19	this Board.
20	MS. ROBERSON: And former Member of the
21	Board. Thank you very much. I really enjoyed today.
22	There were three things that I really feel fairly
23	strongly that I would like to comment on. Those
24	three are the proposed the new draft DOE oversight
25	policy, accelerated clean-up [and its impact on