# TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

In the Matter of:

CONTINUATION HEARING, STATUS
OF ACTIONS RELATED TO
UNRESOLVED TECHNICAL SAFETY
ISSUES IN THE DESIGN OF WTP

#### REVISED AND CORRECTED COPY

Pages: 1 through 135

Place: Washington, D.C.

Date: May 22, 2012

# **HERITAGE REPORTING CORPORATION**

Official Reporters
1220 L Street, N.W., Suite 600
Washington, D.C. 20005-4018
(202) 628-4888
contracts@hrccourtreporters.com

#### DEFENSE NUCLEAR FACILITIES SAFETY BOARD

| In the Matter of:  | )  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| CONTINUATION HEARING, STATUS OF ACTIONS RELATED TO UNRESOLVED TECHNICAL SAFETY ISSUES IN THE DESIGN OF WTP | )<br>)<br>)<br>)   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Suite 300<br>625 Indiana Avenue, N.W<br>Washington, D.C. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Tuesday,<br>May 22, 2012                                 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

#### APPEARANCES:

# On behalf of the Defense Nuclear Facilities Safety Board:

Dr. Peter S. Winokur, Chairman

Ms. Jessie H. Roberson, Vice Chairman

Dr. John E. Mansfield, Board Member

Mr. Joseph F. Bader, Board Member

Mr. Timothy J. Dwyer, Technical Director Mr. John Batherson, Associate General Counsel

Ms. Debra Richardson, Deputy General Manager

## APPEARANCES: (Cont'd.)

### <u>Also Present</u>:

The Honorable Daniel Poneman Deputy Secretary of Energy

Mr. Glenn Podonsky Chief Health, Safety, and Security Officer DOE-HSS

Mr. David Huizenga Senior Advisor for Environmental Management DOE-EM

Mr. James Hutton Chief Nuclear Safety Advisor DOE-EM

| L | Ρ | R | 0 | C | $\mathbf{E}$ | $\mathbf{E}$ | D | Ι | Ν | G | S |
|---|---|---|---|---|--------------|--------------|---|---|---|---|---|
|   |   |   |   |   |              |              |   |   |   |   |   |

- 2 (9:01 a.m.)
- 3 CHAIRMAN WINOKUR: Good morning. My name is
- 4 Peter Winokur, and I am the Chairman of the Defense
- 5 Nuclear Facilities Safety Board. I'll preside over
- 6 this public meeting and hearing, which is a
- 7 continuation of the hearing initially convened in
- 8 Kennewick, Washington, on March 22, 2012.
- 9 Today's focus concerns the status of actions
- 10 related to the Department of Energy's implementation
- 11 plan for the Board's Recommendation 2011-1, Safety
- 12 Culture at the Waste Treatment and Immobilization
- 13 Plant.
- 14 I'd now like to introduce my colleagues on
- 15 the Safety Board. To my immediate right is Ms. Jessie
- 16 Roberson, the Board's Vice Chairman. To my left is
- 17 Dr. John Mansfield. Next to him is Mr. Joseph Bader.
- 18 We four constitute the Board.
- 19 The Board's General Counsel office is
- 20 represented by Mr. John Batherson, seated to the left
- 21 of Mr. Bader. Our Deputy General Manager, Ms. Debra
- 22 Richardson, is seated to my far left. The Board's
- 23 Technical Director, Mr. Timothy Dwyer, is seated to my
- 24 far right.
- 25 Several members of the Board staff closely

- 1 involved with oversight of the Department of Energy's
- 2 Defense Nuclear Facilities are also here. Today's
- 3 meeting and hearing was publicly noticed in the
- 4 Federal Register on March 8, 2012. The meeting and
- 5 hearing is held open to the public per the provisions
- 6 of the Government in the Sunshine Act.
- 7 In order to provide timely and accurate
- 8 information concerning the Board's public and worker
- 9 health and safety mission throughout the Department of
- 10 Energy's defense nuclear complex, the Board is
- 11 recording this proceeding through a verbatim
- 12 transcript, video recording and live video streaming.
- 13 The transcript, associated documents, public notice
- 14 and video recording will be available for viewing in
- 15 our public reading room in Washington, D.C. In
- 16 addition, an archive copy of the video recording will
- 17 be available through our website for at least 60 days.
- 18 Per the Board's practice and as stated in
- 19 the Federal Register notice, we will welcome comments
- 20 from interested members of the public at the
- 21 conclusion of testimony at approximately 11:30 a.m.
- 22 this morning. A list of those speakers who have
- 23 contacted the Board is posted at the entrance to this
- 24 room. We have generally listed the speakers in the
- 25 order in which they have contacted us or if possible

- 1 when they wish to speak. I will call the speakers in
- 2 this order and ask that speakers state their name and
- 3 title at the beginning of their presentation.
- 4 There is also a table at the entrance to
- 5 this room with a sign-up sheet for members of the
- 6 public who wish to make a presentation but did not
- 7 have an opportunity to notify us ahead of time. They
- 8 will follow those who have already registered with us
- 9 in the order in which they have signed up. To give
- 10 everyone wishing to make a presentation an equal
- 11 opportunity, we ask speakers to limit their original
- 12 presentations to five minutes. The Chair will then
- 13 give consideration for additional comments should time
- 14 permit.
- 15 Presentations should be limited to comments,
- 16 technical information or data concerning the subjects
- 17 of this public meeting and hearing. The Board members
- 18 may question anyone making a presentation to the
- 19 extent deemed appropriate. The record of this
- 20 proceeding will remain open until June 23, 2012.
- 21 I would like to reiterate that the Board
- 22 reserves its right to further schedule and regulate
- 23 the course of this meeting and hearing to recess,
- 24 reconvene, postpone or adjourn this meeting and
- 25 hearing and to otherwise exercise its authority under

- 1 the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended.
- 2 Let me provide some additional background
- 3 for today's hearing. The Board chose to hold two
- 4 hearings in the last 18 months at the Hanford site
- 5 because of the essential role the Waste Treatment
- 6 Plant will play in processing the large volume of
- 7 toxic and radioactive waste now stored in 177
- 8 underground tanks at Hanford.
- 9 The first hearing was held in October 2010
- 10 to better understand the project's progress towards
- 11 resolving technical issues dealing with mixing,
- 12 hydrogen control and safety basis development that
- 13 would jeopardize the safe and efficient operation of
- 14 the plant unless solved.
- 15 The Board's concerns with these technical
- 16 issues were broadened in December of 2010 to include
- 17 an investigation into the project's safety culture
- 18 after the Board received a letter from Dr. Walter
- 19 Tamosaitis, a former engineering manager at the
- 20 project. In this letter, Dr. Tamosaitis alleged that
- 21 he was removed from the project because he identified
- 22 technical issues that in his view could affect safety.
- 23 He further alleged that there was a flawed safety
- 24 culture at the project.
- The Board's investigation concluded that the

- 1 Waste Treatment Plant project suffered from serious
- 2 problems in safety culture and in the management of
- 3 safety issues. As a result, the Board issued
- 4 Recommendation 2011-1, Safety Culture at the Waste
- 5 Treatment and Immobilization Plant, on June 9, 2011,
- 6 identifying the need for prompt, major improvement in
- 7 the safety culture of the project.
- From the Board's perspective, the flawed
- 9 safety culture at the Waste Treatment Plant is an
- 10 indicator that significant organizational weaknesses
- 11 may be adversely impacting the project's ability to
- 12 identify, address and resolve critical technical
- 13 issues which directly impact the ability of the plant
- 14 to treat waste safely and efficiently.
- The Department of Energy accepted the
- 16 Board's recommendation and is executing a plan to
- 17 fully characterize and address the problems in safety
- 18 culture at the Waste Treatment Plant. The Department
- 19 has already taken several significant actions to
- 20 address the recommendation.
- 21 On December 5, 2011, Secretary Chu and
- 22 Deputy Secretary Poneman issued a memorandum to heads
- 23 of all Department of Energy elements that described
- 24 their expectations for nuclear safety in the
- 25 Department, including the roles and responsibilities,

- 1 safety culture, standards and directives and
- 2 integrated safety management. The memorandum clearly
- 3 stated their commitment to "a strong and sustained
- 4 safety culture where all employees, from workers with
- 5 shovels in the ground to their managers, all the way
- 6 up to the Secretary and everyone in between are
- 7 energetically pursuing the safe performance of work,
- 8 encouraging a questioning work environment, and making
- 9 sure that executing the mission safely is not just a
- 10 policy statement but a value shared by all".
- 11 Additionally, DOE's [Department of Energy]
- 12 Office of Health, Safety, and Security [HSS] completed
- 13 a major independent assessment of safety culture and
- 14 the management of safety concerns at the waste
- 15 Treatment Plant. The HSS team issued its report,
- 16 entitled "Independent Oversight Assessment of Nuclear
- 17 Safety Culture and Management of Nuclear Safety
- 18 Concerns at the Waste Treatment Plant, " in January
- 19 2012. It provides substantial insight into the
- 20 project's safety culture, its management of safety-
- 21 related issues and the relationship between the two.
- The report gives an extensive list of
- 23 conclusions and recommends numerous specific
- 24 corrective actions. Earlier this month DOE provided
- 25 the Board with a detailed plan for addressing the

- 1 findings of the HSS assessment as they relate to
- 2 safety culture at DOE's Office of River Protection at
- 3 Hanford. DOE is currently reviewing the equivalent
- 4 action plan that the Waste Treatment Plant contractor
- 5 has submitted.
- As part of an effort to evaluate the safety
- 7 culture across all of DOE's defense nuclear
- 8 facilities, DOE's health, safety, and security
- 9 organization is also performing independent
- 10 assessments of four other major design and
- 11 construction projects, the Pantex plant and DOE's
- 12 Office of Environmental Management.
- The review of the Chemistry and Metallurgy
- 14 Research Replacement project at Los Alamos is already
- 15 done and documented. Other sites, facilities and DOE
- 16 headquarters' offices will use training and guidance
- 17 developed under the implementation plan to perform
- 18 self-assessments with oversight by DOE's health,
- 19 safety, and security organization.
- The Board held a second public hearing and
- 21 meeting on March 22, 2012, in Kennewick, Washington,
- 22 where the Board received testimony from the Department
- 23 of Energy and its contractors concerning several
- 24 issues that included the significance of the timely
- 25 integration of safety into the Waste Treatment Plant's

- 1 design, the relationship between the resolution of
- 2 safety issues and development of a sound nuclear
- 3 safety strategy and the Department of Energy's
- 4 progress towards implementing the Board's
- 5 recommendation on fixing flaws in the project's safety
- 6 culture.
- 7 A significant conclusion of this hearing was
- 8 the strong linkage between safety culture and the
- 9 ability of a project like the Waste Treatment Plant to
- 10 surface, accept and resolve outstanding technical
- 11 issues that impact the plant's ability to clean up
- 12 legacy waste while ensuring adequate protection of the
- 13 public and the workers during its 40-year operational
- 14 life.
- Today we will continue these discussions by
- 16 receiving testimony from senior Department officials
- 17 regarding their approach to addressing the broader
- 18 policy and programmatic issues associated with
- 19 Recommendation 2011-1 and their efforts to evaluate
- 20 the safety culture and other design projects, sites
- 21 and programs within DOE.
- We will begin this session by receiving
- 23 testimony from the Honorable Daniel Poneman, Deputy
- 24 Secretary of Energy. In recognition of the
- 25 significance of the safety culture issues at the Waste

- 1 Treatment Plant project, Secretary Chu designated
- 2 Deputy Secretary Poneman as the responsible manager
- 3 for the implementation of Recommendation 2011-1.
- It's important to remember that safety
- 5 culture is an organization's values and behaviors
- 6 modeled by its leaders and internalized by its members
- 7 that serves to make safety culture an overriding
- 8 priority. Leaders are the designers, modelers and
- 9 teachers of the culture. It's what the leaders say
- 10 and do that matters. So we are pleased to have the
- 11 Deputy Secretary with us today.
- 12 This morning we invite Deputy Secretary
- 13 Poneman to provide his views on the importance of a
- 14 healthy and robust safety culture, his assessment of
- 15 the state of safety culture across the DOE defense
- 16 nuclear complex and his views on what needs to be done
- 17 to address problem areas.
- 18 We will then hear testimony from Mr. Glenn
- 19 Podonsky, head of DOE's Office of Health, Safety, and
- 20 Security. Mr. Podonsky has testified many times
- 21 previously in public meetings held by the Board on
- 22 important topics such as federal safety management and
- 23 oversight policies, safety in design, and DOE's system
- 24 of safety directives.
- 25 Mr. Podonsky chartered the major independent

- 1 assessment of safety culture and the management of
- 2 safety concerns at the Waste Treatment Plant project
- 3 that his health, safety, and security organization
- 4 completed in January of this year. This review is
- 5 serving as the model for the extent of condition
- 6 reviews of the safety culture at DOE's other major
- 7 facilities and projects.
- 8 Today we hope to hear from Mr. Podonsky
- 9 regarding the actions and assessments being undertaken
- 10 by DOE's health, safety, and security organization to
- 11 characterize safety culture across the DOE defense
- 12 nuclear facilities complex and the role that HSS,
- 13 Health, Safety, and Security, will play in evaluating
- 14 the effectiveness of the corrective actions being
- 15 implemented by DOE and its contractors.
- 16 We will then receive testimony from Mr.
- 17 David Huizenga, DOE's Senior Advisor for Environmental
- 18 Management, and Mr. James Hutton, the DOE
- 19 Environmental Management Chief Nuclear Safety Advisor,
- 20 to hear DOE's senior line management's view of the
- 21 causes of the identified problems with safety culture
- 22 and the management of safety issues and the path
- 23 forward to develop and carry out corrective actions.
- 24 Lastly, we are interested in lessons learned
- 25 thus far and line management's end-state vision for

- 1 sustaining a healthy safety culture throughout the
- 2 DOE's defense nuclear complex.
- This concludes my opening remarks. I will
- 4 now turn to the Board members for their opening
- 5 remarks. Ms. Roberson.
- 6 VICE CHAIRMAN ROBERSON: None, Mr. Chairman.
- 7 CHAIRMAN WINOKUR: Dr. Mansfield.
- B DR. MANSFIELD: None at this time.
- 9 CHAIRMAN WINOKUR: Mr. Bader.
- MR. BADER: None.
- 11 CHAIRMAN WINOKUR: This concludes the
- 12 Board's opening remarks. We have several
- 13 distinguished Department of Energy headquarters'
- 14 personnel with us today. At this time, I would like
- 15 to invite Deputy Secretary Poneman to provide his
- 16 statement on behalf of the Department of Energy.
- 17 Welcome, Mr. Secretary. If you have written
- 18 testimony, we'll be happy to enter it into the record.
- 19 MR. PONEMAN: Thank you, Mr. Chairman, Vice
- 20 Chairman Roberson, Dr. Mansfield and Mr. Bader. It is
- 21 a pleasure to be here today before you. Thank you for
- 22 inviting me and my colleagues here today to address
- 23 the Department of Energy's ongoing corrective actions
- 24 regarding safety culture concerns at the Waste
- 25 Treatment and Immobilization Plant and more broadly

- 1 our ongoing effort to improve our safety culture
- 2 across the DOE complex.
- 3 Later I will be joined here by Glenn
- 4 Podonsky, director of the Office of Health, Safety,
- 5 and Security; Dave Huizenga, Senior Advisor for
- 6 Environmental Management; and Jim Hutton, Chief
- 7 Nuclear Safety Advisor in the Office of Environmental
- 8 Management. And my colleagues will elaborate later in
- 9 these proceedings on our efforts on our safety culture
- 10 as well as answer any other technical questions that
- 11 you all may have for them.
- 12 I would like to thank each and every one of
- 13 them not only for their willingness to testify today
- 14 but also for their leadership on responding to the
- 15 Board's recommendations and having done so much more.
- 16 I think in the interest of time, I may summarize my
- 17 written statement and appreciate your offer to have it
- 18 entered into the record, and I will proceed with a
- 19 little more dispatch.
- In my time here with you today, I would like
- 21 to assure you of two things. First, the Secretary and
- 22 I are fully aware of the significant safety culture
- 23 concerns that have been raised at the Waste Treatment
- 24 Plant. As you know, I've been there several times
- 25 myself. Second, we are engaged in and are fully

- 1 committed to the timely resolution of any and all
- 2 nuclear safety deficiencies within the Department, be
- 3 they cultural, managerial, contractual, design or
- 4 operational in origin. This holds true across the DOE
- 5 complex, including the National Nuclear Security
- 6 Administration and Office of Environmental Management,
- 7 and I reaffirm the Department's commitment here today.
- 8 As you know, in his response to Board
- 9 Recommendation 2011-1, the Secretary named the Deputy
- 10 Secretary as the official directly responsible for the
- 11 implementation plan, which as you indicated in your
- 12 opening remarks is testimony to the seriousness with
- 13 which the Secretary takes these issues. It shows his
- 14 level of commitment, and I can assure you that I take
- 15 the responsibility with the utmost seriousness.
- 16 The commitments made in the implementation
- 17 plan are significant and specific, and I hold myself
- 18 and our senior DOE managers accountable for making
- 19 sure that these commitments are met. After years of
- 20 safety culture review and discussion, I can say with
- 21 confidence that we have learned much and are committed
- 22 to taking action to rectify problems while applying
- 23 lessons learned from around the complex so that we can
- 24 prevent other problems from arising.
- To achieve that end, we still have work to

- 1 do in communicating our priorities and training
- 2 employees from top to bottom so that they always think
- 3 of safety as an integral part of our mission rather
- 4 than as an add-on to the mission, I think a view that
- 5 was well reflected in your opening statement, Mr.
- 6 Chairman.
- 7 To that end, I have directed HSS to review
- 8 our safety culture thoroughly and objectively in order
- 9 to obtain an accurate and comprehensive understanding
- 10 of the situation. We have been studying their reviews
- 11 and have taken a number of actions, including the
- 12 following steps: First, directing our senior managers
- 13 to encourage an open and questioning safety culture
- 14 and to fully solicit and welcome questions and
- 15 concerns. Second, assuring that we measure and
- 16 understand safety status and have current and reliable
- 17 data for informed decision-making to allow us to
- 18 identify when we have achieved success and when we
- 19 have not. And if I may add, this is always a
- 20 continuous journey of continuous improvement, as our
- 21 management principles make clear.
- Third, reviewing our contracting and
- 23 technical issue resolution processes to understand how
- 24 they may be affecting safety and what changes are
- 25 necessary to promote a strong safety culture. And

- 1 fourth, developing training for Department of Energy
- 2 federal and contractor senior leaders on establishing
- 3 and maintaining an open and collaborative work
- 4 environment within the Department.
- 5 Having reviewed the Board's Recommendation
- 6 2011-1 as well as our own analysis, the Department has
- 7 embraced the need for an intensified effort to assess
- 8 the Department's safety culture and to embed a strong
- 9 safety culture in all Department policies, programs
- 10 and personnel. I'd like to take this opportunity to
- 11 highlight our safety culture review and to describe
- 12 some specific steps we're taking to cultivate and
- 13 reinforce a strong safety culture, and I'm sure that
- 14 my colleagues will provide added detail and
- 15 reinforcement on these points.
- 16 The Board Recommendation 2011-1 and HSS's
- 17 January 2012 report, which is entitled "Independent
- 18 Oversight Assessment of Nuclear Safety Culture and
- 19 Management of Nuclear Safety Concerns at the Hanford
- 20 Site Waste Treatment and Immobilization Plant", are
- 21 complementary in many respects. Taken together, they
- 22 provide both a useful critique of safety culture at
- 23 WTP [Waste Treatment and Immobilization Plant] and
- 24 clear, definitive recommendations for corrective
- 25 action.

- I agree with that assessment. And the
- 2 Department will act on these recommendations. The HSS
- 3 report is a comprehensive investigation that
- 4 identifies meaningful opportunities to improve our
- 5 safety culture. The assessment focused on the two DOE
- 6 organizations with site-level line-management
- 7 responsibility for WTP, the Office of River Protection
- 8 and the DOE WTP project office, and a site contractor,
- 9 BNI [Bechtel National, Inc.], and its subcontractors.
- 10 Results of the assessment indicated that
- 11 most WTP personnel believe that safety is a high
- 12 priority. However, the expert review team cited three
- 13 significant safety culture deficiencies that must and
- 14 will be corrected. First, there is a reluctance to
- 15 raise safety concerns at ORP [Office of River
- 16 Protection] and BNI, and within some groups at BNI
- 17 there is fear of retaliation. Second, the approach to
- 18 safety and safety culture is highly proceduralized
- 19 across WTP and not yet internalized at all levels of
- 20 the organization. And third, WTP managers do not have
- 21 a full appreciation of the current safety culture or
- 22 the level of effort needed to foster a healthy safety
- 23 culture.
- 24 This independent report identifies the
- 25 issues the Department and its contractors must

- 1 resolve. Plans for doing so are now in place, and we
- 2 will continue to take in data on the situation at WTP
- 3 and around the complex. As noted in Mr. Huizenga's
- 4 April 23 letter to you, Mr. Chairman, DOE will review
- 5 the approved HSS plans to determine if any additions
- 6 to the IP [Implementation Plan] are needed and will
- 7 develop any necessary additions with a goal of having
- 8 an addendum to the implementation plan reviewed for
- 9 consideration by the Secretary with a view towards
- 10 transmission to the Board by this coming July.
- 11 At WTP, both the Department and its
- 12 contractors are now working aggressively on the
- 13 corrective actions and necessary improvements in a
- 14 comprehensive, effective and timely manner. I have
- 15 directed BNI to address the HSS assessment report
- 16 recommendations and to update its nuclear safety and
- 17 quality culture plan accordingly in a letter I sent to
- 18 them in February. I will review the draft revision of
- 19 that plan to assure that it addresses the HSS
- 20 findings.
- 21 As described in Recommendation 2011-1, a
- 22 highly robust safety culture is critical to successful
- 23 design, construction, commissioning and long-term
- 24 operation of this vitally important waste remediation
- 25 program. I agree and am confident that a robust,

- 1 questioning safety culture is critical to mission
- 2 success.
- We have also initiated both independent
- 4 reviews and self-assessments at additional selected
- 5 large construction project sites, which you noted in
- 6 your opening remarks, Mr. Chairman. We will also more
- 7 fully evaluate DOE headquarters' organizations. An
- 8 expert team will gather additional information about
- 9 the role of headquarters' line-management
- 10 organizations and the safety culture and management of
- 11 safety issues at WTP and throughout the complex,
- 12 including NNSA [National Nuclear Security
- 13 Administration activities. The result of these
- 14 assessments will include recommended next steps for
- 15 continuous improvements.
- I would like with your permission, Mr.
- 17 Chairman, to address just a few additional topics.
- 18 The implementation plan for 2011-1 and any future
- 19 supplement is of course at the forefront of our
- 20 strategy for a strong safety culture, but there are
- 21 others that are worthy of note. In December of
- 22 2011 -- and I think you indicated this as well, Mr.
- 23 Chairman -- the Secretary and I cosigned a nuclear
- 24 safety memorandum to the heads of all departmental
- 25 elements and encouraged its broad dissemination

- 1 throughout the department. A copy of this memorandum
- 2 was transmitted to the Board in January. In promoting
- 3 nuclear safety, the memorandum addresses the
- 4 Secretary's and my expectations for roles and
- 5 responsibilities, safety culture, safety through
- 6 standards and managing risk and integrated safety
- 7 management.
- 8 The Secretary and I have been very clear and
- 9 will continue to be that when a safety concern is
- 10 raised, it is not enough to consider it and move on.
- 11 We must respond back to the individual or individuals
- 12 raising a concern and let others know what action was
- 13 taken, if any, so that our employees and contractors
- 14 know that we take their concerns seriously and are
- 15 committed to responding to them.
- 16 The Secretary is also scheduled to return to
- 17 WTP this summer, where he will deliver remarks, meet
- 18 with workers and reiterate the importance of a robust
- 19 and questioning safety culture.
- 20 Last September, we revised our ISM
- 21 [Integrated Safety Management] quide, and I also well
- 22 recall, Mr. Chairman, the discussions that you and the
- 23 Secretary have had on the important subject of ISM.
- 24 The revised quide now includes a new section 6.4,
- 25 which first provides dedicated safety culture guidance

- 1 for DOE line managers and contractor organizations
- 2 having responsibility for ISM systems and, second,
- 3 reinforces the issuance of clear and specific safety
- 4 culture attributes.
- 5 Appendix 10 to that guide derives from the
- 6 experiences of the commercial nuclear industry,
- 7 including the Institute for Nuclear Power Operations.
- 8 Analysis of this experience and research over the
- 9 past decade has identified supplemental safety culture
- 10 elements that may be helpful to focus attention and
- 11 action in the right areas to create a stronger safety
- 12 culture at the Department.
- These elements also promote a shift from
- 14 compliance to excellence. They emphasize continuous
- 15 improvement and long-term performance, and they are
- 16 entirely consistent with the original intent of ISM.
- 17 In 2006, the Department established a formal
- 18 process for DOE and DOE contract employees to submit
- 19 Differing Professional Opinions. In July of last
- 20 year, we issued DOE Order 442.2 on Differing
- 21 Professional Opinions for technical issues involving
- 22 environmental safety and health technical concerns to
- 23 update this so-called DPO [Differing Professional
- 24 Opinion] process.
- The revised order documents the DPO process

- 1 for employees to raise professional opinions on
- 2 environmental safety and health technical issues that
- 3 may differ from prevailing staff or management views
- 4 or from current practices. To emphasize the
- 5 importance of the DPO process, in March 2012, I issued
- 6 a memorandum to all DOE employees and contractors.
- 7 That memorandum reiterated that DOE expects its
- 8 federal and contractor managers to actively foster
- 9 complete and open evaluation and discussion of
- 10 technical issues related to the environment, safety
- 11 and health of employees and the public.
- 12 As part of our commitment to promote a
- 13 robust, open and questioning safety culture, we will
- 14 continue to actively encourage DOE employees and
- 15 contractors to take advantage of the many avenues
- 16 available to raise technical or other issues. For
- 17 example, we have established a new DOE DPO webpage
- 18 which lists the DPO managers to whom Differing
- 19 Professional Opinions should be sent and provides an
- 20 online DPO submittal process.
- 21 In early 2012, HSS completed the
- 22 establishment of the HSS nuclear safety site lead
- 23 program, which I'd now like to comment on. This
- 24 program facilitates improved targeting of safety-
- 25 related oversight activities based on operational risk

- 1 and facility conditions. HSS site leads are carefully
- 2 selected, experienced nuclear safety professionals
- 3 assigned to monitor operations and activities at each
- 4 DOE nuclear facility. The knowledge obtained through
- 5 site lead operational awareness is utilized to make
- 6 informed decisions in selecting and prioritizing
- 7 independent oversight reviews that are tailored to the
- 8 site's conditions.
- 9 And finally, I would like to speak about the
- 10 Office of the Ombudsman. And in anticipation of our
- 11 hearing today, I just had an excellent session last
- 12 evening with our newly appointed Ombudsman, and I'm
- 13 very pleased to announce that this new office, which
- 14 is located in the Office of Economic Impact and
- 15 Diversity, is now up and running. It promotes the
- 16 early identification and resolution of issues to
- 17 promote the morale and productivity of the DOE
- 18 workforce. It provides informal dispute resolution
- 19 services to employees, supervisors and management
- 20 personnel regarding work-related concerns.
- 21 The office also proactively identifies areas
- 22 of systemic concern and makes recommendations on how
- 23 they can best be addressed. And I would like to note
- 24 with satisfaction that although it's a new office,
- 25 they're getting a lot of business, which is a good

- 1 sign I think.
- Now let me close with some personal
- 3 thoughts. As an organization tasked with one of the
- 4 nation's most critical missions, we at the Department
- 5 of Energy understand the fundamental make-or-break
- 6 challenges that our work entails. The safe and
- 7 successful execution of our mission greatly affects
- 8 our nation's safety and its security, and it demands
- 9 the very best of all of us year in and year out. That
- 10 is why it is so important to embed the commitment to
- 11 safety deeply in the culture of the DOE enterprise so
- 12 that it will be embraced by all who succeed us. Our
- 13 safety culture is critical to protecting and improving
- 14 the DOE legacy. We must not fail in this effort.
- 15 I will continue visiting the sites and
- 16 meeting stakeholders face to face to listen carefully
- 17 to the comments and concerns of both federal and
- 18 contractor employees as well as to reinforce to them
- 19 our message on safety culture. During these visits, I
- 20 will continue to seek out workers and encourage them
- 21 to give me firsthand unbiased opinions of our
- 22 performance, and we do this by asking their managers
- 23 not to be present to encourage candor. And I will
- 24 share those opinions without attribution of course
- 25 with the Secretary and our senior managers so that we

- 1 can learn from them and respond accordingly.
- 2 The Secretary's message is clear, and our
- 3 senior managers and I will reinforce it whenever we
- 4 meet with stakeholders. And I'm now citing directly
- 5 with the Secretary's words, and I quote, "The
- 6 Department has an unwavering commitment to maintain
- 7 safe and secure work environments for all federal and
- 8 contractor employees. Furthermore, we will ensure
- 9 that the Department's and contractors' operations do
- 10 not adversely affect the health, safety or security of
- 11 the surrounding communities or the nation".
- 12 I will continue to discuss our progress, Mr.
- 13 Chairman, with you, with the Vice Chairman, with the
- 14 distinguished members of the Board, and recognize the
- 15 Vice Chairman's role in her capacity as the Board's
- 16 lead for Recommendation 2011-1 in our regular
- 17 interactions. And as always, I invite you to contact
- 18 me directly if you have any concerns about these or
- 19 other activities falling under your jurisdiction that
- 20 involve our safety and our safety culture.
- 21 I would like to thank you, Mr. Chairman,
- 22 Madame Vice Chairman, distinguished members of the
- 23 Board, distinguished colleagues, for taking the time
- 24 to listen to these remarks on something that is of
- 25 pivotal importance to us all, and with that, I would

- 1 like to conclude, and I'm very happy to answer any
- 2 questions you may have.
- 3 CHAIRMAN WINOKUR: Well, first let me thank
- 4 you for your opening statement, and we're very
- 5 appreciative that you'll be available to answer some
- 6 questions from the Board. And the first question will
- 7 come from Ms. Roberson.
- 8 VICE CHAIRMAN ROBERSON: Good morning,
- 9 Deputy Secretary. Thank you. The letter that you
- 10 issued together with Secretary Chu in December of 2011
- 11 powerfully stated your intent to make sure that -- and
- 12 I want to quote -- "executing the mission safely is
- 13 not just a policy statement but a value shared by
- 14 all". How do you make sure that that communication
- 15 gets from the top floor of the Forrestal Building to
- 16 the contractors in the field? And how do you expect
- 17 that message will be reinforced over time so that they
- 18 understand it is not just a statement but an
- 19 expectation?
- 20 MR. PONEMAN: Thank you for the question,
- 21 Vice Chair Roberson. It is a challenge that requires
- 22 constant effort and reinforcement. We do it by the
- 23 Secretary and me, the Deputy Secretary, going out to
- 24 the field. We do it by having regular meetings of our
- 25 senior management. Every two weeks I host a meeting

- 1 of all of our safety managers and reinforce it. Those
- 2 views are very clearly communicated to the contractor
- 3 as well. We are in direct and frequent contact with
- 4 our Federal Project Director out at WTP, with the ORP
- 5 leadership, and it's something that has to be
- 6 therefore repeated at each and every level.
- 7 So what the Secretary and I do at our level
- 8 then has to be repeated by the organizational leads
- 9 within headquarters and then out at the site. It is
- 10 not a job that is ever complete. It is a job that
- 11 requires constant reinforcement. And one of the most
- 12 powerful things to reinforce it is something that
- 13 actually I think was made very clear in the Chairman's
- 14 opening comments, which is our success in the mission
- 15 will not be achieved absent the full internalization
- 16 of the safety issue because if we can't do the job
- 17 safely, we have not done the job at all.
- 18 And so I think what you will see is a
- 19 continuous communications flow, and because it's very,
- 20 very important continually to assess what we are doing
- 21 to make sure that those things that were in that memo
- 22 are fulfilled, we will continue to do what we have
- 23 done before in terms of getting independent reviews,
- 24 making sure that there are multiple channels for
- 25 people to express their concerns. And this is

- 1 something that we really focused on out at Hanford, so
- 2 there ought not to be just one channel. People ought
- 3 to feel a number of opportunities, whether it's a
- 4 matter of a Differing Professional Opinion, as we
- 5 spoke to a few minutes ago, or a matter of an
- 6 industrial safety concern.
- 7 So we have to communicate the message
- 8 continuously and clearly we have to continually assess
- 9 it. And we must never I think fall into the
- 10 complacency that says we're done, we can now move on
- 11 because we're never done because safety is inherently
- 12 a permanent feature of our effort.
- 13 VICE CHAIRMAN ROBERSON: So, Deputy
- 14 Secretary, you spoke about a couple of tools, the
- 15 Ombudsman's office, the HSS assessments for
- 16 construction projects. As we will both have different
- 17 jobs in years to come, what are the mechanisms that
- 18 you expect to be institutionalized as a result of your
- 19 efforts now to make sure that as time goes on the
- 20 Department can sustain the investment that's being
- 21 made now?
- MR. PONEMAN: Madame Vice Chair, you've
- 23 cited a couple of them, and the institutionalization
- 24 part is critical because by nature, in these jobs, you
- 25 have people who continue for years and years, but you

- 1 have people who come and go, so you have to have
- 2 something that has sunk its roots into the
- 3 organization. And I would say we would
- 4 institutionalize it through some of the mechanisms
- 5 that were cited in my opening remarks. The ISM
- 6 system, which already has I think developed robust
- 7 institutional momentum, is something that we've
- 8 refreshed and revived and have to keep teaching. And
- 9 as I've heard the Chairman remark in other instances,
- 10 it boils down to some very simple elements that are
- 11 consistent with common sense but need repeating
- 12 nonetheless.
- 13 So things like an ISM guide is very
- 14 important. Institutionalized ways to raise those
- 15 Differing Professional Opinions so it's not seen as
- 16 some kind of heterodox challenge to authority but
- 17 rather very much part of the warp and woof of a
- 18 questioning culture.
- 19 Part of the institutionalization is by what
- 20 the British would just call common law, by having it
- 21 become part of the routine activity on the site where
- 22 people are always feeling comfortable to go to their
- 23 first-line supervisors or even beyond if they're not
- 24 getting the kind of responses that they need.
- 25 Finally, we have to make sure that we

- 1 continue to set the direction from the top and that
- 2 the directions that we give aren't just sent out like
- 3 bread upon the water but that we continuously go back
- 4 and check. And so that is why, for example, we have
- 5 thus at this point institutionalized biweekly meetings
- 6 of the whole Department's senior leadership on safety
- 7 matters so that people are used to bringing things
- 8 forward.
- 9 So I have great confidence that something
- 10 like this which actually works and people end up
- 11 feeling addresses the concerns that have been raised
- 12 will then sink roots into the system because when
- 13 something works, people will continue it, no matter if
- 14 a particular incumbent comes or goes.
- Those are a few of the things, Madame Chair,
- 16 that we are doing. But in no case would we even say
- 17 in terms of the institutionalization that we're done
- 18 because even the institutionalization itself could
- 19 become sclerotic if we don't renew it. So, to take an
- 20 example, if these biweekly meetings became ritualistic
- 21 recitations of things that just have been coming
- 22 through the inbox, that would not be what we're
- 23 talking about.
- I quess one other thing I would say is in
- 25 terms of institutionalization, one of the things that

- 1 we did after the HSS report and after Recommendation
- 2 11-1 came in was to set up a system whereby any
- 3 concerns could be expressed blindly through a variety
- 4 of channels, and we made sure that the Chief Nuclear
- 5 Safety Officer of the Department was responding to
- 6 these questions when they'd come up.
- 7 Without making any preliminary judgments on
- 8 the merits of the case, any concern that's expressed
- 9 to a first order has to be treated responsibly.
- 10 That's another institutionalized mechanism by which
- 11 right down to the deck plates, we make sure that there
- 12 are channels available to each and every individual in
- 13 our complex, not just at WTP but across the complex to
- 14 make sure their concerns not only can get expressed
- 15 but that there is a meaningful response to it that we
- 16 will follow up on.
- 17 VICE CHAIRMAN ROBERSON: Thank you. Thank
- 18 you, Mr. Chairman.
- 19 CHAIRMAN WINOKUR: Mr. Bader.
- 20 MR. BADER: Mr. Secretary, one of the things
- 21 that's intriqued me over my career is it's always been
- 22 obvious that you can have the best training, you can
- 23 have the best program in terms of safety culture, but
- 24 what is most important is what leaders actually do.
- 25 And you've mentioned a number of things that you and

- 1 the Secretary are planning to do. It would seem to me
- 2 that one of the most important early indicators of
- 3 what you're planning to do is this effort to seek out
- 4 workers and encourage them, as you said in your
- 5 testimony, to give you firsthand unbiased opinions of
- 6 our performance and your willingness to share those
- 7 opinions. Could you describe a little bit how you
- 8 will be seen to perform this effort and to keep it --
- 9 how will you select people? Will they self-select?
- 10 How will you keep it completely anonymous?
- MR. PONEMAN: Yes. That's a great question.
- 12 Well, I don't have to do this merely describing a
- 13 prospect because this is exactly what I did on my last
- 14 visit to Hanford, which was after we received 11-1.
- 15 First of all, this addresses only part of
- 16 what you were talking about, but I'll come back to the
- 17 other part. I went out to that park right in the
- 18 middle of the city on the river and they got a little
- 19 bandshell called the Fingernail or something like
- 20 that. There were like 2,000 people, 2,000 people who
- 21 came out there just to hear me talk about safety.
- 22 That told me right there that there was a hungry
- 23 audience for the message.
- I then went to the site and I talked to on
- 25 the order of 1,500 workers or more to convey the same

- 1 message, and I was very, very clear in those remarks,
- 2 Mr. Bader, about the importance of an open and
- 3 questioning culture and the importance of being able
- 4 to step forward without fear of retribution.
- 5 Then I had two separate sessions with two
- 6 different kinds of workers. One were just absolutely
- 7 the frontline workers, and one was one level up in
- 8 terms of supervision because we wanted to have a
- 9 different opportunity because the concerns that are
- 10 felt at the different levels may not necessarily be
- 11 the same, and it may not be that the people at the
- 12 absolute frontlines would even be comfortable in front
- 13 of their first-line supervisors.
- 14 There are a lot of different trades out
- 15 there, as you know, at the site, and so one of my
- 16 lessons learned out of that is we have to make sure
- 17 that in terms of the selection of the workers that it
- 18 is a sufficiently representative/random process so
- 19 that you're actually getting frontline comments. And
- 20 that's something to be honest that I think we're going
- 21 to have to keep working on to make sure that there is
- 22 not any kind of unintended bias in terms of the
- 23 demographic of the people who are brought into these
- 24 groups. But to a first order, if you have five or six
- 25 different trades there, you get a handful of people

- 1 from each, that should give you a fair representation.
- 2 Finally, there is an old phrase -- I think
- 3 it may go back to the time of President Lincoln --
- 4 called management by walking about. If you're just
- 5 walking around getting tours, which I get all the
- 6 time, I always do this. You just ask questions to
- 7 whoever you see. And then I think you are getting a
- 8 pretty decent chance of getting an unscripted response
- 9 from somebody who has not been preselected.
- 10 But again, like everything else, Mr. Bader,
- 11 that I'm talking about this morning, there is no
- 12 single-point solution to this, but it's a matter of
- 13 iteration and continually striving to make sure that
- 14 we're meeting with a broad cross-section of people.
- 15 I quess the final thing I would say is that
- 16 it's not necessarily in the face-to-face sessions
- 17 only, but when people -- I found this actually
- 18 encouraging when I made these comments in the park.
- 19 There were, you know, a flurry of comments and
- 20 concerns that came in. To me, you know, the good news
- 21 in that was that people would step forward. They
- 22 apparently believed they were able to do so without
- 23 retribution. But the fact that they may have felt up
- 24 until that point some inhibition in doing so told me
- 25 that in fact we do have work to do.

- 1 MR. BADER: I think if you are making
- 2 yourself available on an individual basis in a
- 3 controlled situation where the workers feel free and
- 4 comfortable to come and talk to you and explain any
- 5 issues they have, I think that is a powerful
- 6 statement. Is that something you embrace?
- 7 MR. PONEMAN: That is what I did when I was
- 8 out there, and I will encourage Secretary Chu when he
- 9 goes to have the same kind of session.
- 10 MR. BADER: And you plan to continue to do
- 11 that when you go out again?
- MR. PONEMAN: We view this as a journey, and
- 13 it's a continuous effort.
- MR. BADER: Have you taken any actions to
- 15 try and determine for yourself what impact has
- 16 actually occurred already in terms of changes to the
- 17 safety culture at WTP?
- MR. PONEMAN: I still think we are in early
- 19 phases of implementing. But part of our plan going
- 20 forward, Mr. Bader, is in fact a continuous repetition
- 21 of assessments. There I think it's very important
- 22 that we not only have questions coming directly from
- 23 the leadership but that we do what we did, for
- 24 example, at the last HSS review, which is to bring
- 25 professional outside people who have expertise in the

- 1 area. It's not enough for us to check ourselves,
- 2 although we are responsible for doing so and we will
- 3 continue to do so aggressively, but precisely to make
- 4 sure that we're getting an unvarnished view, we will
- 5 also continue to use neutral third parties to review
- 6 our efforts, and this will measure the extent to which
- 7 the message we're communicating is received.
- 8 Many people will ask about a particular
- 9 report and say what is your conclusion. I view each
- 10 of these reports as a data point, as a snapshot. I
- 11 think it was perhaps Santayana who talked about, you
- 12 may stick your toe in the river and, you know, feel
- 13 the water there, and you may wait 10 minutes and you
- 14 put your toe in the river, it's a different river
- 15 because the molecules keep flowing by.
- 16 So we have to keep monitoring from time to
- 17 time directly. We have to keep checking the inbox for
- 18 the places that people are putting in and expressing
- 19 their concerns to our chief nuclear safety officer.
- 20 We have to keep doing the vox populi sessions where
- 21 we're talking to people face-to-face, eye-to-eye. And
- 22 we've got to keep validating any and all of those
- 23 impressions by neutral third-party assessments.
- 24 MR. BADER: Thank you, Mr. Secretary.
- 25 CHAIRMAN WINOKUR: Welcome again. I'd like

- 1 to talk a little bit about NNSA, the National Nuclear
- 2 Security Administration. And I think in your opening
- 3 comments you did mention that this is about more than
- 4 just the Environmental Management folks in the Waste
- 5 Treatment Plant. It's a complex-wide issue. And
- 6 certainly NNSA has incredible responsibility and also
- 7 a lot of production pressures. I mean, they're making
- 8 pits at Los Alamos and secondaries at Y-12, and
- 9 they've got the basic assembly and disassembly,
- 10 surveillance of weapons at Pantex.
- 11 So I guess the first question might be any
- 12 sense so far about NNSA in terms of safety culture.
- 13 Do you think the efforts are going to have to be
- 14 equivalent there as they are in EM [Environmental
- 15 Management], or any particular concerns or challenges
- 16 you see in terms of the safety culture at the NNSA?
- MR. PONEMAN: Mr. Chairman, one of the
- 18 elements of the vantage of being in a situation of
- 19 viewing the full complex is although there are unique
- 20 elements to each aspect of the challenge, I think the
- 21 overall safety challenge and the cultural issues that
- 22 accompany it I think are department-wide. So I would
- 23 say a) there continue to be and will always be safety
- 24 issues in the NNSA complex, just as they are in EM;
- 25 b) that therefore the importance of the same kind of

- 1 open questioning culture is as important there as it
- 2 is in the EM portfolio.
- And I can tell you that in my conversations
- 4 with -- and I will say in this context --
- 5 Undersecretary for Nuclear Security Tom D'Agostino,
- 6 which is the way in which he is now responsible for
- 7 the EM portfolio, as well as Administrator D'Agostino
- 8 of the NNSA, same guy, and very committed to both
- 9 safety per se and to safety culture.
- 10 CHAIRMAN WINOKUR: So NNSA obviously is
- 11 clearly very engaged in this activity.
- MR. PONEMAN: Yes.
- 13 CHAIRMAN WINOKUR: One of the challenges I
- 14 see and I wanted to know if you've given any thought
- 15 to is a place like Los Alamos, incredibly important
- 16 place. And yet it's got production responsibilities
- 17 building pits. At the same time, it's a great R&D
- 18 organization. Do you think there will be any
- 19 particular challenges with building a safety culture
- 20 in an organization that that's diverse?
- MR. PONEMAN: There always are, Mr.
- 22 Chairman, and, you know, there are always those kinds
- 23 of challenges. That's why on a tough challenge like
- 24 this I always think it's important to go back to first
- 25 principles, and the first principle here is that

- 1 safety is not a bolt-on. Safety is an integral part
- 2 of the mission. The objective isn't to simply extend
- 3 the life of the W76. It's to extend the life safely.
- 4 And one thing that one learns is equally true if not
- 5 more true in a production situation than any other is
- 6 there is nothing that can shut down your production
- 7 faster than encountering a serious safety problem.
- 8 So in fact, to embed the safety culture in a
- 9 production complex is not only the right thing to do,
- 10 but it's actually the efficient and effective thing to
- 11 do if you're trying to advance the mission. Safety is
- 12 an integral part of the mission, and only by treating
- 13 it that way do you maximize your prospects of living
- 14 up to the demands that, as you indicated, the
- 15 President and the nation put upon you in terms of
- 16 continuing to defend the nation with a safe, secure
- 17 and effective nuclear deterrent.
- 18 CHAIRMAN WINOKUR: Do you think it will be a
- 19 tad more difficult, though, on the R&D side of the
- 20 house to build this safety culture?
- 21 MR. PONEMAN: I think it's challenging
- 22 everywhere. I think the challenges are probably
- 23 unique. You know, it's interesting because just
- 24 within the last week I was having a discussion with
- 25 Secretary Chu and we were talking about the unique

- 1 safety challenges faced in a situation where you're
- 2 dealing with accelerators. So even in a pure science
- 3 space, the consequences for inattention to safety can
- 4 be grave and immediate. And so I think while there
- 5 are unique challenges, whether it's in the research
- 6 side or the production side, I think that we're going
- 7 to have to be equally attentive in making sure we
- 8 promote a strong safety culture right across the
- 9 board, whether it's environmental, science, NNSA.
- 10 CHAIRMAN WINOKUR: Now one of the things
- 11 that Mr. Bader spoke to you about and I know you
- 12 understand very well is this need for leaders to say
- 13 things and then follow up with actions. And one of
- 14 the potential opportunities for that might be programs
- 15 that the Board's been very supportive of, like
- 16 facility representatives, safety system oversight
- 17 officers. There's a lot of talk in today's budget
- 18 environment about cutting back and where cuts should
- 19 be made. Do you feel it's going to be important to
- 20 make sure that the people who are out in the field,
- 21 the boots-on-the-ground kind of folks who are looking
- 22 at safety, that at least that cadre of people be
- 23 strongly supported and that they get that message?
- 24 MR. PONEMAN: Mr. Chairman, I have not heard
- 25 any suggestion about -- although it's true that we are

- 1 dealing in very challenging budgetary circumstances, I
- 2 have heard no suggestion that somehow the thing that
- 3 is expendable or less of a priority than other aspects
- 4 of our mission is the safety piece. So I would very
- 5 much expect our focus on safety and safety culture to
- 6 persist. And to the extent that we build in
- 7 mechanisms to support that, I would not expect them to
- 8 be disadvantaged relative to other mission priorities
- 9 of the Department as we go through these challenging
- 10 budgetary cycles.
- 11 CHAIRMAN WINOKUR: Well, I think your
- 12 commitment to that would be extremely important. I do
- 13 appreciate that very much. I have one more question.
- 14 It's always something I raise, so forgive me. But
- 15 the mantra of NNSA is getting the job done. I've
- 16 always been uncomfortable with that. I believe it's
- 17 very much of a get 'er done. I've read a lot of books
- 18 and things. People don't think it's the best message.
- 19 It does not in my view, and I know you'll share
- 20 yours, provide the kind of balance we're looking for
- 21 between mission and safety. Do you have any thoughts
- 22 on that that you're willing to share?
- 23 MR. PONEMAN: Mr. Chairman, I actually have
- 24 not heard that as a mantra, but when I hear the
- 25 statement getting the job done, to me, I'm hearing

- 1 safety. And to me, the reason is that safety is part
- 2 of the job. So when I'm getting the job done, I think
- 3 it's very clear in our little management principles,
- 4 you know, many of us wear on our lanyards that the
- 5 safety piece is integral to getting the job done.
- 6 So I would not necessarily see saying
- 7 getting the job done as something that is even
- 8 implicitly undermining a strong safety message because
- 9 precisely that safety is integral to our mission.
- 10 CHAIRMAN WINOKUR: Thank you. Dr.
- 11 Mansfield?
- 12 DR. MANSFIELD: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
- 13 Turning the discussion back toward Hanford, when you
- 14 visited the site last year and in your recent visit,
- 15 would you comment on the change in your views and the
- 16 relationship of the change in your views to the Office
- 17 of Health, Safety, and Security studies? I mean, was
- 18 this a shocker to you?
- MR. PONEMAN: Which one?
- DR. MANSFIELD: Did you see change at
- 21 Hanford between the time you visited it last year and
- 22 your assessment now?
- 23 MR. PONEMAN: Well, I think there's always
- 24 change, Dr. Mansfield. I think there's always a bit
- 25 of surprise when you see a third-party assessment, and

- 1 so we had in the last year and a half or so three
- 2 different snapshots, as I was calling them earlier.
- 3 The first was an earlier HSS report. The second was a
- 4 report you all had done. And the third was a second
- 5 HSS report.
- I suppose that I found things to learn from
- 7 each of them, and because it was last in time and
- 8 that's therefore the one that's most important in
- 9 terms of our immediate action, and I noted this in my
- 10 opening remarks, I think the things that we found in
- 11 terms of areas of improvement in the second HSS report
- 12 were indeed for me an eye-opener. And it's not to say
- 13 that there weren't some positive things that were
- 14 found, but there were clear, clear areas identified
- 15 that needed improvement. So I would say I was
- 16 probably very much informed by that one.
- 17 DR. MANSFIELD: When the Secretary makes his
- 18 visit I believe it's in July for the town meeting at
- 19 Hanford, what do you think he'll think of how Hanford
- 20 has changed from the time these issues were raised?
- 21 MR. PONEMAN: I don't know that a specific
- 22 date has been set, Dr. Mansfield. One thing I know
- 23 about the Secretary is he is a scientist and he is
- 24 therefore very data-sensitive. So I would not presume
- 25 to project what he will think except to think that he

- 1 will respond to what he sees and senses and hears. I
- 2 know that he is the kind of person when he is doing a
- 3 walkabout tour of a site, he has, you know, 360
- 4 sensory inputs in terms of there's a lot you can
- 5 learn, as you all know here, just by walking around a
- 6 site and seeing how things are neatly stored or not,
- 7 if there are dangerous areas that are not roped off
- 8 and so forth.
- 9 So I think he'll be using all of his senses,
- 10 and I'm sure that he's going to be listening very
- 11 carefully to what he hears. As I said a little
- 12 earlier, I will certainly recommend that he find ways
- in which to hear directly from small groups of people
- 14 who can be assured of confidentiality. And I'm sure
- 15 he will want nothing more than to hear a very
- 16 unvarnished assessment of people with the bark off and
- 17 without any kind of worry about what anyone might say.
- 18 So I think he will take guidance from what he hears
- 19 from them.
- DR. MANSFIELD: Okay. Thank you, Mr.
- 21 Chairman.
- 22 CHAIRMAN WINOKUR: Ms. Roberson?
- 23 VICE CHAIRMAN ROBERSON: Deputy Secretary,
- 24 we'll take a short trip in history and come back to
- 25 the future. We had lots of reviews relative to the

- 1 WTP safety culture. We've seen lots of reviews,
- 2 whether it's our own, the two HSS reviews you spoke
- 3 of, their contractor reviews, their contractor
- 4 independent reviews, their construction health
- 5 reviews.
- 6 MR. PONEMAN: Right.
- 7 VICE CHAIRMAN ROBERSON: You've seen a lot
- 8 more than we've seen. And even though there are
- 9 common strings through all of those reviews, the
- 10 conclusions did diverge quite a bit. In your
- 11 implementation plan for 11-1, the construction project
- 12 safety culture assessments are going to be conducted
- 13 by HSS, but generally speaking, all the others will be
- 14 self-assessments. How will you assure yourself that
- 15 these self-assessments depict a real and objective
- 16 picture upon which decisions, informed decisions, can
- 17 be made?
- MR. PONEMAN: Well, I would say, Vice Chair
- 19 Roberson, I would say there are two things. One is we
- 20 need to continue -- and it's in the IP, as you've
- 21 seen -- our own internal training so that the things
- 22 that I am testifying before you here today in terms of
- 23 safety being an integral part of the mission, not a
- 24 bolt-on and so on, is not just a platitude or a
- 25 talking point. It's actually how we ourselves view

- 1 it. And so it will not do simply to rely on an
- 2 external third party to discipline that. The
- 3 discipline has got to start from within. The
- 4 expectations that we do view, as I was just telling
- 5 the Chairman a few moments ago, safety as integral to
- 6 and part of getting the job done and not in any way
- 7 subversive to that objective.
- 8 So we have to start right at home in terms
- 9 of the internal training we use. And I personally
- 10 have found a lot of that inside our own system. And,
- 11 of course, we have very healthy discussions at these
- 12 biweekly meetings. And having people who have that
- 13 kind of both core competence of like our chief nuclear
- 14 security office people like Dr. Lagdon and so forth is
- 15 an important part of it. But it's only part of it,
- 16 and therefore this other role that we have described
- 17 for HSS, which in the last few years has provided a
- 18 lot of across-the-enterprise expertise to support line
- 19 management has got to be supplemented by a
- 20 continuation of HSS itself and HSS in bringing outside
- 21 reviewers like we did just this last time in January.
- That will frankly be part of the discipline,
- 23 part of the system of checks and balances, just as the
- 24 Defense Board is the third leg of that stool. So we
- 25 view this -- and I think this is quite clearly spelled

- 1 out in that memo that the Secretary and I cosigned.
- 2 We view this as integral parts of a coherent whole.
- 3 So each leg has to be internally strong and have
- 4 internalized not only the technical but also the
- 5 cultural aspects of safety excellence, but then the
- 6 existence of them in this structure of holding the
- 7 line accountable, but then having oversight from
- 8 within the Department through HSS, and then from
- 9 without the Department, part of the larger fabric from
- 10 the Board. That creates an overall system.
- 11 And you reminded me and I was remiss I think
- 12 perhaps in not emphasizing earlier we do not view this
- 13 as purely a federal function, okay, because -- and
- 14 I've often told at many, many conferences we all of us
- 15 work for the President. We all of us work for the
- 16 nation, whether we're federal employees or contractor
- 17 employees. And so we welcome the fact that the
- 18 contractor out there took the initiative. Partly it
- 19 was in response to directives that came out of our
- 20 response in the implementation plan to 11-1, but
- 21 partly it was on their own initiative to do their own
- 22 self-assessment, and they went out and, as you know,
- 23 they got external experts and they did their own
- 24 review and they came up with a set of internal
- 25 quidance for themselves.

- 1 We welcome that. We welcome contractors
- 2 taking the initiative. Obviously it has to be within
- 3 the four corners of the overall DOE directives and ISM
- 4 and so forth, but it's important that everybody,
- 5 whether fed or contractor, whether executive,
- 6 midlevel, middle manager or right down to the deck
- 7 plates, everyone has to own a piece of this. If you
- 8 look at the old posters from Admiral Rickover, you
- 9 will see the importance attached to individual
- 10 responsibility. You can never just say, hey, I'm not
- 11 the safety guy. That's Joe's job over there. Not
- 12 you, Mr. Bader, but speaking metaphorically.
- 13 (Laughter.)
- 14 MR. PONEMAN: And so that is a very
- 15 important part of this. And so those assessments also
- 16 should provide data that we can all of us take into
- 17 account.
- 18 CHAIRMAN WINOKUR: We have one additional
- 19 question. Mr. Bader?
- 20 MR. BADER: You made a comment that the
- 21 Secretary is very data-sensitive, and that leads me to
- 22 the question, what kind of metrics do you think,
- 23 specific metrics you expect to see coming out of the
- 24 implementation plan for Recommendation 2011 that you
- 25 and the Secretary will actually pay attention to?

- 1 MR. PONEMAN: I think there are a variety of
- 2 metrics, and even though we have been primarily
- 3 talking in terms of safety culture here, a very
- 4 important metric is safety at the site and that people
- 5 aren't getting hurt and that the job continues to be
- 6 performed well and safely. But in addition, we need
- 7 to clock the data of what actually happens when
- 8 someone expresses a Differing Professional Opinion.
- 9 MR. BADER: So you'll look at things like
- 10 Differing Professional Opinions, numbers of them.
- MR. PONEMAN: Absolutely, absolutely. Yes.
- 12 And this is a very tricky thing because, you know,
- 13 the old line about absence of evidence is not evidence
- 14 of absence, okay? So we will look at what comes into
- 15 the system by way of people expressing concerns, what
- 16 happens to those concerns when they get expressed, how
- 17 they're responded to professionally, respectfully and
- 18 so forth.
- But that will be necessary but not
- 20 sufficient to review the data on what's coming in. We
- 21 need to have assays and probes that continually check
- 22 it. And that's why you will continue to see us both
- 23 in terms of line management -- and it's not just the
- 24 Secretary and me. Obviously Mr. D'Agostino, Dave
- 25 Huizenga, Glenn Podonsky. Everybody is part of this

- 1 process and each of us is going to be receiving data
- 2 from our own interactions. And, you know, 11-1 is a
- 3 huge part of what we're doing obviously, but again,
- 4 and I think this is consistent with the scientific
- 5 method, not to rely solely on the issues coming before
- 6 us but also to be active in soliciting third-party and
- 7 outside reviewers to independently check is going to
- 8 be an important part of the process.
- 9 MR. BADER: I understand you're famous for
- 10 your deep dives on this project.
- MR. PONEMAN: Really?
- 12 (Laughter.)
- MR. BADER: Does that mean that you would in
- 14 the future plan to also look at things like the DPOs,
- 15 like the action plans and how they're being
- 16 implemented to track these safety culture issues?
- MR. PONEMAN: Yes.
- MR. BADER: The other thing that I'm most
- 19 interested in is how safety culture relates to solving
- 20 the issues, the technical issues and problems, of this
- 21 project. Is that also something that you would track
- 22 in your deep dives in the future, or have you been
- 23 tracking them already?
- 24 MR. PONEMAN: Well, the deep dives have
- 25 tended to be about a particular project in all of its

- 1 aspects. That's what makes it a deep dive. Certainly
- 2 things of this character would be part of that. I'll
- 3 give you one real-life example. It was not in
- 4 Forrestal, but I was out at one of the sites meeting
- 5 with actually a number of people, including the chief
- 6 executive of the contractor. And there was an episode
- 7 involving a worker where it turned out the worker was
- 8 fine, but there was a safety concern that was
- 9 registered. Everyone stopped and made sure that the
- 10 situation was safe and secure. Nobody said, you know,
- 11 we're on the clock, we've got to keep going. And what
- 12 I'm saying is although the deep dives are important,
- 13 it's not only through the deep dives that we'll get
- 14 the kind of information we need to see if actually on
- 15 the ground we're getting the results that we're
- 16 looking for.
- But certainly, Mr. Bader, the deep dives
- 18 would be an important place to have those kinds of
- 19 issues addressed. And independently of the deep
- 20 dives, at these biweekly meetings, we will certainly
- 21 check with our Chief Nuclear Safety Officer and see
- 22 what is in the hopper now in terms of concerns that
- 23 have been expressed, what has been the response to
- 24 those, and we will follow up in that respect as well.
- MR. BADER: Thank you, Mr. Secretary.

- 1 CHAIRMAN WINOKUR: Mr. Secretary, I'd like
- 2 to thank you for your time this morning. I know
- 3 you're extremely busy. We appreciate it very much.
- 4 MR. PONEMAN: I'd like to thank the Board
- 5 for all of its efforts in promoting the safety culture
- 6 at WTP and beyond.
- 7 CHAIRMAN WINOKUR: Thank you, sir.
- 8 MR. PONEMAN: Thank you.
- 9 CHAIRMAN WINOKUR: Now the Board would like
- 10 to invite Mr. Glenn Podonsky, chief of DOE's Health,
- 11 Safety, and Security organization, to the witness
- 12 table to discuss his office's role in characterizing
- 13 the safety culture for key projects across the DOE
- 14 defense nuclear facilities complex and evaluating the
- 15 effectiveness of corrective actions by DOE and its
- 16 contractors.
- 17 Obviously we'll accept your full written
- 18 statement into the record. I can assure you we have
- 19 many questions for you, so hopefully you'll summarize
- 20 your opening statement in five minutes or so. But
- 21 either way, either way --
- 22 (Laughter.)
- 23 CHAIRMAN WINOKUR: The answer is obviously
- 24 no there. He's been counting the minutes that the
- 25 Secretary and I used. So, please, welcome.

- 1 MR. PODONSKY: Well, thank you, Mr.
- 2 Chairman. I know I'm a little bit right of the Deputy
- 3 Secretary. I don't think that's an indicator. But
- 4 the chair is much lower.
- 5 (Laughter.)
- 6 CHAIRMAN WINOKUR: Please feel free to move
- 7 over. There you go.
- 8 MR. PODONSKY: And I'm still to the right.
- 9 Mr. Chairman and members of the Board, I would like to
- 10 submit my written testimony for the record. I also
- 11 want to thank you for the first time in 17 years that
- 12 I appear before you I'm not the last witness. But I
- 13 will try to summarize within five minutes.
- 14 CHAIRMAN WINOKUR: But we've always saved
- 15 the best for last.
- 16 MR. PODONSKY: Just not this time. I
- 17 understand.
- 18 (Laughter.)
- 19 MR. PODONSKY: At the outset, I do want to
- 20 thank you. I want to thank you for holding this
- 21 hearing and for your role as overseer and providing
- 22 advice to the Secretary on matters concerning nuclear
- 23 safety. As I'm sure you understand, Congress
- 24 established the Board because it wanted additional
- 25 independent eyes on the Department at a time when

- 1 there was no check and balance on some of the most
- 2 hazardous operations facing the nation in a post-
- 3 Chernobyl year. In fact, as you know, it was at a
- 4 time that DOE relied almost entirely on its
- 5 contractors to identify safety concerns and to
- 6 recommend appropriate actions, in part because of the
- 7 imbalance in technical capabilities and experience
- 8 between the contractors and DOE staff.
- 9 The Congress, as Dr. Mansfield I'm sure
- 10 remembers, back then, back then held a belief that
- 11 protection of the public health and safety required
- 12 the end of continuing reliance on DOE contractors for
- 13 self-identification of safety problems. I certainly
- 14 hope the Board as well as workers and the public
- 15 throughout the DOE complex know that this Secretary of
- 16 Energy and the Deputy Secretary's commitment, as he
- 17 just spoke of today, as well as ours in HSS is strong
- 18 and sustained on safety culture, where all employees
- 19 pursue safe performance of work. They're encouraged to
- 20 have a questioning attitude; and are ensured of
- 21 executing their mission goals safely is not just
- 22 another bumper sticker.
- The Secretary and the Deputy, as you talked
- 24 about in your statement and the Deputy talked about in
- 25 his, outlined a strong role for this Board in their

- 1 December 5 memo on nuclear safety at the Department of
- 2 Energy, and I would just like to quote, "The Defense
- 3 Nuclear Facilities Safety Board also plays a pivotal
- 4 role in providing recommendations as well as oversight
- 5 to the Department." That's key because I think it's
- 6 important to acknowledge and recognize that at WTP the
- 7 issues that were brought forward were the issues that
- 8 you all brought forward to the Department.
- 9 In my written testimony, I give a current
- 10 detailed status of the update of WTP. But in brief, I
- 11 would say that we believe that DOE leadership has
- 12 responded very positively to the HSS report that we
- 13 issued in January. At a hearing in March where David
- 14 Huizenga testified on the same panel as I did, he
- 15 pledged his full support for our recommendations, and
- 16 I personally know that he is fully engaged in all
- 17 matters regarding safety culture throughout the EM
- 18 community as well as at WTP.
- 19 Additionally, HSS will thoroughly review any
- 20 new allegations or indications that the safety culture
- 21 is not being taken seriously. As you mentioned, we
- 22 are, HSS, leading our extent of condition review at
- 23 other projects. There's a mixed story so far, which
- 24 we will roll out later in the fall.
- In accordance with the IP for 2011-1, the

- 1 extent of condition reviews are progressing in two
- 2 avenues. One avenue is the HSS independent reviews of
- 3 the selected facilities and projects. The second is
- 4 the self-assessments by DOE sites and program offices,
- 5 which we intend to review just to confirm the efficacy
- 6 of those activities.
- 7 Both will focus on a Safety-Conscious Work
- 8 Environment, an important subset of safety culture.
- 9 We are leading the report, the Department, in a report
- 10 to Congress of five nuclear construction projects over
- 11 \$1 billion, looking at contracts, fee structures,
- 12 policies and management to see if they are having any
- 13 negative impact on safety culture, as mandated by
- 14 Congress in the 2012 budget, and I understand it's in
- 15 the language again for 2013.
- 16 HSS is attempting to lead by example. Our
- 17 National Training Center [NTC] is supporting the DNFSB
- 18 2011-1 implementation team in developing Safety-
- 19 Conscious Work Environment training for senior DOE and
- 20 contractor workers. The NTC is also working with
- 21 HAMMER, the Hazardous Materials Management Emergency
- 22 Response Training and Education Center, to develop a
- 23 training program with strong emphasis on safety
- 24 culture which can be utilized throughout the DOE.
- We believe so strongly that safety culture

- 1 is vital to DOE missions to be successful that all HSS
- 2 managers have begun safety culture training sessions
- 3 this past month. I myself have also participated in
- 4 over five hours of such training.
- 5 In conclusion, if we take care of our
- 6 workers, we feel that they will take care of the
- 7 mission. That's what it is all about. I would like
- 8 to conclude my opening remark by making it clear to
- 9 the Defense Board and both the workers and the public
- 10 that we oppose any weakening of protection of workers
- 11 in the complex. That is why the President through a
- 12 May 15 statement of administration policy regarding
- 13 House of Representatives Bill 4310 said, and I quote,
- 14 "By lowering safety standards for nuclear weapons
- 15 complex and reducing requested funding for HSS, these
- 16 provisions would weaken protections for workers and
- 17 the general public."
- 18 As the independent organization for the
- 19 Department, the Secretary and the Deputy and most
- 20 other DOE leaders rely on us to conduct assessments of
- 21 safety and security programs and projects throughout
- 22 DOE, including NNSA. For the credibility of DOE with
- 23 the workers and the public, it's necessary to have a
- 24 viable, independent regulatory model. This has been
- 25 one of the significant lessons learned from the recent

- 1 tragic nuclear disaster at Fukushima. The regulatory
- 2 model currently employed by DOE with the Defense
- 3 Board, HSS and line management has served the DOE for
- 4 well over 23 years. Changes to the current check and
- 5 balance of operations, handling the most hazardous
- 6 materials and the most deadly weapons known to mankind
- 7 defies logic and potentially jeopardizes the health
- 8 and safety of thousands of workers, and this is
- 9 something that we should not tolerate.
- 10 CHAIRMAN WINOKUR: Thank you very much for
- 11 that statement. We'll turn to our questions now, and
- 12 I believe the first question will be Ms. Roberson
- 13 again.
- 14 VICE CHAIRMAN ROBERSON: Thank you. Good
- 15 morning.
- 16 MR. PODONSKY: Good morning. And how are
- 17 you?
- 18 VICE CHAIRMAN ROBERSON: Good, good. So
- 19 it's my understanding that HSS, although the reports
- 20 may not have been completed yet, you've essentially
- 21 done your field work on your safety culture
- 22 assessments of three construction projects, is that
- 23 right?
- MR. PODONSKY: Yes.
- 25 VICE CHAIRMAN ROBERSON: So can you share at

- 1 the summary level some of the results that you're
- 2 seeing, especially those that are common strengths --
- 3 we love to hear strengths -- common weaknesses? And
- 4 if you are detecting that differences -- I know DOE as
- 5 well, and you know, every activity is a unique
- 6 activity -- if uniqueness is contributing to safety
- 7 culture issues. Kind of give me the highlights of
- 8 strengths, weaknesses and commonalities or not.
- 9 MR. PODONSKY: Well, the greatest strength
- 10 is something that if I look over the last 20 years,
- 11 the Department has just begun a very serious journey
- 12 along the safety culture continuum. It's premature to
- 13 be able to give you any conclusionary statement about
- 14 the sites that we've looked at. We actually just
- 15 published CMRR.
- 16 But what is common is like HSS, when we did
- 17 our first review of WTP, we too did not truly
- 18 understand the intricacies of safety culture in all
- 19 its implications. From a technical standpoint, the
- 20 Department of Energy, like the Defense Board, is
- 21 technically very competent, but when it comes to human
- 22 behavior and the understanding of what motivates
- 23 people and what demotivates people, that's where the
- 24 complexities come in, and that's where we heard the
- 25 Deputy talk about bringing in behavioral scientists.

- 1 So what we've seen overall is that while
- 2 it's not endemic, the extent that we saw at WTP so
- 3 far, we do believe it's going to be a concurrent
- 4 theme, an understanding of what is safety culture, how
- 5 do you infuse it, how do you get the trust of the
- 6 workers to believe that it's not just the bumper
- 7 sticker that we've often heard of.
- I think we heard very convincingly from the
- 9 Deputy Secretary. And I do believe, and I've served
- 10 under nine Deputy Secretaries and Secretaries, I do
- 11 believe he is very sincere and the Secretary is
- 12 sincere about what they mean. I don't know how much
- 13 time they have left. So to institutionalize this, as
- 14 the Chairman had asked the question I believe, part of
- 15 it is continuing this journey, continuing the extent
- 16 of condition reviews, looking at the construction
- 17 projects and never stopping no matter what happens in
- 18 the fall election. We have to continue doing this.
- 19 The workers deserve it, and I think, as I said in my
- 20 opening statement, that's a little bit why you all
- 21 were created and that's why we are here as well.
- 22 VICE CHAIRMAN ROBERSON: I think your
- 23 challenge is great in that through these assessments,
- 24 you're important to the Department in ensuring that
- 25 the very thing the Department put in place to identify

- 1 and help correct an action does not in itself reflect
- 2 the original problem, that is, the willingness and
- 3 openness for those talented people in the Department
- 4 to raise safety issues and feel confident that those
- 5 concerns expressed will be responded to and addressed.
- 6 So how are you going to track and manage all these
- 7 issues from the assessments and organize them and then
- 8 feed back to the rest of the complex so that they can
- 9 feel confident? Really, it's the reinvestment of the
- 10 message.
- MR. PODONSKY: Well, as you know -- and this
- 12 is not a paid-for political announcement, but as you
- 13 know, the position that I hold is a career position.
- 14 There was much controversy when it was created because
- 15 it was a political position. And I think the
- 16 sustainability of the safety, health, and security for
- 17 the workers and for the Department, it's critical that
- 18 it is not political. You should not politicize safety
- 19 and health of the workers.
- 20 So the constant reviews that we will be
- 21 doing that we are committed to in safety culture will
- 22 serve as a reminder on a regular basis, including when
- 23 the next administration comes in. And as the Deputy
- 24 Secretary mentioned, and I'll reiterate that point, it
- 25 is a constant. It is a journey. And it has to be

- 1 maintained at the same level, and it can't just be
- 2 something that comes and goes.
- ISM is a great example. It was introduced,
- 4 as you recall, in 1994 by the Defense Board. And here
- 5 we are many years later, 18 years later, and we're
- 6 still very supportive of ISM. It's the only policy
- 7 that I have seen in my short 29 years at the
- 8 Department that has consistently been embraced by
- 9 every successive Secretary because it makes sense and
- 10 adds value. I believe that by putting safety culture
- 11 as part of the ISM guide, it will also stand a very
- 12 good chance of surviving many more Secretaries.
- 13 VICE CHAIRMAN ROBERSON: Okay. Are there
- 14 some key lessons learned from the reviews done so far
- 15 that you will make sure are incorporated in the future
- 16 HSS reviews as well as the self-assessments?
- 17 MR. PODONSKY: Yes, ma'am. One of the
- 18 things that we are learning, as I alluded to in terms
- 19 of behavioral science, is understanding how to reflect
- 20 what the people are telling us as opposed to
- 21 interpreting what they are telling us. We held
- 22 numerous focus group meetings across WTP and now
- 23 across the complex, and those focus group meetings are
- 24 where you get like people together in terms of at an
- 25 even level, not either supervisors or non-supervisors

- 1 in the same organization. And what you're eliciting
- 2 from them is their feelings and beliefs of an
- 3 organization, and it's critical that you use proven
- 4 survey methodologies to get at that hard core.
- 5 Mr. Bader was talking about metrics to the
- 6 Deputy Secretary, and if you'll allow me, I was
- 7 somewhat amused by the question because I remember
- 8 being asked by Frank Blake, our former Deputy
- 9 Secretary a few years ago who's now the Chairman of
- 10 the Home Depot and doing quite well, but he had asked
- 11 us at the time, the independent oversight, to do a
- 12 metric development, performance metrics, for NNSA and
- 13 then also one for Energy at the time, in which
- 14 Undersecretary Bob Card was the Undersecretary and
- 15 John Gordon was the Administrator at NNSA.
- 16 And my point here is that when I went to
- 17 both of them, they both had different metrics of value
- 18 to them and they were completely different than what
- 19 Frank Blake had. So, in terms of how do you measure
- 20 where we're going in terms of how do you document and
- 21 how do you impress upon it, I think it's going to be
- 22 tailored to the individual mission, the individual
- 23 site. But above everything else, it's going to be
- 24 totally up to the leadership of the Department to
- 25 embrace, like they did ISM, embrace the safety culture

- 1 concept.
- 2 VICE CHAIRMAN ROBERSON: Well, as we sit
- 3 today -- just one last question, Mr. Chairman.
- 4 CHAIRMAN WINOKUR: Sure.
- 5 VICE CHAIRMAN ROBERSON: As we sit today,
- 6 what is it that you expect will be HSS's role in
- 7 assuring that these actions are implemented that are
- 8 resulting from these assessments?
- 9 MR. PODONSKY: Well, I have every
- 10 expectation that HSS will continue to be the
- 11 independent arm for oversight for environment, safety,
- 12 health, safequard security, cybersecurity. And we
- 13 will continue on this journey with safety culture
- 14 because we believe safety culture is key to making
- 15 sure that the missions actually are going to be
- 16 completed on time within cost because, as I said in my
- 17 opening statement, if you take care of the people, the
- 18 people will take care of the mission. If the people
- 19 believe that the leadership is really behind their
- 20 being able to go home safely every night from their
- 21 project, then they will be not distracted and be able
- 22 to work on the projects, knowing that they're working
- 23 in a safe environment and have no fear of raising
- 24 issues.
- 25 And one other point if I might. It wasn't

- 1 so much a fear that we found at WTP. It was the
- 2 concern that people didn't care to listen, and that is
- 3 almost as bad as being afraid to raise an issue.
- 4 VICE CHAIRMAN ROBERSON: Thank you. Thank
- 5 you, Mr. Podonsky.
- 6 CHAIRMAN WINOKUR: Mr. Bader.
- 7 MR. BADER: Mr. Podonsky, based on what
- 8 you've seen from these different reviews so far, can
- 9 you make a determination of what the role the contract
- 10 plays in the safety culture, in other words, how it's
- 11 incentivized?
- 12 MR. PODONSKY: As I said earlier, it's a
- 13 little early to tell as to all of the contracts. But
- 14 what we have seen thus far is it doesn't give us
- 15 concern that the contractual basis is driving safety
- 16 culture down. And some of this is conjecture on
- 17 limited data points, but it goes back to leadership.
- 18 It goes back to expectations. And I think that if you
- 19 look at the Department of Energy over the last 30
- 20 years, that's been a precept throughout, and that is
- 21 what is the leadership at the sites, the site offices,
- 22 the contractors? What are they motivated by? Are
- 23 they encouraged for focusing on safety, or is the
- 24 encouragement meeting the contract on cost and
- 25 schedule?

- And if the message isn't clear from the very
- 2 top of the Department, then there's confusion in the
- 3 field. When the message is crystal-clear, we don't
- 4 see that confusion. Part of the issue over the years
- 5 in the Department has been what are the roles and
- 6 responsibilities in the Department. And I realize
- 7 this is adding more to your question, but I think if
- 8 you're going to get at those types of questions that
- 9 you're posing, you really have to understand that it's
- 10 much more complicated than just is the contract being
- 11 incentivized to ignore safety.
- 12 We haven't seen any evidence of that. We
- 13 believe thus far -- and again, we're just beginning on
- 14 this journey. We're realizing that it really is about
- 15 leadership throughout the entire chain because what
- 16 the managers and the leaders, both contractor and fed,
- 17 say and what they see and what the workers see is how
- 18 they're going to carry the work out.
- But to the point directly, we haven't seen
- 20 any evidence that would give us concern thus far that
- 21 the contracts are the problem.
- MR. BADER: Do you think conversely that
- 23 incentivizing the contracts would be helpful to
- 24 leadership?
- MR. PODONSKY: That's a very difficult

- 1 question because then the question becomes what do you
- 2 really believe. Is that your belief, or are you just
- 3 following the contract? Incentivizing means what,
- 4 meaning a greater financial remuneration? I'm not
- 5 sure that that's where it comes from. I think it
- 6 comes from the individual desire and values. And if
- 7 your values are as I believe the Deputy -- as he
- 8 expressed today, if those are your real values, your
- 9 incentive comes from within.
- 10 We had a Secretary of Energy out of one of
- 11 the nine that I worked for, who I won't mention, who
- 12 believed in safety as such a core value that when they
- 13 came to the Department, the first thing they did is
- 14 they were inspecting the Forrestal. I don't know what
- 15 he was inspecting for, but he took it upon himself to
- 16 go inspect because I understand that during a previous
- 17 part of his career somebody died in one of his
- 18 organizations and that made a lasting impression. So
- 19 it goes beyond the contract incentivizing. I think it
- 20 has to be the core value of the individual.
- MR. BADER: Thank you.
- 22 CHAIRMAN WINOKUR: Dr. Mansfield.
- DR. MANSFIELD: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
- 24 Mr. Podonsky, I paid particular attention to your
- 25 second study. It demonstrated to me that there's

- 1 probably always going to be a dramatic difference
- 2 between studies of safety culture done by self-
- 3 assessment and studies of safety culture using the
- 4 best available external experts to move things along.
- 5 So my question is will the upcoming assessments,
- 6 safety culture assessments at site offices and
- 7 facilities and headquarters, program offices, will
- 8 they be carried out with external help as well?
- 9 MR. PODONSKY: It's a two-part answer. We
- 10 have continued to use the expertise that we used at
- 11 WTP throughout, and we will continue to use that
- 12 expertise. We're even utilizing that same group to
- 13 provide training for HSS. We're also going to use
- 14 that expertise at our National Training Center to make
- 15 sure that that's available and we can start providing
- 16 that expertise throughout the complex.
- 17 Whether or not the programs individually
- 18 come to that same conclusion, I'm not certain, but I
- 19 believe that they're seeing the results. As the
- 20 Deputy mentioned in his answer to some of the
- 21 questions, he was in fact quite amazed at the
- 22 difference between the 2010 report results and the
- 23 results of 2011. So I do believe that because of the
- 24 expertise that the behavioral scientists are showing,
- 25 I believe that the program offices, the site offices

- 1 will use similar third parties to help them if they
- 2 don't have the expertise themselves. And where they
- 3 don't have it, we hope to provide some of it through
- 4 our National Training Center.
- 5 DR. MANSFIELD: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
- 6 CHAIRMAN WINOKUR: Mr. Podonsky, your
- 7 organization obviously played an incredibly important
- 8 role in understanding the safety culture at the Waste
- 9 Treatment Plant. Let me say parenthetically something
- 10 I've never said publicly before, but the Board, which
- 11 deals with projects all over the Department of Energy
- 12 complex, knows what safety culture looks like too, and
- 13 we didn't really discuss this very much in terms of
- 14 the recommendation because we didn't, you know, want
- 15 this to be an issue of the Board's perspective versus
- 16 the Department's perspective.
- 17 But, you know, we understand what a good
- 18 safety culture of a project is just by dealing with a
- 19 project. But you brought some very new tools here and
- 20 new insights. You brought experts in. You made the
- 21 point that you don't just use nuclear experts, you use
- 22 nuclear safety culture experts to do things. Do you
- 23 have any feeling about other things you're going to do
- 24 to expand your ability to assess safety culture?
- MR. PODONSKY: Well, you don't tinker with

- 1 success, so we're going to continue using that model
- 2 throughout, as well as, as I mentioned, that we are
- 3 doing our own training in the organization utilizing
- 4 the same experts.
- 5 The other thing we're doing is we're doing a
- 6 safety culture assessment on ourselves, on HSS, to get
- 7 a sense of where we are because, as you may recall,
- 8 HSS is a conglomerate of many offices over time and if
- 9 we're going to walk the walk, we need to examine
- 10 ourselves as well. So we're exploring lots of
- 11 different ways to better ourselves, to assist the
- 12 Department.
- The Deputy the last time he appeared before
- 14 you all in public session, he talked about HSS having
- 15 a duality role, and that duality role is to provide
- 16 independent inspection capability for the Department
- 17 in the many areas that we're responsible but also to
- 18 provide assistance. And on the assistance part, this
- 19 is where we think that we need to redouble our efforts
- 20 in helping the field and the program offices
- 21 understand the a-ha moment that we received when we
- 22 went back out to WTP.
- 23 CHAIRMAN WINOKUR: Do you think DOE senior
- 24 leadership -- are they supportive of your activities,
- 25 fully supportive? Do you have the support you need to

- 1 continue to maintain this capability or perhaps grow
- 2 it?
- MR. PODONSKY: The answer is yes, I believe
- 4 that's the case. No one has stopped us yet. That's
- 5 not a metric, but it's a reality. And I do believe,
- 6 as I said before, and I'll just iterate the point, I
- 7 believe the Deputy and the Secretary are in fact very
- 8 reliant on what HSS brings to the table. And like
- 9 many Secretaries before them, they don't always like
- 10 to hear the message simply because sometimes it comes
- 11 as a surprise. But we're still here, and I think,
- 12 like the Board still being in place, I think it's a
- 13 testament to the roles that our organizations serve.
- So, in short, yes, I do believe we have the
- 15 support of the current administration.
- 16 CHAIRMAN WINOKUR: Okay. How about metrics
- 17 again, that question of how you're going to measure
- 18 the success of the safety culture? The Board's
- 19 hearings at Hanford were very focused on the
- 20 relationship between the safety culture and the
- 21 resolution of technical issues. And clearly, if you
- 22 don't have people raising issues and they're not
- 23 resolved, you know, we saw situations where issues
- 24 weren't being addressed for years, sometimes a decade,
- 25 at a project because they were just too difficult for

- 1 workers to get them in front of their management, who
- 2 had other priorities. So is that a metric that you
- 3 think is a good one, or are there other metrics that
- 4 we asked the Deputy this, that you're planning to use?
- 5 MR. PODONSKY: We don't have a defined set
- 6 of metrics that we are currently exploring. We're
- 7 trying to get our arms around the first part that I
- 8 mentioned, is making sure that the message and the
- 9 seriousness of safety culture gets out there. And the
- 10 reason I say that in terms of how we're going to
- 11 measure it -- it's still a journey that we're on -- is
- 12 because of just like I mentioned, giving the example
- 13 of Frank Blake and Bob Card and John Gordon, different
- 14 things are of different value to different managers.
- 15 Different metrics, whatever they might be,
- 16 are going to have a different value. If you don't
- 17 have people bringing issues forward, that's not
- 18 necessarily a good metric. That may be the complete
- 19 antithesis. So finding those metrics that you know
- 20 that you're achieving what you're achieving is going
- 21 to be very difficult. And to say anything otherwise I
- 22 think is a little naive in terms of how you're going
- 23 to measure whether you're successful or not, because
- 24 this is a very complex situation. It's taken decades,
- 25 decades for the Department to come to the realization

- 1 of the importance of safety culture and the subset of
- 2 the Safety-Conscious Work Environment to address
- 3 technical matters.
- 4 So I don't mean to be cavalier on the
- 5 question. It's just that I have a professional and
- 6 personal opinion that like economists you can lay them
- 7 all end to end. They don't come to a conclusion. And
- 8 metrics are important, but unless you get the right
- 9 ones, you might be chasing the wrong measure. To me,
- 10 it's going to be a constant being out there, not just
- 11 the all-hands meetings that the Deputy was talking
- 12 about, but it has to be the managers, the site
- 13 managers, the contract managers that are out there
- 14 actually walking the space with their workers.
- 15 In fact, if I can, if you'll allow me, I'll
- 16 give you an example. I talked to a manager recently,
- 17 a contract manager, who was experiencing a problem
- 18 with a worker, and when we talked -- and it doesn't
- 19 matter what site it was at, but when we talked, I had
- 20 suggested, you know, maybe you ought to just cut to
- 21 the chase and go ahead and talk to the worker yourself
- 22 and leave your lieutenants behind. And the individual
- 23 did that, the manager did that and called me back and
- 24 told me what a great experience it was because he
- 25 discovered that he could actually find truth in going

- 1 out and talking to the people. Not that he didn't
- 2 seek it before, but it's like everybody else in senior
- 3 management positions. We have filters and if those
- 4 filters are in place, you don't get to the
- 5 groundswell.
- 6 So the same thing with metrics. I have a
- 7 little bit of a problem having gone through that
- 8 experience with Frank Blake and Bob Card because I
- 9 discovered very early on just how varied those metrics
- 10 are and what they mean to other people.
- 11 CHAIRMAN WINOKUR: I had asked the Deputy a
- 12 question before about perhaps the challenges
- 13 associated with a lab like Los Alamos where you have
- 14 R&D efforts, a duality with R&D efforts on one side
- 15 and production on the other. Do you see that as an
- 16 especially difficult challenge?
- 17 MR. PODONSKY: I would answer it this way.
- 18 And with CMRR, I will tell you since we actually
- 19 issued the report we do have concerns with NNSA and
- 20 CMRR in terms of safety culture and their
- 21 understanding of it. But I would tell you when we
- 22 were doing WTP, one of the comments made out at the
- 23 site to our behavioral scientists was, well, you don't
- 24 understand the issues out here. We're a construction
- 25 site. We're not nuclear. And the response by our

- 1 expert was, after doing this for 30 years all around
- 2 the world, it doesn't matter what the expertise is.
- 3 It's what are your values.
- 4 So I will tell you, whether it's engineering
- 5 or research, it doesn't matter. It's what are the
- 6 values. And those values exist across the board, and
- 7 do they place safety as the highest value.
- In the English language, it's very unique.
- 9 When you say safety is intrinsic to the work, does
- 10 that mean it's equal? Does it mean that it's more
- 11 important? In my vernacular, it has to be the number
- 12 one of importance. Some people will equate intrinsic
- 13 to equal. And regardless of whether it's a research
- 14 organization or an engineering, just to iterate, and
- 15 I'll reiterate the point, it's all about the values of
- 16 the people there.
- 17 CHAIRMAN WINOKUR: Let me just follow that
- 18 up very briefly. The INPO definition of safety
- 19 culture says safety is an overriding priority. It's
- 20 not the definition the Department has chosen. I mean,
- 21 the Department's vision is really one of the fact that
- 22 -- well, you know the words. Instead of an overriding
- 23 priority, it says the safe performance of work is
- 24 performed to protect the public, the workers and the
- 25 environment. Do you think that in any way says that

- 1 safety is not an overriding priority?
- 2 MR. PODONSKY: In listening to the
- 3 leadership of the Department, I believe, regardless of
- 4 the phrase, I believe they think it is a number one,
- 5 overriding priority.
- 6 CHAIRMAN WINOKUR: Okay.
- 7 MR. PODONSKY: Okay? We heard the Deputy
- 8 talk about it. He was here for an hour and 10
- 9 minutes. He answered all the questions. He didn't do
- 10 it reluctantly. I thought he did very well in his
- 11 answers and I thought he was very sincere. That's
- 12 what I was looking for.
- 13 CHAIRMAN WINOKUR: Thank you. Dr.
- 14 Mansfield.
- 15 DR. MANSFIELD: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. At
- 16 the March 22 hearing at Hanford, someone -- I believe
- 17 it was Mr. Hutton -- used the following words:
- 18 "Overall, there's a reluctance to raise safety
- 19 concerns at ORP and BNI, and within certain groups at
- 20 BNI, there's a fear of retaliation. The approach to
- 21 safety and safety culture, it is highly proceduralized
- 22 around WTP and not yet internalized at all levels of
- 23 the organization. WTP managers don't have a full
- 24 appreciation for the current culture or the level of
- 25 effort needed to foster a healthy safety culture."

- I think that is captured somewhat in your
- 2 remark that you have to understand this was a
- 3 construction site, not a nuclear site. I thought
- 4 those were useful remarks, and I'd ask what progress
- 5 has been made identifying the root causes of these
- 6 safety culture problems in ORP and BNI.
- 7 MR. PODONSKY: Well, first, the number one
- 8 progress that has been made is -- who you'll be
- 9 hearing from shortly, I'm sure, Dave Huizenga. Dave
- 10 Huizenga -- and I'm not here to give him a
- 11 testimonial, but I will tell you that Dave Huizenga
- 12 embodies what we expect from Department's leadership
- 13 of such a major function like the EM organization. He
- 14 is sincerely interested in making sure that safety
- 15 culture is taken seriously by all of his staff at
- 16 headquarters as well as in the field and by the
- 17 contractor. He has been out multiple times to
- 18 Hanford, which in many Assistant Secretary equivalents
- 19 I have not seen that, multiple trips. And it's not
- 20 because the Secretary is sending him. It's because
- 21 he's taking the initiative.
- 22 So it starts with the leader, and you have
- 23 that in Dave Huizenga. And then when you carry it
- 24 right on through to ORP with Scott, I see the same
- 25 thing. And then you take it down to Frank Russo, it's

- 1 the same thing. The leaders get it. They understand
- 2 it. That's your number one change. They didn't all
- 3 get it previously, in the same way HSS did not fully
- 4 understand the magnitude of the problem.
- 5 Once that change gets inculcated in the
- 6 operation, that's when we're going to see real
- 7 changes. I know that the contractor in collaboration
- 8 with the site office, ORP, are doing their level best
- 9 to get to the heart and soul of what are at the root.
- 10 They're doing their own surveys. There's another
- 11 question on doing your own survey because you want
- 12 proven methodologies, not just what you think is good.
- 13 But the steps that they are taking is bar none what
- 14 you would expect after the types of reports that have
- 15 been written both by yourselves and our folks here.
- 16 But as I said earlier, it's a journey, and we've just
- 17 begun that journey. But I have every confidence that
- 18 with the leadership that we have both back here at
- 19 headquarters, there in the field and the contractor,
- there's no reason for them not to be successful.
- 21 And I'm not one to predict, but I would say
- 22 that they will probably serve as a model for the other
- 23 sites that don't necessarily get it yet. And if they
- 24 don't serve as a model, then shame to the other sites
- 25 for not, you know, collaborating with them to

- 1 understand lessons learned.
- DR. MANSFIELD: Good. Thank you, Mr.
- 3 Chairman.
- 4 CHAIRMAN WINOKUR: Mr. Bader.
- 5 MR. BADER: Mr. Podonsky, you've been around
- 6 a good 20 years plus. Can you summarize in your
- 7 opinion what you think the safety and the safety
- 8 culture trends are that you've seen over that period
- 9 in the Department?
- 10 MR. PODONSKY: Not to sound like a broken
- 11 record, but I would say we're just on this journey
- 12 now. Previously, to the last three or four years, we
- 13 had safety culture discussions within the EFCOG
- 14 [Energy Facility Contractors Group] community, and
- 15 you're familiar with EFCOG. We've had this ebb and
- 16 flow. We've had different Secretaries focus on it,
- 17 focus on security, which is also part of our
- 18 portfolio. As of the last three years and especially
- 19 the last year, we see a marked improvement in the
- 20 understanding and appreciation of what it takes to
- 21 have a safety culture in place.
- That's not to say that we don't have a good
- 23 safety record. The Department has a good safety
- 24 record. We have some blemishes, and those blemishes
- obviously, as I mentioned in my opening remarks, were

- 1 part of the reasons that the Board was created, to
- 2 make sure that we just didn't rely on contractor
- 3 assurance and that there was competent federal
- 4 oversight both at the line and at headquarters.
- 5 So we see the arrow going pointed up, that
- 6 we're in a better place today. And again, as I said
- 7 earlier, this is not a paid-for political
- 8 announcement, but the Board has played a significant
- 9 role in this in your 23 years of existence. There has
- 10 been many opinions written about the Board and you
- 11 didn't ask, but I'll give you mine. I think the Board
- 12 has provided some real value over your existence.
- 13 If we step back and look at what the role
- 14 is, providing advice to the Department and to the
- 15 Secretary and overseeing nuclear safety at defense
- 16 facilities, the aggregate is very positive. Not
- 17 everybody would agree with that. As we know, not
- 18 everybody agrees that HSS is a valuable asset right
- 19 now. But irrespective of that, I think that where
- 20 there are metrics that we would apply, we would see
- 21 that the arrow is up, and part of it is because of the
- 22 current governance model that we have, with the Board,
- 23 HSS and the line oversight functions.
- 24 MR. BADER: Would you care to project what
- 25 the future trend might be?

- 1 MR. PODONSKY: Well, if I believe everything
- 2 I've spoken about today, I think that the trend is
- 3 positive, and I think as long as the Defense Board
- 4 remains intact and HSS remains intact, I think we'll
- 5 be able to encourage the Department to continue that
- 6 positive trend.
- 7 MR. BADER: Well, I hope you're right
- 8 because I can remember 20-plus years ago when I was
- 9 astounded to find out there were some sites where DOE
- 10 personnel were not allowed on without an escort. So
- 11 that was then. Thank you.
- MR. PODONSKY: May I make a comment?
- 13 CHAIRMAN WINOKUR: You may.
- MR. PODONSKY: I actually had -- and I won't
- 15 mention the lab. I had a lab director tell me in 1985
- 16 during an inspection, Mr. Podonsky, if you were any
- 17 good, you'd work for the lab. So we fully understand
- 18 your experience.
- 19 CHAIRMAN WINOKUR: I don't think I'd touch
- 20 that one.
- 21 (Laughter.)
- 22 CHAIRMAN WINOKUR: You had your statement at
- 23 the beginning, and you did mention things that are
- 24 taking place today on Capitol Hill with bills and
- 25 legislation. There are new models being looked at,

- 1 the use of OSHA, whether it should be prescribed for
- 2 non-nuclear operations, discussions about performance-
- 3 based oversight and transactional oversight. Two
- 4 questions actually. I mean, any other thoughts you
- 5 have about that based upon your 30 or 40 years
- 6 experience in the Department? And in terms of safety
- 7 culture, what kind of a message would that send to
- 8 workers in the field? When workers are told that this
- 9 is the way we're going to do business now as opposed
- 10 to how we were doing it in the last 20 years, what do
- 11 you think that says to them?
- MR. PODONSKY: Well, as you know, the
- 13 executive branch never really makes full comment on
- 14 pending legislation. However, since you're asking the
- 15 question, in my opinion, as I just stated, the current
- 16 governance model of the Department is the model that
- 17 serves both the American people and the workers quite
- 18 well. There is a check and balance between the
- 19 Defense Board, HSS, our predecessor organizations and
- 20 the line. When there are proposals, and this is not
- 21 the first time this has occurred, but when there are
- 22 proposals that you go back to the model of the 1980s,
- 23 what you're doing is you're sending a message that
- 24 worker health and safety is not as important. And so
- 25 that's why I'm sure that the administration took a

- 1 strong position in their SAP [Statement of
- 2 Administration Policy], as I quoted from, and is
- 3 opposed to the changes that are being discussed.
- 4 Previously, those changes were discussed
- 5 back in the '90s, going to an NRC model, going to an
- 6 OSHA model. And I've talked to many of the
- 7 Commissioners, most recently at NRC, and they also do
- 8 not believe that that is the way to go because we
- 9 don't license our facilities. We have a different
- 10 type of operation, different hazards. We went and
- 11 actually talked to the OSHA Administrator, who feels
- 12 the same way. They would like to move more towards
- 13 our model of worker health and safety than us going to
- 14 their model. So I think those people who are
- 15 knowledgeable and experienced and have seen this cycle
- 16 are of the same opinion as far as I can tell that this
- 17 is the best way to protect the workers and get the job
- 18 done.
- 19 You asked what would the workers think, and
- 20 I think I just said, but I'll just iterate, it is kind
- 21 of how WTP got into difficulties if they didn't feel
- 22 they could raise issues. And if we go to a new model
- 23 where the contractors are left to their own devices --
- 24 not that they're not well-intended, but if they're
- 25 left to their own devices, just human nature, if there

- 1 is no check and balance, we will have issues similar
- 2 to what we experienced back in the '80s.
- 3 CHAIRMAN WINOKUR: Thank you. Are there any
- 4 other questions?
- 5 (No response.)
- 6 CHAIRMAN WINOKUR: We really appreciate your
- 7 time. Thank you very much, as always. And I think
- 8 you're going to stay on this third panel. I believe
- 9 we're inviting you to do that perhaps.
- 10 (Laughter.)
- 11 CHAIRMAN WINOKUR: Perhaps you don't have
- 12 time, so we'll see.
- 13 MR. PODONSKY: Should I move further to the
- 14 right?
- 15 CHAIRMAN WINOKUR: Yes, that will be fine.
- 16 Thank you. So we'd like to invite the rest of the
- 17 panel of witnesses from the Department of Energy
- 18 headquarters to take their seats as I introduce them
- 19 for the topic of this panel session, which is Line
- 20 Management's Actions to Evaluate and Address Safety
- 21 Culture Issues Across DOE's Defense Nuclear Facilities
- 22 Complex.
- 23 Mr. David Huizenga is DOE's Senior Advisor
- 24 for Environmental Management. And Mr. James Hutton is
- 25 DOE Environmental Management's Chief of Nuclear Safety

- 1 Advisor. He is DOE Environmental Management's Chief
- 2 Nuclear Safety Advisor. And I've asked you, Mr.
- 3 Podonsky, to stay on the panel. We'll direct our
- 4 questions to individual panelists. If other panelists
- 5 want to seek recognition, please get the attention of
- 6 the Chair.
- 7 Does anybody here wish to submit a written
- 8 statement?
- 9 MR. HUIZENGA: I would like to, Mr.
- 10 Chairman. Is that better? I have something I
- 11 wouldn't mind submitting for the record, Mr. Chairman.
- 12 CHAIRMAN WINOKUR: Okay. Thank you very
- 13 much. With that, we'll begin the questioning with Mr.
- 14 Bader.
- 15 MR. HUIZENGA: I think he took a quick
- 16 break.
- 17 CHAIRMAN WINOKUR: Okay. Then I will do the
- 18 first question. We've seen the results of the
- 19 assessments by the HSS organization and the results of
- 20 the Board's recommendation, and I'm very encouraged in
- 21 terms of what I've seen by the Office of River
- 22 Protection. I see a renewed vigor out there. I think
- 23 issues are being raised. The federal workforce seems
- 24 very motivated. In my opening testimony, I talked
- 25 about the fact that they've submitted a plan for

- 1 improvement, which seems outstanding and excellent.
- 2 But I still am -- and I said this at the
- 3 hearing we had in March -- still a little bit hesitant
- 4 about the contractor. So I guess there's a few
- 5 questions. How do you feel right now about the
- 6 contractor's view towards safety culture, and have you
- 7 had a chance to review their plan that they're
- 8 submitting for improvement in the safety culture?
- 9 And, Mr. Huizenga, I'm sorry.
- 10 MR. HUIZENGA: Sure. I'll start, and then
- if you don't mind, perhaps I'll ask Mr. Hutton to
- 12 help. I think we're making improvements. I don't
- 13 think we're probably completely there yet, but we see
- 14 evidence every day that the contractor is paying
- 15 attention to the safety culture issues. I personally
- 16 haven't read their recent report in response to the
- 17 HSS findings, but I've read the executive summary and
- 18 then thumbed through the other parts of it and I see
- 19 evidence there that they actually understand.
- I think that we're on the road to recovery
- 21 in a sense because we're admitting we have issues, and
- 22 I think this came out clearly in the March 22 hearing.
- 23 You heard the Deputy Secretary again today and Mr.
- 24 Podonsky commit to accept the fact that we have some
- 25 issues that need to be dealt with and take the

- 1 challenge to try to address them.
- 2 CHAIRMAN WINOKUR: Have you reviewed the
- 3 testimony from the March 22 hearing where the Board
- 4 was asking questions of the contractor, Mr. Russo and
- 5 Mr. Kacich?
- 6 MR. HUIZENGA: I reviewed some of it, and I
- 7 was there of course.
- 8 CHAIRMAN WINOKUR: Okay. Once again, when I
- 9 read it, I didn't get the sense -- Mr. Russo
- 10 acknowledged that the HSS report was the definitive
- 11 report, but as the discussion went on, as the dialogue
- 12 continued, it wasn't as clear to me once again about
- 13 the contractor's sense of the project and its safety
- 14 culture, and so I'm just basically raising that to
- 15 you. And I know that you're looking at that.
- 16 MR. HUIZENGA: Yes. I can tell you that
- 17 these are complicated technical issues. Safety
- 18 culture is a difficult thing to put your thumb on in
- 19 my view. But I've had many personal conversations
- 20 with Frank and members of his staff. I've been in
- 21 meetings. We had a very productive meeting in the
- 22 preparation for the March 22 hearing where I got an
- 23 opportunity to watch him and his senior management
- 24 team in action, where the safety basis folks were
- 25 debating issues with the engineering team. And we

- 1 understood that there were some differences of opinion
- 2 and views. Mr. Russo and others were very frank about
- 3 the fact that there are issues that need to be
- 4 addressed, that nobody was sweeping them under the
- 5 ruq.
- 6 CHAIRMAN WINOKUR: Have you seen the latest
- 7 contractor's plan for safety culture improvement?
- 8 MR. HUIZENGA: Again, I've looked at the
- 9 executive summary and am familiar with it.
- 10 CHAIRMAN WINOKUR: Okay. And it's
- 11 encouraging?
- MR. HUIZENGA: Yes, it is.
- 13 CHAIRMAN WINOKUR: Thank you.
- 14 MR. HUIZENGA: And I guess if you don't
- 15 mind, just by way of opening remarks, to set the tone
- 16 for this, I listened to the discussion with the Deputy
- 17 and Glenn on metrics, and I would offer my own view on
- 18 metrics in addition to the fact that you might ask me
- 19 that question anyway.
- I think that, you know, I've only been in
- 21 this particular role since last July, so it's all been
- 22 in a sense focused on safety culture since I came back
- 23 to EM. And I would offer that we actually feel as
- 24 senior managers, all the way down in the field,
- 25 empowered to make a difference on safety culture,

- 1 whether it's a combination of what you've done or
- 2 Glenn has done or other reviewers. But do you think
- 3 that if we weren't empowered by the Secretary and the
- 4 Deputy to actually have the freedom to raise these
- 5 issues that Glenn would have been able to actually
- 6 issue the January report? I doubt it.
- 7 I mean, he felt, you know, he had the green
- 8 light to do what needed to be done. I asked him to
- 9 accelerate the review. He went out there. We got a
- 10 review done, you know, perhaps a few months earlier
- 11 than we would have. I think that's evidence that
- 12 things are turning around.
- On the sodium bearing waste facility at
- 14 Idaho, to their credit, the Federal Project Director
- 15 and the federal team and the contractor all decided
- 16 that they needed to take a pause, make sure they
- 17 understood because they were closing in on starting,
- 18 you know, getting ready to authorize startup of
- 19 operations. They needed to take a break and make sure
- 20 they got it right. That cost the contractor money.
- 21 It cost us some time. But in the long run, you know
- 22 now we're in the final stages of trying to bring it up
- 23 to full temperature and get going. I'm sure the
- 24 shortest distance between two points was actually to
- 25 take that pause.

- 1 In terms of slowing down and making sure you
- 2 know what you're doing, we're slowing down
- 3 pretreatment at WTP right now. The construction and
- 4 design issues are catching up with each other. Design
- 5 is, you know, proceeding well. We addressed some of
- 6 these technical issues, so we're not afraid to
- 7 actually take some time to work through these issues.
- And of course we have a lot of pressure from
- 9 our regulatory agencies and from the state, as they
- 10 should, to keep us focused on dealing with 56 million
- 11 gallons of waste. But we're going to do it and we're
- 12 going to do it right.
- 13 And finally, I mean, I guess I'd just offer
- 14 a personal note. I shared this at an all-hands
- 15 meeting earlier this month. On the 9th of May, I was
- 16 at K-25 in Oak Ridge, and I had an opportunity to go
- 17 through the north tower for the last part of the
- 18 standing part of the K-25 gaseous diffusion plant, and
- 19 I have had a goatee or some kind of beard for most of
- 20 my adult life. Jessie knows probably I shaved it once
- 21 to go on Rocky Flats during one of Glenn's tiger teams
- 22 or somebody's tiger teams. I shaved it once on a
- 23 dare, and I was wondering what I'd be able to do to
- 24 get into the north tower. And I walked up there and
- 25 thought, I wonder if they'll make an exception for

- 1 Dave, you know. And when I got there, the Federal
- 2 Project Director handed me a can of shaving cream and
- 3 razor, and so, you know, I did what I needed to do and
- 4 we went in the facility with our masks on and we were
- 5 protected. But, you know, it starts from the top, and
- 6 we feel empowered to make a difference, and I think
- 7 we're on a path.
- 8 CHAIRMAN WINOKUR: Thank you for sharing.
- 9 Mr. Bader?
- 10 MR. PODONSKY: Excuse me. May I make a
- 11 clarification? We never did the tiger teams.
- 12 (Laughter.)
- 13 CHAIRMAN WINOKUR: All right. Thanks for
- 14 the clarification. Mr. Bader?
- 15 MR. BADER: Mr. Huizenga, one of the things
- 16 I think you've heard a theme today is talking not just
- 17 about safety culture but the practical impacts of not
- 18 having a good safety culture. And I wanted to ask
- 19 you, what is your view regarding the link between the
- 20 safety culture of an organization and its ability to
- 21 identify and resolve technical issues?
- MR. HUIZENGA: I see a complete overlap and
- 23 a linkage between these two. As a matter of fact, I
- 24 think by largely what got us into the situation we're
- 25 in at WTP right now is we were faced with some

- 1 extremely difficult technical issues and we did a poor
- 2 job of explaining how we were working through them,
- 3 not necessarily -- I'm not saying that we weren't
- 4 actually doing a good job of working through them, but
- 5 we were not doing a very good job of explaining to
- 6 people how we were because people were raising issues,
- 7 and oftentimes people were working on those issues,
- 8 but the people who were raising them really didn't
- 9 have any clear, transparent understanding of what was
- 10 being done.
- 11 MR. BADER: Maybe I could ask the second
- 12 half of that to Mr. Podonsky as well. Are you seeing
- 13 unresolved technical issues related to poor safety
- 14 cultures in some of the other reviews that you're
- 15 doing?
- 16 MR. PODONSKY: I'm being pensive because I
- 17 want to make sure that I don't mischaracterize. But I
- 18 mentioned the focus groups that we did. It was
- 19 interesting. Out of 37 focus groups up at WTP and
- 20 another 50 around the complex, safety issues haven't
- 21 been raised. It's the process that people are talking
- 22 about, and that's where we're getting the information.
- 23 So as of right now, I don't have an affirmative to
- 24 give to you.
- 25 MR. BADER: Okay. Let me rephrase it. Then

- 1 I'd ask either to respond or maybe both. In the
- 2 converse, if you have an improved safety culture, does
- 3 that give you the confidence that a technically
- 4 complex large project would succeed?
- 5 MR. PODONSKY: If we accept that a Safety-
- 6 Conscious Work Environment is a subset of safety
- 7 culture --
- 8 MR. BADER: Or a facilitator.
- 9 MR. PODONSKY: -- then what you would have
- 10 is you would have an environment where people would be
- 11 willing to bring new dimensions and discussion about
- 12 technical issues and that you would have the
- 13 management receptive to that. So there's definitely
- 14 in our opinion a correlation between the ability for
- 15 people to raise technical issues or new innovative
- 16 ways of thinking of solving technical problems if
- 17 there's a strong Safety-Conscious Work Environment as
- 18 a subset of safety culture.
- 19 MR. HUIZENGA: Yes, I agree. And I think
- 20 maybe I wasn't very articulate before in trying to
- 21 explain. I think that these things, technical issues
- 22 and safety culture, are linked and are dependent on
- 23 each other. If you feel confident that you're not
- 24 going to have any retribution from raising what you
- 25 believe to be a technical issue that could ultimately

- 1 lead to a safety issue, then I believe that that type
- 2 of an environment where you feel free to raise these
- 3 issues is ultimately going to advance the program.
- 4 MR. BADER: Thank you.
- 5 CHAIRMAN WINOKUR: Ms. Roberson.
- 6 VICE CHAIRMAN ROBERSON: Thank you. Good
- 7 morning, Mr. Huizenga.
- 8 MR. HUIZENGA: Good morning.
- 9 VICE CHAIRMAN ROBERSON: In listening to the
- 10 last exchange, I guess it is important to me that
- 11 safety culture not appear as something esoteric or as
- 12 out there, that it actually is the way organizations
- 13 make decisions. And in this case, it's how they make
- 14 decisions about safety. It does say a lot about
- 15 leadership, as both the Deputy Secretary and the
- 16 Chairman said earlier. And so one of the conclusions
- 17 in the HSS report -- there were many that the Board
- 18 focused on and created concerns, but one of them I
- 19 want to talk about is the acrimony between engineering
- 20 and nuclear safety.
- 21 And I don't know. Maybe it's because of my
- 22 background. I think if you integrate safety early and
- 23 you have a quality engineering approach and good
- 24 design, construction is probably the easiest part of a
- 25 project. So to go back to the core of a disconnect

- 1 between nuclear safety and engineering was a bit
- 2 bothersome, and so one question I have for you, do you
- 3 believe that there is an improvement in that area at
- 4 WTP?
- 5 MR. HUIZENGA: I've been told there's an
- 6 improvement.
- 7 VICE CHAIRMAN ROBERSON: That wasn't quite
- 8 the question.
- 9 MR. HUIZENGA: No. I'm just being honest
- 10 with you. I witnessed the problem, and I haven't been
- 11 back to actually witness the improvement. Jim perhaps
- 12 has. Maybe I can ask him to help me.
- 13 VICE CHAIRMAN ROBERSON: Yes, that would be
- 14 great. Okay.
- 15 MR. HUTTON: Well, I would say -- is that on
- 16 or off? Is that on?
- 17 THE COURT REPORTER: Now it's on.
- MR. HUTTON: Okay. I would say that they
- 19 might be headed in the right direction now. They
- 20 might have started to eliminate that barrier, that
- 21 qulf that existed there. We did see it at a meeting
- 22 that -- we saw it play out a couple months back.
- 23 Frankly, I guess almost a year ago when, you
- 24 know, I learned that there was this -- you used the
- 25 word acrimony.

- 1 VICE CHAIRMAN ROBERSON: Yes.
- 2 MR. HUTTON: Yes. I struggled with that,
- 3 you know, because of all my experience. The
- 4 engineering people that are doing the design are
- 5 always concerned about making sure about the safety
- 6 basis. In all my experience, I couldn't understand
- 7 how there could be a dichotomy there frankly. And
- 8 then I got a little smarter about how the organization
- 9 was structured and that sort of thing and some of the
- 10 other factors that played into WTP's history with the
- 11 implementation of 3009 and so on. Then it became
- 12 clear, you know, the kinds of things that might be
- 13 driving that I think.
- 14 And so I will say in the BNI plan that's one
- 15 of the things they know they need to address and they
- 16 call out as an area that they're going to address.
- 17 We'll see how that works out.
- 18 VICE CHAIRMAN ROBERSON: We really struggle
- 19 with this one because it's so core to everything that
- 20 is done in the Department, every activity. And, you
- 21 know, I understand you say -- I don't want to put
- 22 words in your mouth, but is it your view that there is
- 23 confusion about the expectations for safety in the
- 24 project? I mean, what do you think --
- MR. HUTTON: I think there was clearly

- 1 confusion about the expectations for the use of 3009
- 2 in the design, and that was evident during the last
- 3 construction project review when we were out there.
- 4 You know, it surprised me greatly that that was a
- 5 point of confusion. I think that's on the way to
- 6 being resolved with the contract change that was done
- 7 last fall. It was November or December about that
- 8 included standard 3009 in the contract. I think that
- 9 was a big step forward. I think the letter that the
- 10 Federal Project Director wrote making clear that DOE
- 11 had not approved any alternative to 3009 under 830, I
- 12 think that was an important step. But as of last
- 13 week, the procedures are not in place that would have
- 14 the safety basis be developed strictly in accordance
- 15 with 3009. Those procedure revisions are not all yet
- 16 approved unless that's happened in the last couple of
- 17 days.
- 18 VICE CHAIRMAN ROBERSON: But that is
- 19 expected to occur?
- 20 MR. HUTTON: Yes. And that is also an item
- 21 in the BNI plan.
- 22 VICE CHAIRMAN ROBERSON: And I guess my last
- 23 question to you, Mr. Podonsky, is, is this a focus
- 24 area in your other assessments?
- MR. PODONSKY: Yes. Yes, it is.

- 1 VICE CHAIRMAN ROBERSON: Okay.
- 2 CHAIRMAN WINOKUR: Well, before I turn it
- 3 over to Dr. Mansfield, are you going to take any
- 4 specific actions to assure yourself that that linkage
- 5 is working well? It is so critical to the project. I
- 6 get varying reports. I don't have your insight into
- 7 what's going on. It doesn't sound like things are
- 8 exactly moving in the right direction, but I may be
- 9 misunderstanding things. Is it your sense that
- 10 there's a good positive trend there that the
- 11 engineering and design organizations are now
- 12 communicating pretty effectively?
- MR. HUTTON: I think they are communicating
- 14 better than they were clearly. There's no doubt about
- 15 that. I just don't think they're there yet.
- 16 CHAIRMAN WINOKUR: Well, I see the ORP
- 17 manager, Mr. Samuelson, shaking his head up and down,
- 18 so he also concurs with that. So that's also good
- 19 reinforcement.
- 20 MR. HUTTON: And one of the things that we
- 21 put in place is a safety basis review team, which has
- 22 membership from both our office at headquarters,
- 23 obviously Office of River Protection folks and some of
- 24 Mr. Lagdon's staff in order to review all the aspects
- 25 of the safety basis for WTP. And so I think that will

- 1 give us a good window into what's happening there.
- 2 CHAIRMAN WINOKUR: Okay. Thank you. Dr
- 3 Mansfield.
- DR. MANSFIELD: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
- 5 Before I ask my real question, I'd like to make one
- 6 comment on what Mr. Hutton said. In my view, the
- 7 engineering and the nuclear safety organization don't
- 8 -- there is no requirement for them to easily reach
- 9 agreement. They don't even have to be nice to each
- 10 other. But, you know, they do have to understand that
- 11 they eventually have to come to an agreement and that
- 12 there is no quarantee to be easy.
- I spent some time at NASA, and believe me,
- 14 they were at each other's throats all the time. But
- 15 these problems can be solved. So my question to Mr.
- 16 Hutton is you know our acceptance letter for the
- 17 implementation plan requested that DOE update the plan
- 18 to reflect what you've achieved so far. How is that
- 19 coming, and can you describe some of the changes that
- 20 you might make in the plan?
- 21 MR. HUTTON: Yes. And Mr. Huizenga did
- 22 write back and inform you of our thoughts on that. We
- 23 wanted to review the action plans that both ORP and
- 24 BNI developed. We also wanted to attend this meeting
- 25 because we thought there might be some insights out of

- 1 this meeting that would be useful in informing any
- 2 proposed, you know, additions to the IP. And I will
- 3 tell you one thing that crosses my mind as being
- 4 pretty important is that in the -- and it's the topic
- 5 we were just talking about actually. In the HSS
- 6 report, one of the things they pointed out was that
- 7 the safety basis development processes, because they
- 8 weren't, you know, fully aligned with 3009 was in fact
- 9 a driver of safety culture problems and was a driver
- 10 of some of this acrimony, as you say, between the
- 11 nuclear safety folks and the engineering folks. It
- 12 was a driver for that. And that's a candidate I think
- 13 for something that could be added to the IP because
- 14 it's not in there in that vein now. That's one thing
- 15 that I have on my radar screen right now.
- 16 DR. MANSFIELD: Mr. Chairman, I'm finished.
- 17 Thank you.
- 18 CHAIRMAN WINOKUR: Ms. Roberson.
- 19 VICE CHAIRMAN ROBERSON: Just a couple of
- 20 last questions. So, Mr. Huizenga, I understand you
- 21 encouraged and you rushed Mr. Podonsky along as he
- 22 went through all your books and talked to all your
- 23 people, and I sincerely believe your statement that
- 24 you welcome that as a manager. How is the rest of the
- 25 organizations and your contractor's view? Do you

- 1 think they've welcomed it?
- 2 MR. HUIZENGA: I think the federal staff
- 3 actually is perfectly fine with it. The HSS people
- 4 are continuing their extent of condition reviews, and
- 5 they're reviewing Environmental Management
- 6 headquarters, or they recently completed the review.
- 7 I think they're probably writing things up now. And
- 8 we had a number of groups of people get together and
- 9 talk to Glenn's interviewers, and I heard that there
- 10 was some good back-and-forth interaction.
- I was interviewed myself. I found it useful
- 12 to be able to share my views. So the contractors, I
- 13 can only tell you that, you know, my conversations
- 14 with both Frank and with Frank's boss are giving me a
- 15 sense that we're on the same team. The contractor nor
- 16 Jim or myself will pretend that we've got all the
- 17 problems solved and, you know, we're just kind of
- 18 tidying things up and moving on.
- 19 We have major technical issues that need to
- 20 be resolved. And as we talked before, those linkages
- 21 to safety culture now, I think people at the site --
- 22 I've been in meetings with people at the site who have
- 23 raised the technical issues and have also raised them
- 24 in conjunction with the safety culture linkage, and
- 25 we've had positive meetings that I've been personally

- 1 in, and more recently staff has been out there and had
- 2 very open two-day discussions of flowsheet changes,
- 3 technical issues that may be pursued in order to solve
- 4 some of the mixing and erosion/corrosion issues that
- 5 were brought up at your last hearing. So I'm
- 6 encouraged that at both the contractor level --
- 7 contractors are there as well as the federal staff --
- 8 that the team is actually focused on solving the
- 9 problem.
- 10 VICE CHAIRMAN ROBERSON: When you break
- 11 through, I would expect either your contractors or
- 12 your workforce would also be identifying opportunities
- 13 to even improve the effect and the conduct of Mr.
- 14 Podonsky's assessment. So have you got any
- 15 suggestions from either on how to improve them?
- 16 MR. HUIZENGA: Yes. No. I'm glad you
- 17 brought it up. I'm sorry, because the whole DPO
- 18 process and the employee concerns program -- Glenn's
- 19 folks again were out there recently helping us
- 20 identify -- and the employee concerns program -- some
- 21 additional issues. And Mr. Hutton has sent a team of
- 22 experts out there to work with Scott Samuelson and
- 23 others.
- 24 Again, this was an issue that again, as I
- 25 mentioned earlier, in terms of transparency and

- 1 communication, if people are raising issues, they need
- 2 to know what we're doing with them. And we're trying
- 3 to make some improvements in that regard. So the
- 4 people make those suggestions, we're taking those very
- 5 seriously. The DPOs, I think people are using the
- 6 process effectively now, and there's evidence of this
- 7 in the erosion/corrosion area. We probably can end
- 8 the DPO itself because it's been overtaken by events
- 9 and merged into just fundamentally an issue that
- 10 everybody agrees needs to be addressed.
- 11 VICE CHAIRMAN ROBERSON: Okay. Thank you.
- 12 CHAIRMAN WINOKUR: Mr. Bader.
- MR. BADER: Mr. Huizenga, I note in your
- 14 written remarks that you plan to increase the award
- 15 fee in the contract for WTP. And I would go to the
- 16 same questions that I asked Mr. Podonsky before. Can
- 17 you describe the role that you feel the contract
- 18 played in driving the issues that developed at WTP?
- 19 Do you feel that the contract -- maybe a better way to
- 20 put it is do you feel the contract drove any of those
- 21 issues?
- MR. HUIZENGA: You know, to be honest with
- 23 you, I'm not sure whether they --
- 24 MR. HUTTON: Maybe I can just comment just a
- 25 little bit if you don't mind.

- 1 MR. HUIZENGA: I'll just give you a hint of
- 2 what I think, and then Jim can correct me if need be.
- I mean, we've made some adjustments now, and I think
- 4 that they're appropriate. We're going to put some
- 5 additional emphasis in the ORP on safety and safety
- 6 culture. I think that's as it should be. But I don't
- 7 know whether if we had made those adjustments two
- 8 years ago whether we wouldn't have had any issues. I
- 9 don't know. It's perhaps a little hard to say. Jim,
- 10 maybe you can help me.
- 11 MR. HUTTON: Yes. I guess I'm of the Aubrey
- 12 Daniels school that, you know, whatever we're getting
- 13 is what we're reinforcing. So I think that what we
- 14 found when we reviewed the contract and what we heard
- 15 from people is that some of the priorities that the
- 16 contract was establishing were a contributor to the
- 17 priorities not necessarily being appropriately
- 18 balanced the way we'd like them to be. And what we
- 19 found was not so much what was in the contract but in
- 20 some cases what wasn't in the contract. And that's a
- 21 little different. That's quite, as you think, a
- 22 little differently.
- 23 We did substantially change the -- I'll get
- 24 the title of this document correct -- performance
- 25 evaluation and measurement plan, which is an every-

- 1 six-month award fee breakdown, and we did
- 2 substantially change the incentive and added over
- 3 about a million dollars of available award fee focused
- 4 on nuclear safety and nuclear safety and quality
- 5 culture. It's much more balanced now than I think
- 6 that it was, and I think that's an important factor.
- 7 MR. BADER: I just remember when I used to
- 8 do things like read contracts and figure out what I
- 9 was going to do next, you read the contract to figure
- 10 out what the customer wanted.
- 11 MR. HUTTON: Yes, I don't disagree.
- MR. PODONSKY: Mr. Bader, I'd like to
- 13 amplify on the witness from the second panel on the
- 14 question asked earlier on contracts, and that is the
- 15 WTP team did find in fact an extensive focus on cost
- 16 and schedule and nearly silent on safety, which we
- 17 hadn't seen at the other sites. So while I still
- 18 maintain and support the witness's answer to the
- 19 second panel, I just wanted to amplify that, that
- 20 there was evidence that the language, as Mr. Hutton is
- 21 saying, was absent in terms of safety. It wasn't as
- 22 apparent.
- 23 MR. BADER: Mr. Huizenga, let me go back to
- 24 you, thank you, and ask if you plan to evaluate future
- 25 contracts in light of this kind of an answer.

- 1 MR. HUIZENGA: Yes, we do. We'll be in the
- 2 award fee in the next six-month period. We'll pay
- 3 attention to it and make some adjustments similarly to
- 4 what we've made now. But more broadly, you know,
- 5 we're in the process of rebaselining the project, and
- 6 we'll be in some contract negotiations subsequent to
- 7 that, and we'll focus on safety at that time as well.
- 8 MR. BADER: Thank you.
- 9 MR. DWYER: Mr. Huizenga, I think actually
- 10 you answered the question on the focus --
- 11 THE COURT REPORTER: I'm not sure your mike
- 12 is on.
- 13 MR. DWYER: Is it on now? Mr. Huizenga, I
- 14 think you answered the question focused on WTP. What
- 15 about for the broader range of EM contracts? Is there
- 16 any plan to look at other contracts?
- 17 MR. HUIZENGA: Yes. We take this seriously,
- 18 not just at Hanford.
- 19 MR. DWYER: Thank you.
- 20 CHAIRMAN WINOKUR: So the project at WTP at
- 21 least had experience with its own self-assessment, one
- 22 that the contractor performed, and then independent
- 23 assessments by HSS where they used some outside
- 24 experts. And going forward in the implementation
- 25 plan, some of the sites, some of the activities will

- 1 initially begin with the self-assessments. Do you
- 2 have any sense of how confident are you based upon
- 3 your experience so far that that approach is going to
- 4 give you good results, results you're confident in, or
- 5 do you think you're always going to be in a position
- 6 where you're going to want to at least initially turn
- 7 to HSS or some other organization and say take a look
- 8 at this for me?
- 9 MR. HUIZENGA: I think it's going to be
- 10 important that HSS is there to help us when we need
- 11 it. But I also think it's very important that the
- 12 line management takes this responsibility seriously
- 13 and doesn't, you know, use Glenn as a crutch basically
- 14 to not do it ourselves. This is our job, and we need
- 15 to self-assess and make sure that we are on top of
- 16 these issues. So we do take this seriously. We might
- 17 not get it right the first time or every time, but
- 18 Glenn will be there to help us and his people will
- 19 help us if need be. But this is something that, you
- 20 know, we're going to do a good job of.
- 21 CHAIRMAN WINOKUR: So intermediate between
- 22 your line management and HSS, is EM going to develop
- 23 or devote any effort to developing any of its own
- 24 skills, any of its own expertise to become a little
- 25 bit shrewder, more capable in terms of actually

- 1 looking at these assessments?
- MR. HUIZENGA: We're going to try to hire
- 3 Sonya.
- 4 MR. HUTTON: Well, I guess what I would say
- 5 is I think an important initiative is the training
- 6 that we're developing that's spoken to in the IP that
- 7 will be administered in the latter part of this year.
- 8 I think what this is all about is leadership
- 9 behaviors and the climate that management creates in
- 10 my view. And so, you know, it's important, frankly,
- 11 that we engage senior leaders both in the contractor
- 12 and the DOE in actively, continuously managing safety
- 13 culture and setting the climate. It's in my view
- 14 simply good management. The same behaviors that lead
- 15 to good safety culture management result in effective,
- 16 productive facilities. That's been proven clearly in
- 17 the commercial nuclear industry and many other
- 18 industries for a long period of time, as you know.
- 19 So, you know, I think we have to come at it
- 20 from a lot of different directions. We have to have
- 21 some written quidance, and so we have some now. We
- 22 have to have management, senior management, of the
- 23 Department sponsorship, and I believe we have that
- 24 now. We have to train the managers and we have to
- 25 involve them in managing safety culture at their

- 1 facility. And that's part of getting them to go out
- 2 and critically look at their own performance, just as
- 3 they should be critically looking at their own
- 4 performance in every aspect.
- 5 CHAIRMAN WINOKUR: Right. Well, you'll
- 6 always have the advantage of being able to provide a
- 7 more integrated view of things, which is what
- 8 headquarters folks can do. You can see things with
- 9 different sites. There's always the corrective
- 10 actions, the lessons learned, things of that nature
- 11 you can benefit from.
- 12 MR. HUTTON: And we always, you know, like
- 13 everybody, we need somebody to hold our feet to the
- 14 fire. That's the support system. That's what people
- 15 need at 3 a.m. to make them stop at the stop sign, you
- 16 know. There might be somebody watching, so you need
- 17 that. We all need that, being weak human beings.
- 18 CHAIRMAN WINOKUR: Okay. Dr. Mansfield.
- 19 DR. MANSFIELD: Fine. Thanks, Mr. Chairman.
- 20 Mr. Huizenga, I hope this is a big softball question
- 21 for you, but --
- MR. HUIZENGA: So do I.
- 23 (Laughter.)
- DR. MANSFIELD: You discovered, you, DOE,
- 25 discovered the problem when you looked and you're on

- 1 the way to fixing it. In the future, what will you
- 2 look for to see if things are going off the track and
- 3 similar problems are developing? I guess you can call
- 4 them indicators. But what behaviors would make you
- 5 suspicious?
- 6 MR. HUIZENGA: I suppose I'd be suspicious
- 7 if people told me yes all the time and everything was
- 8 okay. Obviously, you know, people need to feel
- 9 comfortable telling me that we've got some tough
- 10 things that need to be addressed and dealt with.
- DR. MANSFIELD: Anybody else have a comment
- 12 on that?
- MR. PODONSKY: From an oversight
- 14 perspective, we would be concerned if there were no
- 15 issues being identified. As we've all heard the three
- 16 panels talk, no program is perfect. So, to me, it
- 17 would be a great indicator if there are lots of
- 18 problems being identified, no matter how small,
- 19 because then people aren't afraid and they feel that
- 20 managers are going to pay attention.
- MR. HUIZENGA: I think really what I look
- 22 for and I guess why I'm encouraged, even though we
- 23 mentioned that Jim and I witnessed some tension
- 24 between some of the contractor's folks in engineering
- 25 and safety, we also saw both taking some strong

- 1 positions but willing to talk. So I look for people
- 2 that are actually willing to share what's on their
- 3 mind, but listen, be an active listener, listen to
- 4 what the other person is saying.
- 5 DR. MANSFIELD: And one measure of that is
- 6 that everybody gets invited to all the meetings.
- 7 MR. HUIZENGA: Oh, yes, absolutely. Yes.
- 8 There's not any value in having some secret activities
- 9 going on over here that are uninformed by other
- 10 important information.
- DR. MANSFIELD: Okay, good. That's all I
- 12 have, Mr. Chairman.
- 13 CHAIRMAN WINOKUR: Thank you. I would think
- 14 you'd also be sensitive -- well, at least you should
- 15 tell me whether you think you should be sensitive to
- 16 internal and external pressures. Obviously they're
- 17 going to play a very important role, whether it's a
- 18 huge design and construction project that needs to be
- 19 rebaselined, whether it's budget pressures, production
- 20 pressures. Do you think those are the kinds of things
- 21 that put a lot of stress on the culture?
- MR. HUIZENGA: Oh, absolutely, absolutely,
- 23 yes. And you have to be on the lookout when you're
- 24 under some budget pressures, trying to do more with
- 25 less, that the safety doesn't suffer.

1 CHAIRMAN WINOKUR: Right. You had a

- 2 question, Mr. Dwyer?
- MR. DWYER: Yes, sir. Mr. Podonsky, when
- 4 you were testifying before, I thought you had
- 5 indicated you were leaning towards, for the management
- 6 self-assessments, that there might be some need to
- 7 contract out for the specialized skills. And then,
- 8 Mr. Hutton, when you were talking, it wasn't clear to
- 9 me. Are you expecting that the site offices will
- 10 develop this as an inherent capability, or are you
- 11 expecting them to contract out for that? I just
- 12 wasn't clear on which was the focus.
- MR. HUTTON: Well, what we say in the IP is
- 14 that we will do a self-assessment focused on Safety-
- 15 Conscious Work Environment, as you know, and look for
- 16 indications that would cause us to go deeper or more
- 17 broadly. And so what we're working on now is
- 18 developing the guidance that the sites will use, and
- 19 part of that quidance is what should be the
- 20 composition of the team.
- 21 MR. DWYER: So in the lines of inquiry that
- 22 you're developing you will include some guidance on
- 23 composition?
- MR. HUTTON: Yes.
- MR. DWYER: Okay.

- 1 MR. HUTTON: And we're actually working on
- 2 that right now.
- MR. DWYER: Okay. Thank you.
- 4 CHAIRMAN WINOKUR: Any additional questions?
- 5 VICE CHAIRMAN ROBERSON: No.
- 6 CHAIRMAN WINOKUR: Well, we'd like to thank
- 7 the panel, Mr. Huizenga, Mr. Podonsky, Mr. Hutton.
- 8 Thank you very much. At this time, per the Board's
- 9 practice and as stated in the Federal Register
- 10 notices, we'll welcome comments from interested
- 11 members of the public. The only name I have on the
- 12 list is -- and I don't know if he's present here
- 13 today -- is Mr. John David. Is Mr. David here?
- MR. DAVID: Yes, I am, sir.
- 15 CHAIRMAN WINOKUR: Okay. Please come up to
- 16 the table.
- 17 MR. DAVID: Yes, sir.
- 18 (Pause.)
- 19 CHAIRMAN WINOKUR: Let me just say before
- 20 you begin that presentations should be limited to
- 21 comments, technical information or data concerning the
- 22 subject of this public meeting and hearing. The Board
- 23 members may question anyone making a presentation to
- 24 the extent deemed appropriate. And with that, let's
- 25 begin, Mr. David.

- 1 MR. DAVID: Sir, how much time am I going to
- 2 have to speak?
- 3 CHAIRMAN WINOKUR: We have only one speaker
- 4 here today to the best of my knowledge, so let's
- 5 figure between five and 10 minutes, please.
- 6 MR. DAVID: Sir, I'd like to address
- 7 something. The first gentleman spoke for an hour and
- 8 10 minutes. The second gentleman spoke for 45, and
- 9 the last panel spoke for approximately 35. And you
- 10 all say you're very interested in finding out how
- 11 important it is for workers to help you find out what
- 12 the safety issues are, and then you immediately tell
- 13 us we only have five to seven minutes. I want you to
- 14 think about that, sir, please. Thank you very much.
- 15 CHAIRMAN WINOKUR: Okay. All right. Please
- 16 begin.
- 17 MR. DAVID: My name is John David. I want
- 18 to speak to you today -- and firstly, thank you very
- 19 much for giving me this opportunity -- about what
- 20 happens to a worker on the site, the vit plant site,
- 21 when they speak up in relationship to safety.
- I spoke in March in Kennewick, and I stated
- 23 probably the only reason I was still there was because
- 24 I was a craft safety rep. That was on March 22.
- 25 Twenty-two days later I was fired for bringing up

- 1 safety concerns as a craft safety rep. I want you to
- 2 think about that.
- We had a lot of opportunity on this project
- 4 to bring up safety concerns. And quite truthfully, as
- 5 craft safety reps, we didn't have any problem until we
- 6 decided to talk to Mr. Russo in February of 2011. We
- 7 canceled that meeting because my fellow craft safety
- 8 reps -- I set that meeting up -- were fearful of
- 9 retaliation if in fact we went to talk to Mr. Russo.
- 10 One of his subordinates came out and talked
- 11 to us and said we want you to understand, Frank
- 12 doesn't like it when people like you come and talk to
- 13 him and I want you to understand how you're going to
- 14 talk to him. And we told him, sir -- his name is Dick
- 15 Nugen -- what script would you like us to speak to,
- 16 the one we planned on speaking to him about or your
- 17 script? Now I think you can easily understand how
- 18 serious that is when somebody is preliminarily sent
- 19 out to us to describe to us how to speak to Mr. Russo.
- 20 I stated that I -- and I was at the
- 21 forefront of my craft safety reps -- was typically
- 22 asked because of my experience, 11-1/2 years working
- 23 for my union and 33 years in the trade, to be the
- 24 front-runner to speak out. And I'll be quite
- 25 truthful. I have no problem with that. I stand by

- 1 everything I've ever done and I am proud to be a
- 2 representative of workers.
- 3 A week after March 22, I reached out to Mr.
- 4 Russo and I asked him, could we please meet. And you
- 5 can see this data that was submitted to you. And we
- 6 did. I asked him for he and I to meet eyeball to
- 7 eyeball ourselves. We didn't. He brought a gentleman
- 8 named Miles Stoffer, the head of field safety, who I
- 9 will speak very highly of. He is a tremendous man.
- 10 And in less than 15 minutes, I described to Frank and
- 11 to Miles what I thought would be a good idea.
- We were in a ditch. We only had one
- 13 opportunity to get out, and that is work together. I
- 14 proposed that Frank start meeting with the president
- 15 and the leadership of the Central Washington Building
- 16 Construction Trades Council. I asked Frank to call
- 17 him right then. I had already preliminarily talked to
- 18 Dave -- Davis is his name -- and he was waiting for
- 19 Frank's call.
- 20 Frank said he'd call him. I said, no, you
- 21 didn't hear me. I'm asking you to call him right now.
- 22 He's waiting for you. He did. We talked. We met
- 23 the following Tuesday. That was on April 3, and we
- 24 had an incredibly awesome meeting. Frank, on April 29
- 25 as well as on April -- or March 29 and April 3 --

- 1 raved about me personally and how much guts I had and
- 2 how much he appreciated that. Mr. Bradford spoke the
- 3 same. So did Mr. Overton. We thought we had a really
- 4 good meeting.
- 5 Then on April 10, I brought up a safety
- 6 concern at an all-hands meeting. I had the audacity
- 7 of a craft safety rep to ask a management individual,
- 8 one of the top management individuals on the vit plant
- 9 site, to please tell us what he was going to do that
- 10 he had told us a month previously, that he was going
- 11 to get us an award because he was so proud of our
- 12 safety accomplishments, and I'll be danged if he
- 13 somehow or another didn't do that. He said he had
- 14 been on vacation last week, so therefore he wasn't
- 15 able to do that. And I said, well, sir, geez, that
- 16 leaves three weeks. So then I even offered to throw
- 17 down the first hundred bucks for whatever it was that
- 18 he proposed. He didn't want me to do that. He didn't
- 19 want to accept that.
- 20 I quess what I'm trying to say is that
- 21 there's a lot of nice commentary going on in this
- 22 room, and quite truthfully I purposely didn't put my
- 23 suit coat on because I tried to dress down. We're not
- 24 being listened to. Bechtel speaks out of both sides
- 25 of their mouth. I don't know any other way to tell

- 1 you that. Mr. Russo comes and tries to tell us that
- 2 he's this great individual that was a cement mason as
- 3 a young man in New York City at 14 and that he's one
- 4 of us. He doesn't understand us. He doesn't listen
- 5 to us. His team doesn't listen to us. They fire us.
- 6 After I was fired, my business agent and I
- 7 contacted Mr. Shapiro. Mr. Shapiro asked me to
- 8 contact Mr. Huizenga and Mr. Podonsky. I did so
- 9 twice. They never contacted me back. Neither did Mr.
- 10 Shapiro. I don't know why. We get a stick shoved in
- 11 our guts on the job. We get a stick shoved in our
- 12 guts from the people we're supposed to contact. The
- 13 only people that contacted me after I was fired for
- 14 standing up for the safety of workers on the vit plant
- 15 were the two local staff in Richland. No one else
- 16 called me back.
- 17 I never contacted Mr. Samuelson because,
- 18 quite truthfully, we as craft safety reps did not get
- 19 the impression from him that he was really concerned
- 20 about what we were talking to him about. He was an
- 21 excellent placater. We used to have a tremendous
- 22 relationship with Shirley Olinger. She interacted
- 23 with us. Mr. Samuelson has never, ever attempted to
- 24 meet with us. We went to meet with him. He never
- 25 contacted me after I got fired. All these people say

- 1 they really care about us. Don't you think if they
- 2 really cared about us they'd actually talk to us after
- 3 we got fired for standing up for safety concerns?
- 4 Now, when I got an opportunity to speak to
- 5 you in Kennewick, I stopped short of one thing. I
- 6 tried to talk about five minutes of positivity about
- 7 the project and two minutes of reality. I didn't say
- 8 something that I should have said then and I wish I
- 9 would have said. The only way you're going to turn
- 10 this project around -- and I have no personal issue
- 11 with this person. I have reached out to him more than
- 12 once. I reached out to him after I was fired to try
- 13 to talk to him, and he blew me off then too.
- 14 You have to remove Frank Russo and his team.
- 15 All this great conversation that they talk about that
- 16 they're having on a daily basis, really what fruition
- 17 has it developed? Mr. Knutson said that he was
- 18 talking to Mr. Russo on literally a daily basis over
- 19 the last year. Mr. Huizenga says he talks to him all
- 20 the time. The workers don't have time for decades of
- 21 process, as I have heard earlier today. They don't
- 22 have any more time, period.
- 23 Some of the commentary stated today is they
- 24 would know if in fact their assessment process was
- 25 working or not on whether or not they quit getting any

- 1 response from the workers. I was assigned to the
- 2 pretreatment building, which became the HLWPT [High
- 3 Level Waste Pretreatment] building. The workers don't
- 4 ask any questions anymore because all they get is
- 5 rhetoric. We're working on that. Yes, we'll get back
- 6 to you. Oh, that's in the form of a study. Yes, that
- 7 assessment that you are kind enough to participate in,
- 8 well, we're trying to put that together right now, but
- 9 we really can't tell you at this point in time when
- 10 you'll actually see it.
- 11 We ask management over and over and over
- 12 again for the feedback, a culmination of that that
- 13 they asked us to give them, and we get one circle
- 14 after another circle after another circle after
- 15 another circle.
- 16 I will tell you this. Probably the best
- 17 thing that ever happened to me in my life was getting
- 18 fired because it opened up my mind to the reality
- 19 that, you know what, I don't need to be on that job.
- 20 I gave my heart, my soul. I threw myself under the
- 21 bus. I took on any task any worker, manual, non-
- 22 manual, asked me to do because that was my job because
- 23 I believed that the company that I worked for actually
- 24 had some ethics, and what I have found is an absolute
- 25 total untruth.

- 1 Riley Bechtel says in his commentary over
- 2 and over and over again that they're based on ethics.
- 3 Most typically, as we all find out in life, is that
- 4 it's not the man at the top or the lady at the top.
- 5 It is the people down below them that get them in
- 6 trouble. Riley Bechtel's lieutenants are getting him
- 7 in trouble. I believe he does have some ethics. I
- 8 don't believe his lieutenants have any. They have
- 9 proven it to us. They have none.
- They lie to us, they lie to us, they lie to
- 11 us and they lie to us. They lie to us about what they
- 12 lied to us about. And you see that in assessment
- 13 after assessment after assessment. And so I'm going
- 14 to give you -- I've spoke about some things that I
- 15 think are incorrect on behalf of the workers, and I
- 16 believe it is my duty to give you some solutions.
- I spoke to Frank Russo about this. And if
- 18 you were to look at something that was on "Sixty
- 19 Minutes" on March 25, there was a segment on there
- 20 about a gentleman that is the head of Chrysler. He is
- 21 also the head of four other companies internationally.
- 22 He did something weird. He moved his office out of
- 23 the penthouse and he moved it into the factory. He
- 24 went out and talked to the workers. He didn't tell
- 25 them who he was. He just went out and talked to them.

- 1 He didn't ask for a red carpet. He didn't take his
- 2 lieutenants. He went and talked to them.
- Then he grabbed 26 people within the company
- 4 and said, I want you to be part of the new leadership
- 5 team. Some of them were there already. Some of them
- 6 he moved up. And you probably have possibly read this
- 7 story of Chrysler. It has done another miraculous
- 8 turnaround. It isn't a new theory in life. It's been
- 9 tried over and over when companies finally
- 10 realize maybe we ought to do something weird and
- 11 actually go and talk to the people.
- 12 I'm going to give an example I had an
- 13 opportunity of, and it was with Kaiser Engineers, and
- 14 it was in the early '90s. I did this same type of
- 15 thing there. It's called behavioral-based safety
- 16 training. I got an opportunity to work and teach all
- 17 the people in the company, and through that, I met the
- 18 president, and I listened to him and I could tell he
- 19 didn't get it. So I asked him and his number two
- 20 person, why don't you do me a favor. Why don't you go
- 21 with myself and another fellow worker, Mary Walker,
- 22 and come with us and don't bring your lieutenants.
- 23 I'm not telling you where I'm taking you and nobody is
- 24 going to know. I'm going to come and pick you up in a
- 25 vehicle that doesn't have air conditioning. It was

- 1 the dead of summer. And we went out and they talked
- 2 to the people.
- 3 And the president of that company asked a
- 4 gentleman a question, and he gave him this very nice,
- 5 kind company message, and he said back to him, I know
- 6 BS when I've heard it, I'm going to ask you that
- 7 question again. And the gentleman responded
- 8 appropriately, and he thanked him. And then a second
- 9 gentleman also went out, and those two guys told us
- 10 they could not believe how they had been lied to by
- 11 their subordinates.
- 12 I mentioned to Mr. Russo this theory about
- 13 get out in the factory, get out in the field, move
- 14 your office out in the field. He said that was not
- 15 the way Bechtel does it. That's against their policy.
- 16 Well, I don't know what better time there would be to
- 17 go against your policy and actually go out and engage
- 18 yourself with the people that you're asking to do the
- 19 job. Their processes have got them in the ditch they
- 20 are in today, and they're not going to get out of that
- 21 ditch and none of us are going to get out of that
- 22 ditch until we open up our mind and our eyes and we
- 23 think about a different way to do it.
- There was some commentary here about, geez,
- 25 we're so confident in the assessment method that we're

- 1 currently using that we think it's the greatest thing
- 2 in the world. How many times do you got to be told
- 3 it's not working? The craft don't believe in the
- 4 assessment process, and I'll give you a prime example.
- 5 There is an outfit that is contracted on a
- 6 yearly basis to come out and assess us, give us an
- 7 assessment. Excuse me. We give them feedback. At
- 8 the time of the last assessment by this company --
- 9 it's the same person. At the end of our all-hands
- 10 safety meeting, it was, oh, yes, Bob's in the back.
- 11 He'll give you some stuff to fill out. Why don't you
- 12 go ahead and fill it out. It's the end of a safety
- 13 meeting, over an hour. How many people do you think
- 14 went and saw Bob, picked up that paperwork and did
- 15 anything with it? I think you know the answer: very
- 16 few. And I'll be damned if we didn't get this report
- 17 that came out and said, wow, holy shit, everybody's
- 18 happy.
- 19 They never got an assessment. They got a
- 20 sham. So I'm telling you the only way you're going to
- 21 fix this is you've got to bring somebody in from the
- 22 outside, and you have got to reevaluate the way you're
- 23 assessing because your assessment process, the workers
- 24 again don't believe in it because they can't get any
- 25 answers back about it. They don't believe in it

- 1 because of the sham I told you about that happened in
- 2 the pretreatment building. They don't believe in the
- 3 process because management lies to them and placates
- 4 them. And I told you last time I got a chance to talk
- 5 to you that the answer we were given over and over and
- 6 over and over was I don't know.
- 7 Now, if these gentlemen right here that you
- 8 got a chance to talk to over the last couple hours
- 9 told you, I don't know, I don't know, I don't know, I
- 10 don't know, what would you think? And I'm going to
- 11 leave you with this. I'm a very positive person, and
- 12 I've got to believe I'm talking to some people with
- 13 some extremely bright minds. That's why you are in
- 14 the position you are in.
- 15 I'm not on that project anymore. And I'm
- 16 asking you to help those workers because those workers
- 17 need your help. I'm asking you to physically go out
- 18 there and see them. Take your suit coat off, put some
- 19 jeans on, a T-shirt, some work boots, and go out there
- 20 in the dead of summer and sweat with them and actually
- 21 talk to them and actually do something with what they
- 22 tell you. And I will guarantee you, experience tells
- 23 us that if you put faith in them, they will blow you
- 24 out of the water with what they will accomplish for
- 25 you and we won't be hearing about negative things

1 about that plant or other plants because hopefully

- 2 this could be a pilot for success in the future.
- 3 And I will lastly tell you about me
- 4 personally, positively. I told you that it was the
- 5 best thing that ever happened to me to get fired
- 6 there. I am starting a nonprofit side and a profit
- 7 side, a corporation with others, and we are going to
- 8 address community needs in the Richland area, in the
- 9 Benton and Franklin counties. Because I'm putting the
- 10 earnest money down on the properties, it's a project
- 11 labor agreement. That means it's 100 percent union.
- 12 I'm a union man. It's the only way I'll deal with
- 13 these people.
- 14 I've hooked up with a developer. We met
- 15 with an investment group, and they told us, don't
- 16 bring us any projects less than a million bucks. I
- 17 don't deal with them. Now I personally know because
- 18 in 2005 I engaged with that company or investment
- 19 group, and we secured a billion dollars for a project
- 20 that didn't develop fruition.
- 21 CHAIRMAN WINOKUR: Okay. I think --
- 22 MR. DAVID: And I lastly -- sir, please
- 23 allow me to wrap up.
- 24 CHAIRMAN WINOKUR: Okay. Please.
- MR. DAVID: Thank you, sir.

- 1 CHAIRMAN WINOKUR: No. I just wanted to
- 2 make sure we just kept these comments germane to the
- 3 meeting. That's all.
- 4 MR. DAVID: Thank you, sir. I appreciate
- 5 the opportunity for meeting with you. And, sir, I'd
- 6 like to address one thing to you. When people are
- 7 trying to give you some feedback, sir, it is not a
- 8 very good idea to address them like you just did me.
- 9 And again, I thank you all very, very much. You're
- 10 asking for our feedback and now you're telling us
- 11 exactly how you'd like us to talk to you. And I want
- 12 to be positive. I flew out here on my own dime. I'm
- 13 proud of it. I took time off in that meeting in March
- 14 to do it on my own dime to show you how much I believe
- 15 in this. And I put everything in my heart and my
- 16 soul, and my workers do the same. We need your help.
- 17 Please help us.
- 18 CHAIRMAN WINOKUR: Let me make one or two
- 19 very brief comments. I certainly didn't mean you any
- 20 insult. I'm sorry if you took it that way. The only
- 21 reason I was commenting was that you were beginning to
- 22 talk about your own personal ventures, which I didn't
- 23 think were germane to the meeting. That's all.
- MR. DAVID: Thank you, sir.
- 25 CHAIRMAN WINOKUR: We will certainly take

- 1 your input. We take everybody's input on the Board.
- 2 And I will certainly review the actions the Board has
- 3 taken with respect to what you said, and we certainly
- 4 will give careful consideration and thought to
- 5 everything you've told us here today. I think the
- 6 Board has demonstrated over the years that we are
- 7 willing to do that.
- 8 This is a meeting, you should know, where we
- 9 basically get input from a lot of people. That's why
- 10 we're asking questions and inviting people to be here.
- 11 I do appreciate your coming very much. And we have
- 12 spent countless hours reviewing things from the site,
- 13 input we've gotten. I think you know this. We've
- 14 also spent a huge amount of time on this effort to try
- 15 to understand it and devoted huge resources. So I
- 16 just want you to know it's an important issue for the
- 17 Board and we're continuing to work it. If you have
- 18 not received appropriate feedback from the Board from
- 19 whoever is on the Board, whoever is handling your
- 20 issue, I can promise you that we will do that. We
- 21 will get back to you. I appreciate your time very
- 22 much. Do you have anything else?
- 23 MR. DAVID: Yes. I just want to address one
- 24 other thing. And I'd ask that my termination notice
- 25 speaks of me being a person that says verbal threats,

- 1 is intimidating, creates a hostile work environment,
- 2 makes inappropriate comments, behaviors or actions and
- 3 is not eligible for rehire without management
- 4 approval. I was removed from the job on a Tuesday,
- 5 April 10. I was told to speak to some people about
- 6 why. They told me they couldn't tell me. I had to
- 7 talk to somebody the next day. My business agent and
- 8 I talked to the labor relations person. He completely
- 9 -- and excuse me. I was asked if I wanted a security
- 10 escort because my personal self, I was being
- 11 threatened. That's why they were removing me.
- 12 So then the next day -- actually it wasn't
- 13 they were removing me because somebody was threatening
- 14 me. It was because they thought I was threatening
- 15 somebody else. I brought up some things that they
- 16 needed to look into. The next day they decided I was
- 17 a good guy and they hired me back. And then the
- 18 following day they fired me.
- 19 Now think about that, folks. Now I talked
- 20 to you on March 22 about a Barney Fife operation. Now
- 21 just think about that. And additionally, the way they
- 22 fired me was they didn't go to my representation of my
- 23 union. They called me personally. They bypassed my
- 24 representation. This is how they deal with people
- 25 like me that bring up safety concerns. And then they

- 1 go through a character assassination, attempt to. And
- 2 when I applied for unemployment, because I was fired,
- 3 of course, there was a process you go through there.
- 4 They never disputed that. So I would say that the
- 5 information on this termination slip must not have had
- 6 any validity. Otherwise, don't you think they would
- 7 have fought it? That's what happens to us, sir.
- 8 CHAIRMAN WINOKUR: Thank you.
- 9 MR. DAVID: Thank you.
- 10 CHAIRMAN WINOKUR: Thank you for your input.
- 11 MR. DAVID: I appreciate your time.
- 12 CHAIRMAN WINOKUR: Absolutely. Are there
- 13 any other comments from members of the public?
- 14 (No response.)
- 15 CHAIRMAN WINOKUR: Seeing none, I'm going to
- 16 turn to the Board members for their closing comments,
- 17 and then I'll end with my comments. Ms. Roberson?
- 18 VICE CHAIRMAN ROBERSON: I trust you will
- 19 cover for me. No additional comments.
- 20 CHAIRMAN WINOKUR: No additional comments.
- 21 Dr. Mansfield?
- DR. MANSFIELD: No additional comments.
- 23 CHAIRMAN WINOKUR: Mr. Bader?
- MR. BADER: I'm good.
- 25 CHAIRMAN WINOKUR: Let me provide my closing

- 1 remarks. On behalf of the entire Board, I'd like to
- 2 thank the witnesses from the Department of Energy and
- 3 members of the public who participated in this hearing
- 4 and meeting. Safety culture can seem like an abstract
- 5 concept, but these discussions have clearly brought
- 6 out the fact that a poor safety culture can have a
- 7 tremendous detriment to the success of a complex
- 8 engineering project.
- 9 Workers need to be able to raise safety
- 10 issues and technical issues to effectively design and
- 11 construct new defense nuclear facilities and to enable
- 12 existing facilities to accomplish their mission. I
- 13 cannot overstate how important DOE's senior leaders
- 14 are in establishing and enforcing the safety culture
- 15 throughout the Department's defense nuclear facilities
- 16 complex. The safety culture is created and modeled by
- 17 its leaders. They set the policies and programmatic
- 18 imperatives that govern and drive work throughout the
- 19 complex. Their words and actions shape the values and
- 20 behaviors of the people and organizations that perform
- 21 the work.
- The Board is encouraged by today's testimony
- 23 from the Deputy Secretary of Energy and other key
- 24 senior DOE officials. The Department is making
- 25 progress toward correcting safety culture problems at

- 1 the Waste Treatment Plant. The Board remains
- 2 convinced that a major improvement in safety culture
- 3 is needed to repair the Waste Treatment Plant's
- 4 project's ability to identify and resolve safety and
- 5 technical issues.
- In recent months, we have seen DOE's Office
- 7 of River Protection vigorously pursue some of the
- 8 project's major technical issues, which is a very
- 9 positive development. However, it remains to be
- 10 demonstrated that this success will be translated into
- 11 similar progress on the part of the Waste Treatment
- 12 Plant contractor.
- 13 Let me be clear that the need to strengthen
- 14 safety culture is not unique to the Waste Treatment
- 15 Plant. The Board is looking forward to the results of
- 16 the remaining independent assessments by DOE's health,
- 17 safety, and security organization and to seeing what
- 18 conclusions and recommendations emerge once all
- 19 reviews are done. The Board is also looking forward
- 20 to seeing how the Department manages the challenging
- 21 task of orchestrating self-assessments that will
- 22 reliably determine the state of the safety culture at
- 23 its other sites, facilities and organizations.
- 24 Once again, I would like to thank our
- 25 witnesses from DOE today and the public for taking the

```
1 time to testify. The record of this proceeding will
```

- 2 remain open until June 23, 2012. I would like to
- 3 reiterate the Board reserves its right to further
- 4 schedule and regulate the course of this meeting and
- 5 hearing to recess, reconvene, postpone or adjourn this
- 6 public meeting and hearing and to otherwise exercise
- 7 its authority under the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as
- 8 amended. This meeting is adjourned.
- 9 (Whereupon, at 11:58 a.m., the hearing in
- 10 the above-entitled matter was adjourned.)
- 11 //
- 12 //
- 13 //
- 14 //
- 15 //
- 16 //
- 17 //
- 18 //
- 19 //
- 20 //
- 21 //
- 22 //
- 23 //
- 24 //
- 25 //

## REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

DOCKET NO.: N/A

CASE TITLE: Continuation Hearing, Status of

Actions Related to Unresolved

Technical Safety Issues in the Design

of WTP

HEARING DATE: May 22, 2012

LOCATION: Washington, D.C.

I hereby certify that the proceedings and evidence are contained fully and accurately on the tapes and notes reported by me at the hearing in the above case before the Defense Nuclear Facilities Safety Board.

Date: May 22, 2012

Gabriel Gheorghiu
Official Reporter
Heritage Reporting Corporation
Suite 600
1220 L Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20005-40180