

## Department of Energy

**National Nuclear Security Administration** Washington, DC 20585



June 2, 2008

The Honorable A. J. Eggenberger Chairman Defense Nuclear Facilities Safety Board 625 Indiana Ave., N.W., Suite 700 Washington, D.C. 20004-2901

Dear Mr. Chairman:

On December 29, 2005, the Department of Energy (DOE) transmitted Exclusion Reports for National Nuclear Security Administration (NNSA) and Office of Environmental Management (EM) facilities in accordance with Commitment 8.3 of the Implementation Plan (IP) for Defense Nuclear Facilities Safety Board (DNFSB) Recommendation 2004-2, Active Confinement Systems. Commitment 8.3 required a list of, and justification for, defense nuclear facilities that will be excluded from further review of confinement systems under the IP. The Exclusion Reports were developed in accordance with the guidance and criteria contained in the deliverable for Commitment 8.2, Exclusion Reporting Process, which was submitted to the DNFSB on October 31, 2005. The Exclusion Reports for NNSA facilities were prepared at the sites and were reviewed and approved by NNSA line management and the Central Technical Authority (CTA).

On August 7, 2006, NNSA provided to the DNFSB a list of 26 facilities that will complete a Safety-Related Ventilation System Evaluation as deliverable 8.6.1 of the IP. The NNSA has subsequently identified one additional facility (the Waste Solidification Building at the Savannah River Site) for which a ventilation system evaluation will be performed and has identified six facilities on the list of 26 previously provided for which Exclusion Reports are now considered appropriate. Accordingly, NNSA has prepared the enclosed additional Exclusion Reports to be included with those provided in response to Commitment 8.3. These reports were also developed in accordance with the approved 2004-2 exclusion reporting process and have been signed by the respective NNSA Site Office, CTA, and the Program Secretarial Office as required.

If you have any questions, please contact me or have your staff contact Rick Kendall at (301) 903-3102.

Sincerely,

Robert L. Smolen Deputy Administrator

for Defense Programs

## Enclosure

cc: W. Ostendorff, NA-2

J. Talbot, NA-17

M. Whitaker, HS-1.1

B. Bruns, HS-1.1

J. O'Brien, HS-22

D. Winchell, LASO

C. Yuan-Soo Hoo, LSO

T. Sherry, YSO

## U.S. Department of Energy National Nuclear Security Administration

Exclusion Reports: Commitment 8.3 of the Implementation Plan for Defense Nuclear Facilities Safety Board Recommendation 2004-2

Active Confinement Systems

Addendum to the December 2005 Listing of NNSA Facilities Excluded From Further Review Under Recommendation 2004-2



March 2008

La	s Alamos National L	aboratory-	- Updated Exclusion Report		
Hazard	Description	Exclusion	Comments/Justification		
Category	•	Criteria			
HC2	Repackaging TRU waste for shipment to WIPP	NB-5	Recently upgraded to HC2 from HC3 to process High Activity Level Drums. Limited life facility with expected operations through 2012		
< HC3	Volume reduction of large low level waste for shipment and disposal	N/A	Facility downgraded to < HC3 – never operated as a HC 2 nuclear facility. Therefore, recommendation 2004-2 requirements are no longer applicable.		
Accelerator	Experimental applications	N/A	LANSE 1L Target not a nuclear facility subject to 10 CFR 830 requirements per recent CG interpretation. Therefore, recommendation 2004-2 requirements are no longer applicable.		
HC2	Waste Management Risk Mitigation – part of Cerro Grande restoration emergency line item project.	CE-8	Project 70% completed – current plan is to complete construction with only emergency influent storage capability. PDSA and SER complete with no active ventilation for the tanks. Meets CE-8 criterion.		
Submitted By: White will was 10/15/67			15/10 10/18/07		
Signature Organization Date			Organization Date		
PSO Concurrence: All -10 4/20/08			CTA Concurrence:  Workland w4-2 5/30/08		
Signature Organization Date			Organization Date		
	Hazard Category HC2 <hc3 accelerator="" hc2="" hc2<="" td=""><td>Hazard Category HC2 Repackaging TRU waste for shipment to WIPP  &lt; HC3 Volume reduction of large low level waste for shipment and disposal Accelerator Experimental applications  Experimental applications  Waste Management Risk Mitigation – part of Cerro Grande restoration emergency line item project.  M3-10  4/2008</td><td>Category HC2 Repackaging TRU waste for shipment to WIPP  &lt; HC3 Volume reduction of large low level waste for shipment and disposal Accelerator Experimental applications N/A  LASO direction received on Februar Experimental applications N/A  Waste Management Risk Mitigation – part of Cerro Grande restoration emergency line item project.  Approved Februar  Approved Februar  CE-8  CE-8</td></hc3>	Hazard Category HC2 Repackaging TRU waste for shipment to WIPP  < HC3 Volume reduction of large low level waste for shipment and disposal Accelerator Experimental applications  Experimental applications  Waste Management Risk Mitigation – part of Cerro Grande restoration emergency line item project.  M3-10  4/2008	Category HC2 Repackaging TRU waste for shipment to WIPP  < HC3 Volume reduction of large low level waste for shipment and disposal Accelerator Experimental applications N/A  LASO direction received on Februar Experimental applications N/A  Waste Management Risk Mitigation – part of Cerro Grande restoration emergency line item project.  Approved Februar  Approved Februar  CE-8  CE-8		

Facility	Description and System Classification	Current Status	Comments	
There is no active ventilation system for B612. T Reduction Unit (SRU) located in Room 100 has a dedicated HEPA filter. The SRU is used for hand LLW, mixed LLW, and hazardous waste. No TR be handled within the SRU with the exception of replacements for LLW to TRU waste conversions stipulated in the TSR.		Active Facility  TSR limits B612 inventory to less 56 PE Ci. Footprint Reduction efficies planned to reduce building categorization to radiological in net DSA annual update cycle.		
<del></del>	B612 is part of the Waste Storage Facilities DSA.			
Submitted By:		Approved By:		
^				
Signature	THE THEORY SERVICES 9/18/07	mun Br		
Signature PSQ Concurrence	Organization Date	Signature CTA Concurrence  Was Gudif		

## NNSA DNFSB RECOMMENDATION 2004-2 CHANGE REQUEST REPORT

Y-12 SITE								
Facility Segment/Section	Hazard Category	Description	on	Change Requested	Comments  Justification			
Building 9995	HC2	Analytical chemistry laborator of enriched uranium. Glovebo ventilation systems that provid applies a negative pressure difference of lower contamination to contamination. Hoods have ac	xes have active e a motive force that ferential between o areas of higher tive ventilation	Per 3/6/2007 supplemental guidance #6, should be treated as HC3 for 2004- 2 purposes.	Facility is classified as hazard category 2 based solely on the potential for a nuclear criticality accident.			
		systems that provide a motive minimum air flow across the h air flow if from areas of lower areas of higher contamination.	ood face to ensure contamination to		No ventilation system evaluation report is required for HC3 facilities with active confinement ventilation systems. While Building 9995 does not have a full facility ventilation system, the gloveboxes and hoods where enriched uranium is handled do have active ventilation systems.			
Subplitted By: Signature	Granizati		Approved By: Signature	Y SO Organizati	3/14/2 Date			
PSO Concurrence:	NA-10	•4/z9/08	CTA Concurrence		2 5kv/88			
Signature	Organizati		Signature	Organizat	ion Date			