

### Department of Energy Washington, DC 20585

MAR 0 1 2000

The Honorable John T. Conway Chairman Defense Nuclear Facilities Safety Board 625 Indiana Avenue, N.W. Suite 700 Washington, D.C. 20004-2901

Dear Mr. Chairman:

This letter informs you of the completion of Commitment 121, "Provide a revised completion date for the completion of polycube stabilization, if different from August 2002." This commitment is included in the Implementation Plan (IP) for the Defense Nuclear Facilities Safety Board, Recommendation 94-1, Remediation of Nuclear Materials in the Defense Nuclear Facilities Complex, Rev. 2. Presently, there is no change to the August 2002 completion date; this letter documents the Department's rationale for no change. Enclosed is the current schedule and other supporting documentation.

Revision 1 of the IP included a polycube stabilization completion date of August 2002. At the time of the Department's issuance of Revision 2, a preliminary evaluation indicated that the process for stabilizing the polycubes could be simplified from pyrolysis followed by thermal stabilization to direct thermal stabilization. The preliminary evaluation also indicated that the off-gas concerns were of lower hazard than had been anticipated earlier. Because of the uncertainty in the stabilization path forward process and in the associated risk, the Plutonium Finishing Plant Integrated Project Management Plan (IPMP) prioritized stabilization of other materials (primarily metals and solutions) ahead of the polycubes and showed a polycube stabilization completion date of March 2004, significantly beyond August 2002. The intent of Commitment 121 was to ensure that the August 2002 date could be met, or if not, propose an alternate later date.

The IPMP assumed all five furnaces would support oxidation of the resultant product from magnesium hydroxide precipitation process for solution stabilization during early fiscal year 2001. Preliminary testing shows that all five furnaces may not be needed for solution stabilization. The polycube stabilization could be initiated as early as October 2000. Presently, the Department believes polycube stabilization can be completed on or before August 2002. Several preliminary opportunities have been identified that show the August 2002 date can be

accelerated; however, due to uncertainty in furnace requirements and radiation exposure issues, the rate of acceleration cannot be determined at this time. The basis for any acceleration will be better known after further evaluations. A schedule showing the most likely scenario is enclosed.

We continue to closely track progress on all Recommendation 94-1 commitments and will keep you and your staff apprised of our progress. If you have any questions, please contact me at (202) 586-5151 or Mark W. Frei, Deputy Assistant Secretary for Project Completion, at (202) 586-0370.

Sincerely,

David G. Huizenga

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Integration and Disposition

Office of Environmental Management

Enclosure

cc w/enclosure: M. Whitaker, S-3.1 TUE 16:57 FAX 509 372 3508



### Department of Energy

Richland Operations Office P.O. Box 550 Richland, Washington 99352

00-MDD-048

FEB 29 2000

Mr. R. D. Hanson, President Fluor Hanford, Inc. Richland, Washington 99352

Dear Mr. Hanson:

CONTRACT NO. DE-AC06-96RL13200 - COMPLETION DATE FOR POLYCUBES PROCESSING (REVISED)

Reference is made to the letter (FH-0000952 R1), from G. W. Jackson, FHI, to P. M. Knollmeyer, RL, dated February 28, 2000, Completion Date for Polycubes Processing.

RL has reviewed the referenced letter and available supporting documentation for the stabilization of the entire polycube inventory at Plutonium Finishing Plant (PFP). RL concurs with the polycube schedule for completion of polycube processing by August 2002. Technical approval for this work will be batch thermal stabilization.

This schedule is consistent with DOE's position to have high-confidence baseline in support of Defense Nuclear Facilities Safety Board (DNFSB) commitments. RL recognizes and encourages FHI's continuing efforts of exploring and developing innovative approaches to accelerate polycube stabilization completion as discussed in the referenced letter.

RL concurrence of this schedule completes Commitment #121 "Provide a revised completion date for the completion of polycubes stabilization, if different than August 2002," from Revision 2 of the Implementation Plan for the DNFSB Recommendation 94-1, Remediation of Nuclear Materials in the Defense Nuclear Facilities Complex.

RL expects this schedule to be reflected in the next revision of the PFP Integrated Project Management Plan.

Ø003

Mr. R. D. Hanson 00-MDD-048

-2-

FEB 29 2000

If you have any questions, please contact Pete Knollmeyer, Office of Nuclear Materials and Facility Stabilization, on (509) 376-7435, or your staff may contact Harry E. Bell, Materials Disposition Division, on (509) 376-2347 or Mark R. Hahn, Materials Disposition Division, on (509) 373-9872.

Sincerely,

MDD:HEB

cc: C. L. Huntoon, EM-1

J. M. Owendoff, EM-2

D. G. Huizenga, EM-20

J. C. Tseng, EM-21

M. W. Frei, EM-40

R. L. Kaltreider, EM-43

J. E. Newson, EM-43

J. A. Turi, EM-43

M. B. Whitaker, Jr., US

A. F. Shattuck, FDNW

G. W. Jackson, WSMS

J. G. McKibbin, WSMS

R. L. McQuinn, WSMS

Contracting Officer



#### FLUOR DANIEL

A-8002-101

Fluor Daniel Hanford, Inc. P.O. Box 1000 Richland, WA 99352

February 28, 2000

FH-0000952 R1

Mr. P. M. Knollmeyer, Assistant Manager Nuclear Materials and Facility Stabilization U.S. Department of Energy Richland Operations Office A5-11 Post Office Box 550 Richland, Washington 99352

Dear Mr. Knollmeyer:

CONTRACT NO. DE-AC06-96RL13200 - COMPLETION DATE FOR POLYCUBES PROCESSING

The Plutonium Finishing Plant (PFP) team has completed its evaluation of polycube processing and developed a schedule. The schedule is based upon processing the polycubes via thermal oxidation in muffle furnaces. On the basis of tests performed on actual polycubes, we are confident that thermal oxidation can be safely performed in muffle furnaces. The schedule in Attachment 2 shows that we expect to complete polycube processing on or before the Defense Nuclear Facilities Safety Board 94-1 Implementation Plan (IP) commitment date of August 2002.

The start-up date for polycubes processing is anticipated in late October 2000. This start date is dictated by the need to complete National Environmental Policy Act and authorization basis documentation. It is also the result of the multiple start-ups scheduled for the latter part of fiscal year 2000. Between June and September we will be starting up residues stabilization, a second bagless transfer system, and solutions processing. The polycube start-up review will follow these.

The basis for polycube processing is shown in Attachment 1. Processing is based on 400 gram bulk weight of polycubes per charge being processed in 2 dedicated furnaces in 234-5Z. Using this basis, polycube processing would be completed in late July 2002. This supports the August 2002 completion date, but is not sufficiently different to warrant changing the IP commitment.

Testing currently underway at Pacific Northwest National Laboratory (PNNL) and Plutonium Process Support Laboratories (PPSL) is producing data to support safety analysis calculations. The testing will be documented in a series of reports from PNNL and PPSL. Results of the cold polycube testing performed last year will be issued in March. The final PNNL report providing hydrocarbon evolution rates for full polycubes

February 28, 2000

į.

will be issued by mid-April. The final PPSL testing document providing test results from thermal stabilization of several full polycubes will be issued in late April. Calculations related to safe charge size will be issued in early May. Testing and calculations done to date support thermal stabilization of 400 grams bulk polycubes as a safe alternative to pyrolysis. It is our belief that these testing results and safety calculations will support an increased charge size (800 grams bulk polycubes). Increasing the charge size to 800 grams will significantly accelerate the processing of polycubes. In addition, a dose study of polycube processing is currently being performed and will be completed in May 2000. This study will be used to identify ALARA techniques and add confidence to the proposed polycube schedule.

If you have questions related to the PFP polycube processing commitment, please contact Mr. John McKibbin at 373-7353.

Very truly yours,

G. W. Jackson, Vice President Nuclear Material Stabilization

Fluor Hanford

afs

Attachments

### ATTACHMENT 1

### FH-0000952 R1

## COMPLETION DATE FOR POLYCUBES PROCESSING POLYCUBE PROCESSING BASIS

Consisting of 2 pages, including coversheet

### Attachment 1 Polycube Processing Basis

The one over arching assumption made is that personnel exposure will be managed so it does not restrict processing. Dose measurements of polycubes are extremely high (up to 20 Rem) and will require a strong ALARA effort to minimize exposure. Two teams are identifying options to minimize the exposure from handling and processing polycubes.

#### Baseline

260 cans of polycubes at 800 grams bulk per can

Boat charge size is 400 grams bulk polycubes

2 furnaces dedicated to polycube processing (Solutions processing rates will only require 2-3 furnaces)

One run per day per furnace using a modified calcination cycle. The furnace will be left at a few hundred degrees C for several hours until oxidation of the organic is complete. The amount of time and temperature to be used will be determined as part of the testing and process optimization work underway at PNNL and PPSL. It is expected the cycle time will be 18-20 hours.

Total Operating Efficiency (TOE) = 60%

260 cans of polycubes @ 2 charges per can = 520 charges

520 charges / (5 charges per week per furnace  $\times$  2 furnaces  $\times$  0.6 TOE) = 520/6 = 87 weeks to process 260 cans of polycubes

Therefore, processing will take place from November 2000 through July 2002

#### Acceleration Opportunity

If the charge size is increased to 800 grams as result of the testing, the schedule will show significant improvement.

Only 260 charges will be required since each boat will hold a full can of polycubes

260 charges / (5 charges per week per furnace x 2 furnaces x 0.6 TOE) = 260/6 = 43 weeks to process 260 cans of polycubes

On this basis, processing will take place from November 2000 through August 2001

Note: If Total Operating Efficiency is improved to 80% (for example) from the 60% assumed, the base line schedule can be reduced by about 6 months and the Acceleration Opportunity Case schedule can be improved by about 2 months.

### ATTACHMENT 2

### FH-0000952 R1

# COMPLETION DATE FOR POLYCUBES PROCESSING NUCLEAR MATERIAL STABILIZATION POLYCUBE SCHEDULE

Consisting of 2 pages, including coversheet

						·	
		14 <b>Y</b> 0@05	001.0062	95)	951	Polycube Processing Operations	
		20OC100	112Eb00.	30	30	Complete Startup Review Salton Operations	
		07SEP00	SBMARIOO	511	SII	princings The archipopol 9 studen	
		0093870	ADDUALED	681	241 ·	Spottwagn kither	\$ energy and the second
		30NAM0£	05EEB00V	18	C8	HAR GRARD SERVICA VIIII	ger experience (
		00년4498	AGONALOT	91	LL	The gain end of 1299 / 1500	
	DEC NAN FEB	Finish 14OCT02	Slart	ΔDQ	<b>a</b> Dut	WYNYGEMEN1 BOFACRBE BHOTECT TECT MYNYGEMENT Dosciphou	<b>ai</b> টান্স - ভ্রমচন্দ্র
EAUU		Aues	fim-		Rua 1	discussor .	• •••

PFP Project Contot

Nuclear Material Stabilization Project

Fluor Hanford

Working Level

Sheet 1 of 1 Project Manager D. Bogen Scheduler J. White Ject LT-91/FL-91 O Primavera Systems, Inc.

elsQ m

elsO al

etad date

eta Date

S8EEB00 14:02

33FEB00

140CT02

6612010

Critical Activity

Progress Bar

ISB YING THE ENTY BOI