Tank Characterization Report for Single-Shell Tank 241-BY-108

J. H. Baldwin R. J. Cash W. I. Winters Westinghouse Hanford Company

L. Amato T. Tran Los Alamos Technical Associates

Date Published February 1996

Prepared for the U.S. Department of Energy Assistant Secretary for Environmental Management



Management and Operations Contractor for the U.S. Department of Energy under Contract DE-AC06-87RL10930

Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This tank characterization report summarizes information on the historical uses, current status, and sampling and analysis results of waste stored in single-shell underground tank 241-BY-108. This report supports the requirements of the *Hanford Federal Facility* Agreement and Consent Order (Ecology et al. 1994), Milestone M-44-09 and the Ferrocyanide Safety Program, Milestone T22-96-020 (WHC 1995c). As a result of analyses addressed by this report, the tank does not appear to pose safety concerns based on the decision limits of the safety screening and ferrocyanide data quality objectives (DQOs) (Baldwin 1995b).

Tank 241-BY-108 is 1 of 12 single-shell underground waste storage tanks located in the 200 East Area BY Tank Farm on the Hanford Site. It is the second tank in a three-tank cascade series. The tank went into service in March 1951, receiving first-cycle decontamination waste from the bismuth phosphate process through the cascade. This waste type continued to cascade into the tank until the first quarter of 1954. An active process history followed the initial waste receipts. The tank received ferrocyanide-scavenged uranium recovery waste, in-tank solidification waste, evaporator bottoms, cladding waste, organic wash waste, and water. The ferrocyanide-scavenged waste was allowed to settle in the tank prior to discharging the supernatant to various cribs.

ES-1

A description and the status of tank 241-BY-108 are summarized in Table ES-1 and Figure ES-1. The tank has an operating capacity of 2,870 kL (758 kgal) and presently contains 863 kL (228 kgal) of waste. The total amount is composed of 583 kL (154 kgal) of sludge and 280 kL (74 kgal) of saltcake; no supernatant liquid remains (Hanlon 1996). The sludge contains 34 kL (9 kgal) of drainable interstitial liquid. Tank 241-BY-108 is on the Ferrocyanide Watch List and controls for Organic Watch List Tanks.

Table ES-1. Description and Status of Tank 241-BY-108.

1	TANK DESCRIPTION
Туре	Single-shell
Constructed	1948-1949
In-service	1951
Diameter	23 m (75 ft)
Maximum operating depth	7 m (23 ft)
Capacity	2,870 kL (758 kgal)
Bottom shape	Dish
Ventilation	Passive
	TANK STATUS
Waste classification	Noncomplexed
Total waste volume	863 kL (228 kgal)
Sludge volume	583 kL (154 kgal)
Drainable interstitial liquid	34 kL (9 kgal)
Saltcake volume	280 kL (74 kgal)
Waste surface level (1992 to 1995)	218 cm (86 in.) to 225 cm (88.5 in.)
Temperature (1974 to present)	10 °C (50 °F) to 68 °C (154 °F)
Integrity	Assumed leaker
Watch List	Ferrocyanide
	SAMPLING DATES
Rotary mode core sample	July 27 to August 16, 1995
	SERVICE STATUS
Partial isolation	December 1982
Interim stabilized	February 1985





The characterization of tank 241-BY-108 is based on a core sampling event that took place from July 27 through August 16, 1995. Historical sampling data for the top 50.8 cm (20 in.) of waste were obtained from a 1994 auger sampling event. During the 1995 sampling event, cores 98, 99, and 104 were obtained from tank 241-BY-108 using the rotary core sampling method. All three cores were extruded at the Westinghouse Hanford Company 222-S Laboratory. Cores 98 and 104 were analyzed at the 222-S Laboratory in accordance with the Tank Safety Screening Data Quality Objective (Babad et al. 1995), the Interim Data Quality Objectives for Waste Pretreatment and Vitrification (Kupfer et al. 1994), the Data Requirements for the Ferrocyanide Safety Issue Developed through the Data Quality Objectives Process (Meacham et al. 1994), and the Test Plan for Samples From Hanford Waste Tanks 241-BY-103, BY-104, BY-105, BY-106, BY-108, BY-110, TY-103, U-105, U-107, U-108, and U-109 (Meacham 1995). Although not addressed in the sampling and analysis plan, the analyses required by the Data Quality Objective to Support Resolution of the Organic Complexant Safety Issue (Turner et al. 1995) were performed as a consequence of meeting the analytical requirements of the other DOOs and the test plan. Analyses for cores 98 and 104 included determinations for total alpha activity, metals, cyanide, anions, total organic carbon, and an organic screen analysis (Baldwin 1995c). In addition, the energetics and moisture content were determined.

Core 99, obtained from the same riser as core 98, was sent to the Pacific Northwest National Laboratory for analyses in accordance with the safety screening DQO (Babad et al. 1995) and the Historical Model Evaluation Data Requirements (Simpson and McCain 1995). These

ES-4

analyses included determination for specific isotopes, metals, cyanide, anions, total organic carbon, density, and thermal analyses (Silvers et al. 1995).

Of the samples from all three cores, two quarter segments from segment 5 of core 104 had mean exothermic reactions (on a dry-weight basis) exceeding the safety screening and ferrocyanide DQOs limit of 481 J/g (dry weight): quarter segment B (573.0 J/g) and quarter segment C (528.3 J/g). The computation of a 95 percent confidence interval (required by the safety screening DQO) indicated the upper limits for these two samples were 702.1 J/g and 657.1 J/g, respectively. Two other samples had one result out of a duplicate pair greater than the threshold: quarter segment 4B of core 98 and a rerun from the same quarter segment. For both samples, the average differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) result was below the limit (473.6 and 445.4 J/g, respectively). However, the upper 95 percent confidence limits for the results of these two samples were 697.7 J/g and 672.0 J/g, respectively; both exceed the 481 J/g DQO limit. Although four samples had DSC values potentially greater than 481 J/g, they also had water contents of at least 35 percent, which reduces the potential for a propagating reaction. In addition, the observed cyanide concentrations were well below the ferrocyanide DQO limit. Analytical nickel concentrations compare favorably with historical estimates (Borsheim and Simpson 1991) and indicate that ferrocyanide has substantially decomposed. One total organic carbon result from quarter segment 4B from core 98 did exceed the ferrocyanide and organic DQOs limit of 30,000 $\mu g/g$ (dry weight), confirming the high DSC result for this quarter segment. The organic screen revealed that minor amounts of normal paraffin hydrocarbons and tributyl phosphate were present (Baldwin 1995b). All other total organic carbon results were below the limit.

ES-5

The heat load in the tank produced by radioactive decay is estimated at 2.83 kW (1995 radionuclide data). This compares favorably with the 2.7 kW estimated from the headspace temperature (Kummerer 1994). Surveillance data show tank temperatures have varied from 1974 to the present, ranging from 68 °C (154 °F) to 10 °C (50 °F). However, temperatures have remained steady around 39.4 °C (103 °F) for the past three years. The heat load and thermal history indicate that the waste cannot generate radiolytic temperatures high enough to initiate an exothermic reaction.

Before samples were removed from the tank, combustible gas meter readings from inside the risers were taken as required by the safety screening DQO (Babad et al. 1995). The greatest lower flammability limit observed was 5 percent, satisfying the DQO requirement of less than 25 percent of the lower flammability limit. This measurement was confirmed through calculations of a lower flammability limit from results of a 1994 vapor sampling event. A lower flammability limit of 3.0 percent was calculated.

Total alpha activity results for all three cores were well below the safety screening limit of 41 μ Ci/g; the highest result of the three cores was 0.450 μ Ci/g indicating the potential for a criticality event is low.

Any remaining material from the sampling event will be set aside for pretreatment studies as identified in the pretreatment DQO.

Waste surface levels have remained constant between 218 cm (86 in.) and 225 cm (88.5 in.) over the past three years.

An historical evaluation was performed on core 99 results as prescribed in the historical DQO (Simpson and McCain 1995). The fingerprint analytes, identified in the DQO for the waste type (ferrocyanide waste) predicted to compose the lower layer of the tank waste, were bismuth, nickel, sodium, ¹³⁷Cs, ⁹⁰Sr, and water. Comparisons were made between the analytical results and the DQO-defined concentration levels for these analytes. Results for all fingerprint analytes, except for bismuth, met the criterion of \geq 10 percent of the concentration level predicted in the historical DQO.

Table ES-2 provides concentration and inventory estimates for the most prevalent analytes and analytes of concern based on the 1995 analytical results.

	Overall Mean Concentration	Relative Standard Deviation ²	Projected Inventory
Metals	¥2/2	(%)	kg
Aluminum	39,800	29.8	51,700
Chromium	255	34.7	332
Iron	7,190	52.8	9,350
Nickel	2,510	41.8	3,260
Sodium	1.63E+05	14.2	2.12E+05
Uranium	9,470	60.0	12,300
Anions	μg/g	9%	kg
Cyanide	362	65.8	471
Fluoride	6,610	26.7	8,590
Nitrate	2.01E+05	18.5	2.61E+05
Nitrite	27,300	13.0	35,500
Oxalate	7,500	11.4	9,750
Phosphate	26,000	24.0	33,800
Sulfate	23,400	27.9	30,400
Radionuclides	μCi/g	sa sa % 🕺 julés	Ci
¹³⁷ Cs	258	77.9	3.35E+05
⁹⁰ Sr	143	95.5	1.86E+05
Total Alpha	0.0619	43.3	80.5
Carbon	μg C/g	%	kg C
Total Inorganic Carbon	5,340	33.9	6,940
Total Organic Carbon	4,480	20.5	5,820
Physical Properties	i gelgin :	••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	
Density	1.51 g/mL		
Percent Water	27.2	19.3	

Table ES-2. Major Analytes and Analytes of Concern.¹

Notes:

¹Baldwin (1995b) and Silvers et al. (1995)

²Overall relative standard deviation of all available results for subsegments and cores as listed in Appendix A.

WHC-SD-WM-ER-533 Rev. 0

1 15 10 10 10 10 M

united of the second state of the second second

.

CONTENTS

1.0	INTRO 1.1 1.2	DUCTION	1-1 1-1 1-1
2.0	HISTO 2.1 2.2 2.3 2.4	RICAL TANK INFORMATION	2-1 2-1 2-2 2-6 2-6 2-6 2-7 2-8 2-8 2-8 2-8 2-13 2-13 2-13
3.0	TANK 3.1 3.2 3.3 3.4	2.4.4 Tank 241-B1-108 Photographs SAMPLING OVERVIEW DESCRIPTION OF SAMPLING EVENT SAMPLE HANDLING 3.2.1 Cores 98 and 104 3.2.2 Core 99 SAMPLE ANALYSIS AUGUST 1994 HISTORICAL AUGER SAMPLING EVENT	3-1 3-1 3-3 3-3 3-4 3-4 3-8
4.0	ANAL 9 4.1	YTICAL RESULTSDATA PRESENTATION4.1.1 Chemical Data Summary4.1.2 Physical Data Summary4.1.3 Drill String Wash Water Contamination Check4.1.4 Vapor Data Summary	4-1 4-1 4-2 4-5 4-14 . 4-14
5.0	INTER 5.1 5.2 5.3 5.4 5.5	PRETATION OF CHARACTERIZATION RESULTS	. 5-1 . 5-1 . 5-1 . 5-2 . 5-2 . 5-7 . 5-7 . 5-7 . 5-8 . 5-10 . 5-11

CONTENTS (Continued)

.

6.0	CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS
7.0	REFERENCES
APPE	NDICES:
Α	ANALYTICAL RESULTS FROM 1995 CORE SAMPLING A-1
В	SAMPLE ANALYSIS AND PROCEDURES B-1
С	DRILL STRING WASH WATER CONTAMINATION CHECK DATA C-1
D	ANALYTICAL RESULTS FROM AUGUST 1994 AUGER SAMPLES D-1

.

LIST OF FIGURES

2-1.	Riser Configuration for Tank 241-BY-108
2-2.	Tank 241-BY-108 Configuration
2-3.	Tank Layer Model for Tank 241-BY-108
2-4.	Tank 241-BY-108 Level History 2-12
2-5.	Tank 241-BY-108 Weekly High Temperature Plot 2-14
2-6.	Photographic Montage of Tank 241-BY-108 2-15

LIST OF TABLES

2-1.	Summary Tank Contents Status
2-2.	Tank 241-BY-108 Risers
2-3.	Summary of Tank 241-BY-108 Waste Receipt History
2-4.	Tank 241-BY-108 Inventory Estimate
3-1.	Integrated Requirements for Tank 241-BY-108
3-2.	Rotary Cores 98, 99, and 104 Sample Information
3-3.	Cores 98, 99, and 104 Rotary Sample Description
4-1.	Analytical Data Presentation Tables
4-2.	Chemical Data Summary for Tank 241-BY-108
4-3.	Thermogravimetric Analysis Results for Tank 241-BY-108
4-4.	Differential Scanning Calorimetry Results for Tank 241-BY-108
4-5.	Core 99 Density Results for Tank 241-BY-108

LIST OF TABLES (Continued)

5-1.	Tank 241-BY-108 Comparison of Gross Alpha Activities With theTotal of the Individual Activities
5-2.	Tank 241-BY-108 Comparison of Gross Beta Activities With the Total of the Individual Activities
5-3.	Cation Mass and Charge Data
5-4.	Anion Mass and Charge Data
5-5.	Mass Balance Totals
5-6.	Comparison of 1994 and 1995 Results
5-7.	Comparison of Historical Data With 1995 Analytical Results for Tank 241-BY-108
5-8.	Vapor Flammability Results from 1994 Vapor Sampling and Analysis Event 5-11
5-9.	Comparison of Differential Scanning Calorimetry Analytical Results With Total Organic Carbon and Cyanide Energy Equivalents
5-10.	Data Quality Objective and Test Plan Decision Variables and Criteria 5-16
5-11.	Tank 241-BY-108 Projected Heat Load
5-12.	Comparison of Fingerprint Analytes with Analytical Results

LIST OF TERMS

.

ANOVA	analysis of variance
С	Celsius
Ci	curies
cm	centimeter
cm ³	cubic centimeters
DL	drainable liquid
DQO	data quality objective
DSC	differential scanning calorimetry
F	Fahrenheit
FID/GC	flame ionization detection/gas chromatography
ft	feet
g	gram
HTCE	Historical Tank Content Estimate
IC	ion chromatography
ICP/AES	inductively coupled plasma/atomic emission spectrometry
in.	inches
J	joule
kg	kilogram
kgal	kilogallon
kL	kiloliter
kW	kilowatt
L	liter
m	meter
mg	milligram
mm	millimeter
mL	milliliter
mR/hr	milliroentgens per hour
PFeCN1	type 1 in-plant ferrocyanide
PFeCN2	type 2 in-plant ferrocyanide
PNNL	Pacific Northwest National Laboratory
ppm	parts per million
ppmv	parts per million by volume
QC	quality control
RPD	relative percent difference
RSD	relative standard deviation
RSST	reactive systems screening tool
SAP	sampling and analysis plan
TIC	total inorganic carbon
TGA	thermogravimetric analysis
TLM	Tank Layer Model
TOC	total organic carbon

LIST OF TERMS (Continued)

W	watts
WSTRS	Waste Status and Transaction Record Summary
wt%	weight percent
μ	micro
μCi	microcurie
μg	microgram
μeq	microequivalent

1.0 INTRODUCTION

This tank characterization report summarizes the information on the historical uses, current status, and sampling and analysis results of waste stored in single-shell tank 241-BY-108. The tank was sampled in 1995 to satisfy the requirements of the following: Tank Safety Screening Data Quality Objective (Babad et al. 1995), the Data Requirements for the Ferrocyanide Safety Issue Developed through the Data Quality Objectives Process (Meacham et al. 1994), the Test Plan for Samples From Hanford Waste Tanks 241-BY-103, BY-104, BY-105, BY-108, BY-110, TY-103, U-105, U-107, U-108, and U-109 (Meacham 1995), the Historical Model Evaluation Data Requirements (Simpson and McCain 1995), and the Interim Data Quality Objectives for Waste Pretreatment and Vitrification (Kupfer et al. 1994). In addition, the analytical requirements of the Data Ouality Objective to Support Resolution of the Organic Complexant Safety Issue (Turner et al. 1995) were met through the analyses required by the other DOOs and the test plan. Auger samples from 1994 were used for historical analytical data. This report supports the requirements of the Hanford Federal Facility Agreement and Consent Order, Milestone M-44-09 (Ecology et al. 1994) and the Ferrocyanide Safety Program Milestone, T22-96-020 (WHC 1995c).

Tank 241-BY-108 has been removed from service and interim stabilized. Consequently, it is unlikely that waste removals or additions will occur until pretreatment and retrieval activities commence. The concentration estimates reported in this document reflect the current composition of the waste based on available data. Tank 241-BY-108 is on the Ferrocyanide Watch List. It also has been identified as potentially containing organic complexants (WHC 1995b).

1.1 PURPOSE

This report summarizes information about the use and contents of tank 241-BY-108. When possible, this information will be used to assess issues associated with safety, operations, environmental, and process activities.

1.2 SCOPE

Rotary core samples were taken in July and August of 1995. Prior to retrieving these samples, tank vapors were field tested using a combustible gas meter to determine the lower flammability limit. In addition, a full vapor characterization of the tank headspace gases was performed in 1994. The data from this sampling and analysis event can be found in the *Tank 241-BY-108 Headspace Gas and Vapor Characterization Results for Samples Collected in March 1994 and October 1994* (Huckaby and Bratzel 1995). Cores 98 and 104 were analyzed to comply with the requirements of the safety screening, ferrocyanide, and pretreatment data quality objectives (DQOs) and the safety program test plan. Although the cores were not specifically evaluated to address the organic DQO, the analyses required by the DQO were performed as a consequence of satisfying the analytical requirements of the other DQOs and the test plan. Objectives of the sampling event were to determine whether the ferrocyanide content was sufficiently low to classify the tank as safe (Postma et al. 1994) and to determine whether the organic content was sufficiently high to warrant being classified on the Organic Watch List. Another purpose was to gather additional information and sample for future retrieval and vitrification activities. The primary analyses included differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) to evaluate fuel level and energetics, thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) to determine moisture content, total alpha activity analysis to evaluate criticality potential, ion chromatography (IC) to determine anion concentrations, inductively coupled plasma/atomic emission spectrometry (ICP/AES) to determine metal concentrations, direct persulfate to determine the TOC concentration, and gas chromatography to determine organic concentrations.

Core 99 was taken to test core sampling procedures and was analyzed in an opportunistic venture according to the safety screening and historical DQOs (Kristofzski 1995). The primary methods of analyses included DSC, TGA, alpha proportional counting, density, IC, ICP/AES, and gamma energy analysis.

Two auger samples of the top 50.8 cm (20 in.) of waste were taken in August 1994 to investigate concerns about a possible floating organic layer coming into contact with the saltcake because of saltwell pumping. An organic screen was performed, and TOC and weight percent water contents were measured (Campbell et al. 1995b).

2.0 HISTORICAL TANK INFORMATION

This section describes tank 241-BY-108 based on historical information. The first part details the current condition of the tank. This is followed by discussions of the tank's background, transfer history, and the process sources that contributed to tank waste, including an estimate of the current contents based on process history. Events that may be related to tank safety issues, such as potentially hazardous tank contents or off-normal operating temperatures, are included. The final part summarizes available surveillance data for the tank. Solid and liquid level data are used to determine tank integrity (leaks) and to provide clues to internal activity in the solid layers of the tank. Temperature data are provided to evaluate the heat generating characteristics of the waste.

2.1 TANK STATUS

As of October 31, 1995, tank 241-BY-108 contained 863 kL (228 kgal) of noncomplexed waste (Hanlon 1996). The volume of the various waste phases found in the tank are shown in Table 2-1.

Waste Form	Yolu Kiloliters	me Kilogallons
Supernatant liquid	0	0
Drainable interstitial liquid	34	9
Drainable liquid remaining	34	9
Pumpable liquid remaining	0	0
Sludge	583	154
Saltcake	280	74

Table 2-1. Summary Tank Contents Status.¹

Note:

¹Hanlon (1996)

In 1972, tank 241-BY-108 was declared an assumed leaker with a leak volume of less than 19 kL (5 kgal) and was removed from service. Tank 241-BY-108 was partially isolated in December 1982 and interim stabilized in February 1985. This passively ventilated tank is on the Ferrocyanide Watch List. All monitoring systems were in compliance with documented standards as of October 31, 1995 (Hanlon 1996).

2.2 TANK DESIGN AND BACKGROUND

The BY Tank Farm was constructed during 1948 and 1949 in the 200 East Area and contains 12 100-series tanks. These tanks have a 2,870-kL (758-kgal) operating capacity, a 23-m (75-ft) diameter, and a 7 m (23 ft) operating depth. Tank 241-BY-108 began receiving waste in March 1951. Built as one of the second generation tank farms, the BY Tank Farm was designed for nonboiling waste with a maximum fluid temperature of 104 °C (220 °F).

Tank 241-BY-108 is second in the cascade series of three tanks (241-BY-107, -108, and -109). A 7.6 cm (3 in.) cascade overflow line connects the three tanks. The bottom center elevation of tank 241-BY-107 is 183.4 m (601.65 ft) cascading to tank 241-BY-108 with a bottom elevation of 183.1 m (600.65 ft), which cascades to tank 241-BY-109 with a bottom elevation of 182.8 m (599.65 ft). The cascade overflow height is approximately 6.9 m (22.6 ft) from the tank bottom and 61 cm (2 ft) below the top of the steel liner.

These tanks have a dished bottom with a 1.2 m (4 ft) radius knuckle. Similar to all other single-shell tank farms, the tanks in BY Tank Farm are designed with a primary mild steel liner and a concrete dome with various risers. The riser layout was modified from the first generation for better access to the tank. The tanks are set on a reinforced concrete foundation. To simulate tank stress, the tanks were filled with 38 °C (100 °F) water; then a three-ply asphalt waterproofing was applied over the foundation and steel tank. The waterproofing was protected with welded wire reinforced gunite. Two coats of primer were sprayed on all exposed interior tank surfaces. Tank ceiling domes were covered with three applications of magnesium zincfluorosilicate wash. Lead flashing was used to protect the joint where the steel liner met the concrete dome. Asbestos gaskets were used to seal the manholes in the tank dome. Each tank was covered with approximately 2.4 m (8 ft) of overburden.

Tank 241-BY-108 has 16 risers ranging in size from 10.2-cm (4-in.) to 1.1-m (42-in.) diameter. The surface level is monitored through riser 4 with a manual tape. Table 2-2 shows riser numbers, sizes, and descriptions. A plan view of the riser configuration is shown in Figure 2-1. Risers 1 and 2, which are 10.2-cm (4-in.) diameter, and 7 and 12a, which are 30.5-cm (12-in.) diameter, are available for use. A tank cross section showing the approximate waste level and a schematic of the tank equipment are shown in Figure 2-2.

Riser	Riser Diameter		
Number	(inches)	Description and Comments	
R1	4	Breather filter	
R2	4	Flange, weather covered	
R3	4	Thermocouple tree ²	
R4	4	Liquid level reel, bench mark	
R5	4	Flange	
R6	12	Flange, bale, weather covered	
R7	12	Blind flange, below grade	
R8	12	Thermocouple tree	
R9	42	Caisson	
R9B	12	Flange and spool	
R10	42	Caisson, welded	
R10A	12	Exhaust port, bench mark, concrete covered	
R10B	18	Blanked, concrete covered	
R11	42	Caisson	
R11A	12	Airlift circulator (capped), concrete covered	
R11B	4	Capped, concrete covered	
R12	42	Caisson	
R12A	12	Airlift circulator (capped), concrete covered	
R12B	18	Observation port, concrete covered	
R13	42	Below grade	
N1	3	Spare nozzle	
N2	3	Spare nozzle	
N3	3	Spare nozzle	
N4	3	Spare nozzle	
N5	3	Cascade inlet nozzle	
N6	3	Cascade outlet nozzle	

Table 2-2. Tank 241-BY-108 Risers.¹

Note:

¹Alstad (1993) and Brevick et al. (1994)

 2 A thermocouple tree was installed through riser 3 on July 25, 1995. However, temperature plots from this tree are not available because the tree has not been functioning properly.



Figure 2-1. Riser Configuration for Tank 241-BY-108.



Figure 2-2. Tank 241-BY-108 Configuration.

2.3 PROCESS KNOWLEDGE

The subsections below provide information about the transfer history of tank 241-BY-108, describe the process wastes that made up the transfers, and give an estimate of the current tank contents based on transfer history.

2.3.1 Waste Transfer History

Initially, tank 241-BY-108 received first-cycle decontamination waste that cascaded from tank 241-BY-107 during March 1951. This waste originated during the BiPO₄ process for processing and recovery of plutonium. This waste type was cascaded until 1954. From 1954 until 1957, tank 241-BY-108 received in-plant ferrocyanide-scavenged uranium recovery waste. (Tank 241-BY-108 was a primary settling tank.) Ferrocyanide was added to the uranium recovery waste to precipitate cesium. After settling, the supernatant liquid (by this time relatively free of cesium) was transferred from tank 241-BY-108 to various cribs. The precipitation of cesium was used to reduce the volume of the stored tank waste. During 1957, tank 241-BY-108 also received in-tank ferrocyanide-scavenged uranium recovery waste (supernatant) from tank 241-C-112 (another primary settling tank). During 1959, tank 241-BY-108 received waste from tank 241-C-105.

In 1968, tank 241-BY-108 received in-tank solidification waste from tank 241-BY-111. From 1969 until 1974, tank 241-BY-108 received evaporator bottoms waste (from the in-tank solidification process), cladding waste, and organic wash waste from tank 241-BY-109. In 1970 and 1971, tank 241-BY-108 also received in-tank solidification waste. Finally, 27 kL (7 kgal), 61 kL (16 kgal) and 8 kL (2 kgal) of water were intermittently added to tank 241-BY-108 from 1972 until 1975. It should be noted that BY saltcake waste, as indicated by the *Waste Status and Transaction Record Summary for the Northeast Quadrant* (WSTRS) (Agnew et al. 1995b), was added to tank 241-BY-108 in 1976. This transaction can be viewed as a redesignation of a part of tank 241-BY-108's waste volume to BY saltcake waste. Approximately 863 kL (228 kgal) of waste was left in tank 241-BY-108 after the final transfer out of the tank in 1982. Table 2-3 summarizes tank 241-BY-108 waste receipt history. It does not include water additions.

Transfer Source	Waste Type Received	Time Period	Waste Kiloliters	Volume Kilogalions
241-BY-107	First-cycle decontamination waste	1951 - 1954	5,485	1,449
U Plant	In-plant ferrocyanide-scavenged uranium recovery waste	1954 - 1957	33,105	8,745
241-C-112	In-tank ferrocyanide-scavenged uranium recovery waste	1957	1,878	496

Table 2-3. Summary of Tank 241-BY-108 Waste Receipt History.^{1, 2} (2 sheets)

		•			
Transfer Source	Waste Type Received	Time Period	Waste Kiloliters	Volume Kilogalions	
241-C-105	Uranium recovery waste/cladding waste/PUREX cladding waste/	1959	1,863	492	
241-BY-111	In-tank solidification waste	1968	1,435	379	
241-BY-109	Evaporator bottoms waste (from in- tank solidification process)/cladding waste/organic wash waste/	1969 - 1974	3,309	874	
241-BY-112	In-tank solidification waste	1970 - 1971	2,271	600	
Unknown	BY saltcake waste	1976	734	194	

Table 2-3.	Summary	of Tank	241-BY-108	Waste Receipt	History. ^{1, 2} (2 s	hæts)
------------	---------	---------	------------	---------------	-------------------------------	-------

Notes:

¹Agnew et al. (1995b)

²This table shows historical data that have not been validated.

2.3.2 Historical Estimate of Tank Contents

An estimate of the current contents of tank 241-BY-108 based on historical transfer data is available from the *Historical Tank Content Estimate for the Northeast Quadrant of the Hanford 200 East Area* (HTCE) (Brevick et al. 1994a). The historical data used for the estimate is the *Waste Status and Transaction Record Summary for the Northeast Quadrant (WSTRS)* (Agnew et al. 1995b), the *Hanford Defined Waste: Chemical and Radionuclide Compositions* (Agnew 1995), and the *Tank Layer Model for the Northeast, Southwest, and Northwest Quadrants* (TLM) (Agnew et al. 1995a). The WSTRS is a compilation of available waste transfer and volume status data. The Hanford Defined Wastes provides the assumed typical compositions for Hanford waste types. In some cases, the available data are incomplete, reducing the usability of the transfer data and the modeling results derived from it. The TLM takes the WSTRS data, models the waste deposition processes, and using additional data from the Hanford Defined Wastes generates an estimate of the tank contents. Thus, these model predictions can only be considered an estimate that requires further evaluation using analytical data.

The HTCE states that tank 241-BY-108 contains, from the bottom to the top, the following waste layers: 424 kL (112 kgal) of type 1 in-plant ferrocyanide (PFeCN1) waste, 201 kL (53 kgal) of type 2 in-plant ferrocyanide (PFeCN2) waste, and 238 kL (63 kgal) of BY saltcake waste. The difference between PFeCN1 waste and PFeCN2 waste is that 0.005 <u>M</u> ferrocyanide was used to precipitate ¹³⁷Cs producing PFeCN1 while 0.0025 <u>M</u> ferrocyanide produced PFeCN2. The first cycle decontamination waste (received from the bismuth phosphate process in 1951 to 1954) should not contribute to the sludge currently in the tank. The reason for this is that the waste was received through the cascade, and a majority of the

solids would have settled out in the first cascade tank (241-BY-107). Figure 2-3 shows a graph representing the estimated waste type and volumes for the tank layers.

The PFeCN1 waste and the PFeCN2 waste are predicted to be similar in composition. Both layers should contain large amounts of sodium and sulfate and relatively large quantities of iron, bismuth, uranium, nitrate, phosphate, and ferrocyanide (Agnew 1995). Additionally, a significant quantity of nickel should be present along with a trace of plutonium. Because quantities of cesium should be present, both layers will show activity.

The BY saltcake waste layer should contain large quantities of sodium and nitrate and relatively large quantities of aluminum, nitrite, and sulfate. Moderate to small quantities of potassium, iron, chromium, zirconium, lead, and bismuth will be present also. Cesium should be found in modest quantities; therefore, this layer will have a moderate activity (smaller than the PFeCN layers) (Agnew 1995). The BY saltcake layer can be distinguished further from the PFeCN layers because the BY saltcake layer contains aluminum (not found in the PFeCN layers) and does not contain nickel (found in the PFeCN layers).

Table 2-4 shows the HTCE values for tank 241-BY-108. These values are concentration and inventory estimates for the waste as a whole. In determining HTCE values, the individual waste type predictions have been combined to generate a single concentration and inventory estimate for the overall tank waste.

2.4 SURVEILLANCE DATA

Tank 241-BY-108 surveillance consists of surface level measurements (liquid and solid), temperature monitoring inside the tank (waste and vapor space), and leak detection well (drywell) monitoring for radioactivity outside the tank. The data are significant because they provide the basis for determining tank integrity.

Liquid level measurements can indicate if there is a major leak from a tank. Solid surface level measurements provide an indication of physical changes and consistency of the solid layers of a tank. Drywells located around the tank perimeter may show increased radioactivity from leaks in the vicinity of a drywell.

2.4.1 Surface Level Readings

Tank 241-BY-108 is categorized as an assumed leaker. The surface level of the waste is monitored quarterly with a manual tape through riser 4. The surface level graph shows the readings for the past three years remained steady between 225 cm (88.5 in.) and 218 cm (86 in.). An occurrence report was issued in January 1980 because of a 9,800 L (2,600 gal) liquid intrusion caused by snow rapidly melting into the tank through the pits. As of October 1, 1995, the surface level was at 220 cm (87 in.). A level history graph of the volume measurements is shown in Figure 2-4.



Figure 2-3. Tank Layer Model for Tank 241-BY-108.

Waste Volume

-

Solids Composite Inventory Estimate					
Physical Properties					
Total solid waste	1.38E+06 kg; 863 kL (228 kgal)				
Heat load	0.750 kW (2,560 B	TU/hr)			
Bulk density	$1.60 (g/cm^3)$				
Void fraction	0.529				
Water wt%	45.8				
Total Organic Carbon wt% Carbon (wet)	0.418				
Chemical Constituents	moles/L	ррш	kg		
Na ⁺	8.60	1.24E+05	1.71E+05		
Al ³⁺	0.276	4,670	6,430		
Fe ³⁺ (total Fe)	1.16	40,600	55,900		
Cr ³⁺	0.0243	790	1,090		
Bi ³⁺	0.238	31,100	42,800		
La ³⁺	0	0	0		
Ce ³⁺	0	0	0		
Zr (as ZrO(OH) ₂)	6.76E-04	38.6	53.2		
Pb ²⁺	4.47E-05	5.80	7.99		
Ni ²⁺	0.0953	3,510	4,830		
Sr ²⁺	0	0	0		
Mn ⁴⁺	0	0	0		
Ca ²⁺	0.142	3,510	4,920		
K ¹⁺	0.00951	233	321		
OH-	5.00	53,200	73,400		
NO ₃	3.25	1.26E+05	1.74E+05		
NO ₂ -	0.327	9,430	13,000		
CO ₃ ²	0.182	6,860	9,450		
PO ₄ ³⁻	0.317	18,800	26,000		
SO ₄ ²⁻	1.54	92,500	1.27E+05		
Si (as SiO ₃ ²⁻)	0.353	6,210	8,560		
F	0.385	4,580	6,310		
Cl ⁻	0.0550	1,220	1,680		
$C_6H_5O_7^{3-}$	6.91E-04	81.8	113		
EDTA⁴	0.00138	249	343		
EDTA ³ 0 0 0					

Table 2-4. Tank 241-BY-108 Inventory Estimate (2 sheets).^{1, 2}

2-10

-- - - -

_ _ _ .

Solids Composite Inventory Estimate					
Chemical Constituents (Continued)	moles/L	bbm	kg		
NTA ³⁻	0	0	0		
glycolate ⁻	0	0	0		
acetate ⁻	0.00881	325	448		
oxalate ²⁻	0	0	0		
DBP	0.00105	176	242		
NPH	0	0	0		
CCl	0	0	0		
hexone	0	0	0		
Fe(CN) ₆ ⁴	0.0845	11,200	15,400		
Radiological Constituents	Ci/L	μCi/g	Cí		
Pu		0.0425	0.977 (kg)		
U	0.0905 (moles/L)	963 (μg/g)	18,600 (kg)		
Cs	0.171	107	1.48E+05		
Sr	0.0101	6.32	8,710		

Table 2-4. Tank 241-BY-108 Inventory Estimate (2 sheets).^{1, 2}

Notes:

¹Brevick et al. (1994a)

²This table shows data that have not been validated.

Figure 2-4. Tank 241-BY-108 Level History.



2.4.2 Internal Tank Temperatures

Two thermocouple trees are installed in tank 241-BY-108 through risers 3 and 8. The tree in riser 3 was added on July 25, 1955. Because this tree has not been functioning properly, temperature data from it are not reliable. Consequently, the temperature data for tank 241-BY-108 were taken solely from the thermocouple tree in riser 8. This tree has 13 thermocouples. Elevations are known for the first 10 thermocouples. Thermocouple 1 is 152 mm (0.5 ft) from the bottom of the tank. Thermocouples 2 through 10 are evenly spaced at 610 mm (2 ft) intervals above thermocouple 1. Review of the tank 214-BY-108 level history indicates that from September 1974 to October 1982 thermocouples 7 through 13 were in the vapor space. After October 1982, thermocouples 1 through 4 were in or near the solids level, and the remainder of the thermocouples were in the vapor space.

Thermocouples 1 through 10 have similar temperature readings that span from 1974 to the present. Limited data are available for thermocouples 11 through 13. There is a gap in the temperature readings from October 1983 until November 1989 probably caused by equipment malfunctions. The median temperature is 29 °C (84 °F), the minimum temperature is 10 °C (50 °F), and the maximum temperature is 68 °C (154 °F). The temperature reading for thermocouple 1 was 42 °C (107 °F) on December 10, 1995. Plots of the thermocouple readings for tank 241-BY-108 can be found in the supporting documents for the HTCE (Brevick et al. 1994b). A graph of the weekly high temperature is shown in Figure 2-5.

2.4.3 Drywells

Tank 241-BY-108 has seven drywells in the surrounding soil to monitor leaks. Although significant drywell activity was observed in the past (as expected from an assumed leaker), readings are currently below 200 counts per second. Tank 241-BY-108 does not have a liquid observation well.

2.4.4 Tank 241-BY-108 Photographs

The 1986 photographs of the tank 241-BY-108 interior show a white to light rose solid waste surface. Equipment, visible in the photographs, includes an air lift circulator, a thermocouple tree, a manual tape, an air breather riser, and a saltwell screen. There have been no waste transfers or surface level changes since the photographs were taken; therefore the photographs should accurately show the current tank contents. A photographic montage of tank 241-BY-108 is shown in Figure 2-6.



Figure 2-5. Tank 241-BY-108 Weekly High Temperature Plot.



WHC-SD-WM-ER-533 Rev. 0

This page intentionally left blank.

.

3.0 TANK SAMPLING OVERVIEW

This section describes the July and August 1995 sampling and analysis events for tank 241-BY-108. Rotary core samples were taken to satisfy the requirements of the Tank Safety Screening Data Quality Objective (Babad et al. 1995), the Interim Data Quality Objectives for Waste Pretreatment and Vitrification (Kupfer et al. 1994), the Data Requirements for the Ferrocyanide Safety Issue Developed through the Data Quality Objectives Process (Meacham et al. 1994), the Test Plan for Samples from Hanford Waste Tanks 241-BY-103, BY-104, BY-105, BY-106, BY-108, BY-110, TY-103, U-105, U-107, U-108, and U-109 (Meacham 1995), and the Historical Model Evaluation Data Requirements (Simpson and McCain 1995). The sampling and analyses were performed in accordance with the Tank 241-BY-108 Rotary Mode Core Sampling and Analysis Plan (Baldwin 1995c). Although not addressed in the sampling and analysis plan, the analyses required by Data Quality Objective to Support Resolution of the Organic Complexant Safety Issue (Turner et al. 1995) were performed as a consequence of meeting the analytical requirements of the other DQOs and the test plan. Further discussions of the sampling and analysis procedures can be found in the Tank Characterization Reference Guide (DeLorenzo et al. 1994).

3.1 DESCRIPTION OF SAMPLING EVENT

Three rotary mode core samples were collected from tank 241-BY-108 between July 27 and August 16, 1995. Cores 98 and 99 were collected from riser 12A; core 104 from riser 7. Cores 98 and 104 were sent to the Westinghouse Hanford Company 222-S Laboratory for analysis. Core 99 was sent to the Pacific Northwest National Laboratory (PNNL) (325 Analytical Chemistry Laboratory and 325 High Level Radiochemistry Laboratory) for analysis.

Nitrogen gas was used to maintain hydrostatic head pressure during sampler changeout. Water was used to wash the drill string between core sampling operations. A tracer (lithium bromide) was added to the wash water to gauge contamination of the segments by the wash water. A field blank obtained during the sampling operation and a lithium bromide blank were sent to the 222-S Laboratory for analysis.

Table 3-1 summarizes the applicable DQOs and their respective sampling and analysis requirements. Table 3-2 summarizes sample numbering and dose rate information for the 1995 core sampling event.

Sampling Event	Sampling Requirements	Applicable References and Analytical Requirements			
Cores 98 and 104					
Rotary Core Sampling	Core samples from a minimum of two risers separated radially to the maximum extent possible.	 Safety Screening Data Quality Objective: Moisture content, total alpha activity, energetics, total organic carbon. Data Requirements for the Ferrocyanide Safety Issue Developed through the Data Quality Objective Process: Moisture content, energetics, total organic carbon, cyanide, nickel. Interim Data Quality Objectives for Waste Pretreatment and Vitrification: Collection of sample for future process development work. Test Plan for Samples from Hanford Waste Tanks 241-BY-103, BY-104, BY-105, BY-106, BY-108, BY-110, TY-103, U-105, U-107, U-108, and U-109: Energetics, total organic carbon. Tank 241-BY-108 Rotary Mode Core Sampling and Analysis Plan: Lithium. 			
		Core 99			
Rotary Core Sampling	Core samples from a minimum of two risers separated radially to the maximum extent possible.	Safety Screening Data Quality Objective: Moisture content, total alpha activity, energetics, total organic carbon. Historical Model Evaluation Requirements: ICP, IC, ⁹⁰ Sr, ¹³⁷ Cs.			

Table 3-1.	Integrated	Requirements	for	Tank	241-BY-108.	1
						•

Note:

¹Baldwin (1995c)

Core/Riser/Segment	Sample Number	Labcore Number	Dose Rate through Drill String (mR/hr) ¹
98/12A/1	95-129	S95T001357	600
98/12A/2	95-130	S95T001358	600
98/12A/3	95-131	S95T001359	800
98/12A/4	95-132	S95T001360	5,000 (at 3 in.)
99/12A/1	95-133	S95T001361	80
99/12A/2	95-134	S95T001362	640
99/12A/3	95-135	S95T001363	400
99/12A/4	95-136	S95T001383	15,000
104/7/1	95-166	S95T001335	40
104/7/2	95-167	S95T001536	800
104/7/3	95-168	S95T001537	1,500
104/7/4	95-169	S95T001538	1,200
104/7/5	95-170	S95T001539	2,500

Table 3-2. Rotary Cores 98, 99, and 104 Sample Information.

Note:

¹mR/hr = milliroentgens per hour

3.2 SAMPLE HANDLING

3.2.1 Cores 98 and 104

Cores 98 and 104 were received by the Westinghouse Hanford Company 222-S Laboratory between July 28 and August 21, 1995; they were extruded between August 1 and August 24, 1995. All core samples were homogenized with a spatula by the 222-S Laboratory prior to analysis.

The core samples were subsampled at the quarter-segment level. Sample recovery was generally good (\geq 90 percent with the exception of segment 1 of core 104 which had a 41 percent recovery). Upon extrusion, some segments exhibited missing quarter segments. The missing quarter segments were assumed to have been occupied by drainable liquid. Quarter segments were identified by letter only if they contained solids. Drainable liquids were identified as such. Quarter segment identifiers A, B, C, and D denote the position of the quarter segment in the core sampler; A was at the top of the sampler, and D was at the bottom.
3.2.2 Core 99

Core 99 was extruded into segments and quarter segments at the 222-S Laboratory. All extruded material from segments 1 through 3 was sent to PNNL. Segment 4 was further subsampled at the 222-S Laboratory; approximately 30 grams of material from each quarter segment were sent to PNNL. The final core 99 shipment was received by PNNL on August 25, 1995.

Segment 1 and quarter-segment 4B were homogenized and subsampled from the top and bottom for use in a homogenization test. All core 99 samples were homogenized by PNNL prior to analysis. The sample mass of the subsampled solids was small. These samples were homogenized with a micro spatula. The drainable liquid samples were homogenized with a magnetic stir bar and plate. No composite analyses, as normally required by the historical DQO (Simpson and McCain 1995), were requested (Baldwin 1995c).

Table 3-3 describes cores 98, 99, and 104 including riser number, recovered segments, color, texture, and mass. The nomenclature of core 99 quarter segments is the same as that for cores 98 and 104.

3.3 SAMPLE ANALYSIS

The analytical data provided by the analysis of cores 98, 99, and 104 were not limited to those required by the safety program test plan and safety screening and ferrocyanide DQOs. Additional analytical results for metals, anions, and radionuclides were obtained in the process of meeting these DQOs to provide optimal information (Kristofzski 1995).

The analyses of the waste in tank 241-BY-108 were performed on the quarter-segment level, as discussed above. Exceptions are the analyses of the first segments of each core, which were performed on any drainable liquids and on all solid material (combined as a "whole segment") from that segment. Cores 98 and 104 were analyzed at the Westinghouse Hanford Company 222-S Laboratory; core 99 was analyzed at the Pacific Northwest National Laboratory (the 325 Analytical Chemistry Laboratory and High Level Radiochemistry Laboratory). Not all analyses or procedures were common to both laboratories. A brief discussion of the analyses follows; a more detailed discussion is in Appendix B.

All analyses were performed by both laboratories on homogenized samples. Weight percent water was determined by TGA. The fuel content of the waste was determined by DSC. Metals were measured using ICP; the 222-S Laboratory prepared the samples by a fusion process, and the 325 Laboratory used an acid digestion. Anions were measured on water-leached samples using IC. Total carbon was measured using hot persulfate and coulometry. The samples were prepared for cyanide analysis by both laboratories using microdistillation. The 222-S Laboratory used a coulometric method for cyanide measurement; the 325 Laboratory used argentometric titration and colorimetry. Total alpha activity was the only

Segment Number	Sample Total Weight (g)	Recovery ³ %	Segment Description
		Core 9	8 - Riser 12A
Segment 1 (W)	366.8	168.9	Extruded approximately 5-6 in. of sample. Sample was wet. Texture of sample was crystalline and tended to "melt" on the sample tray. Sample was light brown to dirty white in color. Collected 170 mL of drainable liquid. Color of liquid was yellow and opaque.
Segment 2 (A), (C), (D)	416.0	100	Collected 100 mL of drainable liquid, which was yellow brown in color and opaque. Extruded approximately 12 in. of sample. Sample was divided into quarter segments. Sample texture ranged from a brown sludge to a material resembling a mixture of brown, beige, and dirty white saltcake.
Segment 3 (A), (C), (D)	406.5	100	Collected 60 mL of drainable liquid, which was light brown in color and opaque. Extruded approximately 16.5 in. of sample. Sample was divided into quarter segments. Sample texture ranged from a brown sludge to a material resembling a mixture of brown, beige, and dirty white saltcake.
Segment 4 (A), (B), (C), (D)	490.0	100	No drainable liquid observed or collected. Extruded approximately 19.0 in. of sample. Sample retained its shape. Sample texture ranged from a brown sludge to a material resembling a mixture of brown sludge with white saltcake.

Table 3-3. Cores 98, 99, and 104 Rotary Sample Description.^{1,2} (3 sheets)

Segment Number	Sample Total Weight (g)	Recovery ³ %	Segment Description
	•	Core	104 - Riser 7
Segment 1 (W)	131.3	41.2	Extruded approximately 6-7 in. of sample. Sample was tan in color; dry, crystalline and granular in shape. No drainable liquids.
Segment 2 (A), (B), (C)	383.5	100	No drainable liquid. Extruded approximately 19 in. of sample. Upper half retained its shape, lower half crumbled during extrusion. Upper quarter segment ranged from crumbly saltcake to sludge. Sample was divided into three quarter segments. Sample texture ranged from a brown sludge to a material resembling a mixture of brown sludge with saltcake.
Segment 3 (A), (C), (D)	288.8	89.5	No drainable liquid. Extruded approximately 17 in. of sample. Sample was wet, granular, and did not retain its shape. Sample was divided into three quarter segments. Sample texture resembled a brown saltcake.
Segment 4 (A), (C, (D)	318.3	94.7	No drainable liquid. Extruded approximately 18 in. of sample. Sample was wet, granular, crumbly, and ranged from a yellow to brown saltcake. Sample was divided into three quarter segments.
Segment 5 (A), (B), (C), (D)	422.7	94.7	No drainable liquid. Extruded approximately 18 in. of sample. Lower half retained its shape and was medium to dark brown. The upper half was dark brown and partially retained its shape. Texture of material resembled a sludge. Sample was divided into four quarter segments.

Table 3-3. Cores 98, 99, and 104 Rotary Sample Description.^{1,2} (3 sheets)

Segment Number	Sample Total Weight (g)	Recovery ³ %	Segment Description
		Core 9	9 - Riser 12A
Segment 1	56.4	20.0	Extruded approximately 2 in. of sample. Sample was dirty white saltcake. Texture of sample was crumbly. The sample retained its shape. Collected no drainable liquid.
Segment 2 (A), (D)	335	100	Collected 230 mL of drainable liquid, which was a yellow green color and opaque. Extruded approximately 2 in. of sample. Sample was divided into quarter segments. Quarter segment A was 1 in. in length and resembled a dirty white saltcake which retained its shape. Quarter segment D was 1 in. in length and resembled a cream colored sludge.
Segment 3 (A)	89.0	15.2	Collected 25 mL of drainable liquid, which was tan in color and opaque. Extruded approximately 1 in. of sample. Sample texture resembled a grainy cream-colored saltcake.
Segment 4 (A), (B), (C), (D)	475.9	100	No drainable liquid observed or collected. Extruded approximately 19 in. of sample. Sample retained its shape. Sample was divided into quarter segments. Sample resembled a mixture of brown sludge with embedded saltcake.

Table 3-3. Cores 98, 99, and 104 Rotary Sample Description.^{1,2} (3 sheets)

Notes:

W = whole segment

A = upper quarter segment

B = second quarter segment from top of core

C =third quarter from top of core

D = bottom quarter segment of core

¹Baldwin (1995a)

²Silvers et al. (1995)

³Recovery is calculated by dividing the actual recovered length of sample, including solids and drainable liquids, by the length of the sampler (48.3 cm) or, in the case of the first segment, by the expected segment length. The lengths of the recovered drainable liquids were calculated by converting drainable liquid volumes to the equivalent sampler length (48.3 cm sampler length/250 mL sampler volume = 0.193 cm/mL).

radiochemical test performed by the 222-S Laboratory. The 325 Laboratory performed gamma energy analysis, total beta activity measurement, and analyses for plutonium, strontium, and uranium. Organic compounds, specifically normal paraffin hydrocarbons and tributyl phosphate, were measured by the 222-S Laboratory using flame ionization, gas chromatography, and mass spectrometry. Density was measured by the 325 Laboratory using a mineral oil displacement method. Reactive systems screening tool analysis will be performed on segments with high DSC results. These results will be reported in a revision of this document.

The results of the analyses are presented and discussed in Section 4.0. The results of the quality control tests and the implications for data quality are discussed in Section 5.1.2. A summary of the cores, segments, segment portions, and individual sample numbers, and the analyses performed on each sample is presented in Appendix B, Table B-1. Tables B-2 and B-3 list the procedure titles and numbers for each analysis.

3.4 AUGUST 1994 HISTORICAL AUGER SAMPLING EVENT

Tank 241-BY-108 was auger sampled in August 1994, according to Campbell et al. (1995b). The results are reported in Appendix D and in Campbell et al. (1995a). Note that results in Campbell et al. (1995a) and in Appendix D are labeled as originating from risers 16 and 17. These are the sample numbers. The samples came from risers 1 and 12A. The samples were taken to answer concerns about a possible floating organic layer coming in contact with the top of the solid portion of the waste as a consequence of saltwell pumping associated with interim stabilization. The waste in tank 241-BY-108 is composed of approximately one-third saltcake, which is thought to be more porous than some waste types. Twenty-inch augers were used to sample the top portion of the waste to determine the extent to which the organic layer had occupied the saltcake pores. Auger sample 16 from riser 1 was limited and was analyzed on a whole segment basis. Auger sample 17 from riser 12A was divided into upper and lower segment halves.

Analytes measured on the auger samples consisted of organic compounds, total organic carbon, and weight percent water. The organic compounds were analyzed by a method developed by the Advanced Organic Analytical Methods Group and PNNL (Pool and Bean 1994). After extraction by methylene chloride, the samples were analyzed by gas chromatograph/mass spectrometry and quantified by a gas chromatograph/flame ionization detector. Total organic carbon was analyzed by hot persulfate oxidation, and weight percent water was determined by TGA. Procedure titles and numbers are listed in Appendix B, Table B-4.

4.0 ANALYTICAL RESULTS

This section presents the analytical results associated with the July and August 1995 sampling of tank 241-BY-108. The sampling and analysis were performed as directed in the *Tank 241-BY-108 Rotary Mode Core Sampling and Analysis Plan* (SAP) (Baldwin 1995c). This plan integrated all documents related to sampling and analytical requirements, including applicable DQOs. The SAP sampling and analytical requirements for cores 98 and 104 were taken from the safety screening DQO (Babad et al. 1995), the ferrocyanide DQO (Meacham et al. 1994), and the safety program test plan (Meacham 1995). Through the analyses required by these DQOs, the analytical requirements of the organic DQO were met also. Analysis of the two cores was performed at the Westinghouse Hanford Company 222-S Laboratory. A third core (99) was extruded at the 222-S Laboratory and shipped to the Pacific Northwest National Laboratory (325 Analytical Chemistry Laboratory) for analysis. The analysis of this core also was governed by Baldwin (1995c); the applicable DQOs included safety screening and the historical model evaluation data requirements (Simpson and McCain 1995).

Analytical results are tabulated in Table 4-1. As noted, comprehensive analytical data are found in Appendix A. Except for the physical data, only analyte overall means are reported in Section 4.0. Appendix C contains data for the analytes (lithium and bromide) evaluated to gauge the amount of contamination by the drill string wash water.

Data Type	Tabulated Location
Chemical data summary	Table 4-2
Thermogravimetric analysis results	Table 4-3
Differential scanning calorimetry results	Table 4-4
Density results	Table 4-5
1995 comprehensive analytical data	Appendix A
Drill string wash water contamination check data	Appendix C

 Table 4-1.
 Analytical Data Presentation Tables.

4.1 DATA PRESENTATION

This section summarizes the analytical results from the 1995 sampling of tank 241-BY-108. The subsections below provide information about chemical data, physical data, wash water contamination check results, and the vapor data summary. Data from the analysis of cores 98 and 104 were reported in *Revision 1 Report for Tank 241-BY-108 Rotary Samples, Core 98 and 104* (Baldwin 1995b). The core 99 results were reported in *Single-Shell Tank Waste Characterization: Core 99 Tank BY-108* (Silvers et al. 1995).

4.1.1 Chemical Data Summary

Data from the three cores were combined to derive an overall concentration mean for each analyte. The means reported are weighted means. A weighted overall mean was calculated by taking a simple mean of all subsegment values for a particular segment, then the segment means for an individual core were averaged to derive a core mean. Because cores 98 and 99 were taken from the same riser, their core analytical means were averaged together before averaging with the core 104 analytical values. The final result was the overall mean concentration. The same procedure was followed for analytes which had data from only one or two cores. When more than half of the subsegments had detected results, the overall mean was reported as a detected value. Conversely, when results for 50 percent or more subsegments were nondetected, the overall mean was reported as a less-than (<) value. Table 4-2 shows these overall means. The original subsegment analytical data are listed in Appendix A.

The drainable liquid results were averaged together with the sludge results because the waste consists of both solid and liquid.

The first two columns of Table 4-2 contain the analyte and overall mean from Appendix A. The third column displays the relative standard deviation (RSD) of the mean. The RSD is defined as the standard deviation divided by the mean, multiplied by 100. The RSDs also were obtained from Appendix A. The methodology for calculating the RSDs can be found in Jensen and Liebetrau (1988). The projected inventories listed in the final column were derived by multiplying the overall mean in $\mu g/g$ or $\mu Ci/g$ by the sludge density (1.51 g/mL) and the waste volume of 863,000 L (228,000 gals).

Analyte	Overall Mean Concentration	Relative Standard Deviation	Projected Inventory
METALS	μ ε/ε	%	kg
Aluminum	39,800	29.8	51,700
Antimony ¹	< 186	n/a	< 242
Arsenic ¹	< 116	n/a	< 151
Barium	124	38.4	161
Beryllium	< 6.73	n/a	< 8.75
Bismuth	< 495	n/a	< 644

Table 4-2. Chemical Data Summary for Tank 241-BY-108 (4 sheets).

Analyte	Overall Mean Concentration	Relative Standard Deviation	Projected Inventory
METALS (Cont'd)	₽8 [/] 8	%	kg
Boron	250	70.2	325
Cadmium	<16.3	n/a	< 21.2
Calcium	3,370	42.6	4,380
Cerium	< 123	n/a	< 160
Chromium	255	34.7	332
Cobalt	34.2	22.7	44.5
Copper	< 45.9	n/a	< 59.7
Dysprosium	< 69.4	n/a	< 90.2
Europium	< 139	n/a	< 181
Iron	7,190	52.8	9,350
Lanthanum	< 67.4	n/a	< 87.6
Lead	439	43.3	571
Magnesium	447	31.7	581
Manganese	209	61.5	272
Molybdenum	< 54.1	n/a	< 70.3
Neodymium	< 119	n/a	< 155
Nickel	2,510	41.8	3,260
Palladium	< 413	n/a	< 537
Phosphorus	10,100	31.4	13,100
Potassium	2,650	54.2	3,450
Rhodium	< 417	n/a	< 542
Samarium	< 131	n/a	< 170
Selenium ¹	< 135	n/a	< 176
Silicon	1,530	51.4	1,990
Silver	< 49.9	n/a	< 64.9
Sodium	1.63E+05	14.2	2.12E+05
Strontium	3,190	66.2	4,150
Sulfur	6,960	30.2	9,050
Tellurium ¹	< 694	n/a	< 902
Thallium ¹	< 479	n/a	< 623

Table 4-2. Chemical Data Summary for Tank 241-BY-108 (4 sheets).

Analyte	Overall Mean Concentration	Relative Standard Deviation	Projected Inventory
METALS (Cont'd)	A8/8	%	kg
Thorium	< 1,110	n/a	< 1,440
Tin	<1,390	n/a	< 1,810
Titanium	74.9	29.8	97.4
Tungsten	< 744	n/a	< 967
Uranium	9,470	60.0	12,300
Vanadium	< 47.3	n/a	< 61.5
Yttrium	< 14.4	n/a	< 18.7
Zinc	83.5	33.4	109
Zirconium	< 34.7	n/a	< 45.1
ANIONS	#8 [/] 8	%	kg
Chloride	1,540	9.66	2,000
Cyanide	362	65.8	471
Fluoride	6,610	26.7	8,590
Nitrate	2.01E+05	18.5	2.61E+05
Nitrite	27,300	13.0	35,500
Oxalate	7,500	11.4	9,750
Phosphate	26,000	24.0	33,800
Sulfate	23,400	27.9	30,400
RADIONUCLIDES	μCi/g	%	Cl
²⁴¹ Am	< 0.187	n/a	< 243
¹³⁴ Cs	< 0.108	n/a	< 140
¹³⁷ Cs	258	77.9	3.35E+05
^{€0} Co	< 0.00911	n/a	< 11.8
¹⁵⁴ Eu	< 0.0455	n/a	< 59.2
¹⁵⁵ Eu	< 0.389	n/a	< 506
²³⁸ Pu	0.00659	45.7	8.57
^{239/240} Pu	0.0459	91.5	59.7
⁹⁰ Sr	143	95.5	1.86E+05
Total Alpha	0.0619	43.3	80.5
Total Beta	549	88.1	7.14E+05

Table 4-2. Chemical Data Summary for Tank 241-BY-108 (4 sheets).

Analyte	Overall Mean Concentration	Relative Standard Deviation	Projected Inventory
CARBON	μg C/g	%	kg C
Total Carbon	8,970	39.6	11,700
Total Inorganic Carbon	5,340	33.9	6,940
Total Organic Carbon	4,480	20.5	5,820
ORGANICS	₽g/g	%	kg
Undecane	23.5	50.8	30.6
Tridecane	71.3	45.2	92.7
Tetradecane	55.1	41.8	71.6
Tri-n-butylphosphate	0.0745	n/a	0.0969
Pentadecane	22.4	43.5	29.1
Nonane	0.988	n/a	1.28
Dodecane	58.8	48.4	76.4
Decane	3.42	n/a	4.45
PHYSICAL PROPERTIES	8	•	•
Percent Water	27.2	19.3	n/a

Table 4-2. Che	emical Data	Summary	for Tank	241-BY-	108 (4	sheets).
----------------	-------------	---------	----------	---------	--------	----------

Notes:

n/a = not applicable

¹High < values for certain analytes are because of the lower sensitivity for these analytes by ICP/AES. Also, high uranium concentration can cause interference effects on some trace metals.

4.1.2 Physical Data Summary

Thermal analyses were performed on tank 241-BY-108 core samples to satisfy the requirements of the safety screening DQO (Babad et al. 1995), which dictated that thermal analyses be performed on solid and liquid phases of the waste samples; and the ferrocyanide DQO (Meacham et al. 1994), which required thermal analyses to be performed on the solid phase only. In addition, density determinations were performed on core 99 for the solid and drainable liquid subsegments.

4.1.2.1 Thermogravimetric Analysis. In a TGA, the mass of a sample is measured while its temperature is increased at a constant rate. A gas, such as nitrogen or air, is passed over the sample during the heating to remove any gaseous matter. Any decrease in the weight of a sample represents a loss of gaseous matter from the sample either through evaporation or through a reaction that forms gas phase products. Weight percent water by TGA was performed by the 222-S Laboratory under a nitrogen purge using procedures LA-560-112 and LA-514-114. Weight percent water by TGA was performed by PNNL, also under a nitrogen purge, using procedure PNL-ALO-508.

Table 4-3 shows the TGA percent water data for tank 241-BY-108. Ten samples exhibited percent water means below the safety screening DQO (Babad et al. 1995) notification limit of 17 weight percent. Two of these samples were from segment 2 of core 98, which also had 100 mL of drainable liquid recovered out of a total 416 grams of sample. The fact that several samples were below 17 weight percent does not in itself constitute an unsafe condition. The energetics values for these samples must also exceed the safety screening notification limits. This is discussed further in Sections 4.3.2 and 5.5. The overall percent water value for the tank calculated by equal weighting of the three cores, the segments, and subsegments, was 27.2 percent.

	Sample Location		Temp. Range	Result	Duplicate	Mean	Core Mean
Sample Number	Seg.	Subseg.	(°C)	% H ₂ O			
				Core 98			•
1373	1	DL	35-260	31.9	34.04	32.97	32.83
1390		Whole	35-270	21.85	19.76	20.80	1
1404	2	Α	35-275	14.64	14.87	14.75	1
1399		С	37-199	43.90	39.74	41.82	1
1393]	D	39-195	38.92	36.38	37.65	
1396 ²]		36-260	40.98	44.12	42.55	
1396 ³]		35-260	8.56	9.36	8.96	
1427]	DL	35-260	27.11	25.03	26.07	
1431	3	A	38-220	41.31	38.53	39.92	
1432		С	37-196	43.47	44.47	44.12	
1433		D	35-190	34.52	38.56	36.54	
1430		DL	36-220	38.58	39.47	39.02	1

Table 4-3. Thermogravimetric Analysis Results for Tank 241-BY-108 (3 sheets).¹

	Sample	Location	Temp. Range	Result	Duplicate	Mean	Core Mean
Sample Number	Seg.	Subseg.	(°C)	% H ₂ O			
			Core 9	8 (Continue	:d)		
1419	4	Α	38-190	28.88	36.23	32.55	Cont'd
			30-272	36.64	36.69	36.66]
1420	1	В	38-180	35.41	35.81	35.61]
1421	1	С	32-170	38.31	39.82	39.06]
1422	1	D	34-170	36.49	36.40	36.45	
			C	Core 104			
1925	1	Whole	35-170	24.90	23.78	24.34	22.05
1966	2	Α	34-205	20.84	19.25	20.05	
1967		В	n/a	33.54	29.90	31.72	
1968		С	35-240	15.40	9.880	12.64	
1969	3	Α	35-250	7.150	7.790	7.470	
1970]	С	29-88	11.12	7.680	9.400	
1971	1	D	38-80	8.070	7.820	7.945	
1972	4	Α	34-236	31.01	33.09	32.05	
1973	1	С	35-230	41.10	41.13	41.12	
1974	1	D	35-245	9.770	8.800	9.285	
1975	5	Α	35-240	9.370	8.980	9.175	
1976]	В	35-240	37.69	35.62	36.66	
1977	1	С	35-220	35.50	35.50	35.50	
1978		D	n/a	32.34	34.59	33.47	1
			(Core 99			
7313	1	Whole	23-157	32.7	26.1	29.4	31.8
7315	2	Α	23-136	7.8	6.6	7.2]
7314	1	D	23-203	17.9	15.5	16.7]
7932		DL	23-236	52.6	46.7	49.7	

Table 4-3. Thermogravimetric Analysis Results for Tank 241-BY-108 (3 sheets).¹

	Sample Location		Temp. Range	Result	Duplicate	Mean	Core Mean
Sample Number	Seg.	Subseg.	(°C)	% H ₂ O			
			Care 9	9 (Continue	:d)	•	
7316	3	Α	22-212	14.1	35.0	24.6	Cont'd
7935		DL	23-235	52.1	52.6	52.4	1
7322	4	Α	22-171	25.8	25.1	25.5	1
7319	1	В	22-187	35.3	35.9	35.6	
7318		С	24-173	35.6	36.2	35.9	1
7317		D	22-178	40.6	44.0	42.3	
		Sample Wei	ght % Los	s Mean (%)	Water) = 27	.2%	
		Relative St	andard Dev	viation of the	: Mean = 19	.3%	

Table 4-3. Thermogravimetric Analysis Results for Tank 241-BY-108 (3 sheets).¹

Notes:

Temp.	=	temperature
Seg.	=	segment
Subseg.	Ŧ	subsegment
n/a	=	not available
DL	-	drainable liquid
A, B, C, D	=	top, second, third, or bottom segment portion, respectively.

¹Baldwin (1995a) and Silvers et al. (1995)

²Analysis was performed on Mettler[®] equipment.

³Analysis was performed on Perkin-Elmer[®] equipment.

4.1.2.2 Differential Scanning Calorimetry. In a DSC analysis, heat absorbed or emitted by a substance is measured while the substance is exposed to a linear increase in temperature. While the substance is being heated, a gas such as nitrogen is passed over the waste material to remove any gases being released. The onset temperature for an endothermic event (characterized by or causing the absorption of heat) or an exothermic event (characterized by or causing the release of heat) is determined graphically.

The DSC analyses were performed by the 222-S Laboratory under a nitrogen atmosphere using procedure LA-514-113 and procedure LA-514-114. The DSC analyses were performed by the Pacific Northwest National Laboratory using procedure PNL-ALO-508, also under a nitrogen purge. Four analyses (three samples and one rerun) exceeded the safety screening notification limit of 481 J/g.

The DSC results are shown in Table 4-4. The temperature range is provided for core 99 data, the onset temperature for the endothermic or exothermic reaction is provided for core 98 and 104 data at maximum enthalpy change, and the magnitude of the enthalpy change is provided for each transition. The first transition represents the endothermic reaction associated with the evaporation of free and interstitial water. The second transition probably represents the energy (heat) required to remove bound water from hydrated compounds such as aluminum hydroxide or to melt salts such as sodium nitrate. The third transition is generally exothermic and is probably caused by the fuel components of the sample reacting with the nitrate salts. The results are reported on a wet weight basis. The safety screening DOO (Babad et al. 1995), however, requires that the exothermic reactions be evaluated on a dry weight basis in order to make a decision concerning tank safety. The dry weight value is obtained from the wet weight value by dividing the reported exothermic value for a subsegment by the solid fraction of the subsegment (that is, 1 minus the fractional percent water value for that subsegment). Two samples (core 104, segment 5, quarter segments B and C) had averages which exceeded the safety screening DOO limit for energetics. Two other samples, the original and rerun from quarter segment B of segment 4 from core 98, had one result of a primary/duplicate pair above the DQO limit but had averages below the threshold. However, the upper 95 percent confidence interval limits (Baldwin 1995a) for both of these samples were above the DQO limit. The implications for these values in terms of tank safety are discussed in Section 5.5.

	Sample Location			Sample Weight Transition 1		Transition 2		Transition 3		
Sample Number	Seg.	Subseg.	Run	mg	Temp. (°C) ²	АН (J/g)	Temp. (°C) ²	ΔH (J/g)	Temp. (°C) ²	AH (J/g)
					Core 9	8	•			
1373	1	DL	1	39.24	141	1,380	[[[
			2	32.46	135	953				
1390	W	1	23.67	120	611	187	89.2	276	135	
			2	44.26	135	685	198	90.5	301	32.8
1404	2	A	1	42.39	134	823	280	14.5		
			2	34.40	136	915	265	15.4		
1399		С	1	23.80	134	1,270	332	-53.0		
			2	30.90	131	1,220	324	-49.8		
1396		D	1	39.80	147	1,050				
			2	17.90	138	1,090	280	-17.5		
1427		DL	1	18.56	124	1,770				
			2	24.56	132	1,360				

Table 4-4. Differential Scanning Calorimetry Results for Tank 241-BY-108.¹ (4 sheets)

	S	ample		Sample	Turnet	lan 1	Terrar	stan A	Transfé	t
Somple				weight					Tansa	
Number	Seg.	Subseg.	Run	mg	$(2\mathbb{C})^2$		(°C) ³	ап (]/g)	remp. (°C) ²	
				Core	98 (Con	tinued)	,		1/	La.a.
1431	3	A	1	36.60	129	976	328	-181		
			2	18.65	123	1,060	243	181		
1432		С	1	42.15	119	943	321	-68.7		
	i		2	26.70	127	878	311	-70.2		
1433		D	1	17.26	131	973	213	-8.8	303	-150
			2	17.81	133	913	213	-5.7	239	-144
1430		DL	1	21.50	127	1,366	241	-74.2		
			2	32.80	119	1,084	249	-69.6		
1419	4	Α	1	32.40	125	802	294	-293		
		2	33.60	134	939	326	-162			
			3	34.98	129	854		-199		
			4	25.08	127	771	287	-264		
1420	В	1	35.74	130	822	335	-328			
			2	26.82	125	863	334	-282		
			3	34.05	127	712	318	-310		
			4	43.13	119	824	324	-264		
1421		С	1	38.32	119	590	286	-136		
			2	35.48	135	602	282	-122	464	-16.1
1422		D	1	25.68	130	799	277	-62.6		
			2	33.53	129	704	279	-68.5		
					Core 10	4				
1925	1	W	1	21.69	122	648	284	7.20	320	65.2
	-		2	14.76	119	747	241	5.76	313	89.1
1966	2	A	1	49.47	136	471	274	44.2		
			2	29.20	144	458	313	61.3		
1967		В	1	19.84	108	596	309	62.7		
			2	13.26	115	698				
1968		С	1	19.76	111	742	219	15.1		
			2	43.40	132	813	241	2.78		

Table 4-4.	Differential	Scanning	Calorimetry	Results f	for Tank 24	1-BY-108.1	(4 sheets)
------------	--------------	----------	-------------	-----------	-------------	------------	------------

4-10

	Sample Location			Sample Weight	Transition 1		Transi	tion 2	Transition 3	
Sample Number	Seg.	Subseg.	Run	mg	Temp. (°C) ²	<u>АН</u> (J/g)	Temp. (°C) ²	AH (J/g)	Temp. (°C) ²	ΔH (J/g)
				Core	104 (Co	atinued)			
1969	3	A	1	33.86	135	812]	
		1	2	29.36	122	900				
1970	1	С	1	14.38	103	473				
			2	18.91	132	518				
1971		D	1	27.51	133	611				
			2	27.45	136	560				
1972	4	A	1	30.70	132	946	316	-41.4		
			2	28.06	135	877				
		3	35.26	138	939	318	40.5			
1973		С	1	10.20	108	973	304	-106		
			2	15.22	123	1,100	238	-115		
1974		D	1	22.71	113	742				
			2	18.54	126	879				
1975	5	Α	1	28.50	147	718				
			2	25.28	133	761				
1976		В	1	15.90	118	889	344	-376		
			2	25.88	137	853	362	-350		
1977		C	1	15.40	134	932	356	-354		
			2	21.29	135	899	312	-328		
1978		D	1	26.54	134	787				
			2	27.16	137	797				
				· · · ·	Core 99		L	Ι		
7313	1	W	1	19.94	8-153	726	193-333	311		
			2	29.31	16-158	521	181-343	305		
7315	2	Α	1	28.86	48-227	201	227-324	109		
			2	35.07	43-231	177	231-322	114		
7314		D	1	16.04	22-204	263	215-332	127	375-430	-2.8
			2	16.00	24-185	195	187-345	162	380-430	-2.8

Table 4-4. Differential Scanning Calorimetry Results for Tank 241-BY-108.¹ (4 sheets)

	S. La	ample cation		Sample Weight	Transi	tion 1	Transi	tion 2	Transit	ion 3
Sample Number	Seg.	Subseg.	Run	mg	Temp. (°C) ²	АН (J/g)	Temp. (°C) ²	ΔH (J/g)	Temp, (°C) ²	AH (J/g)
				Core	99 (Con	tinued)		1		
7932	I	DL	1	20.32	45-226	738	226-265	-11.6	265-340	-14.9
			2	30.33	20-216	1,240	216-270	-35.8	272-330	-10.5
7316	3	Α	1	31.84	28-230	303	236-336	81.1	400-427	-1.2
			2	18.38	12-215	344	233-327	101	430-450	-0.7
7935	DL	DL	1	26.98	31-236	1,180	236-303	26.1		
			2	24.21	32-239	1,280	239-300	26.9		
7322	4	A	1	7.391	22-183	386	243-310	33.6		
			2	18.07	33-255	557		†		
7319		В	1	23.42	22-193	652	200-411	-191		
			2	12.03	19-224	476	224-385	-133		
7318		С	1	15.00	26-192	645	192-375	-67.0		
			2	36.01	15-196	877	200-361	-77.8		
7317		D	1	13.40	23-195	693	203-369	-73.7		
			2	13.70	30-193	614	203-350	-60.2		

Table 4-4. Differential Scanning Calorimetry Results for Tank 241-BY-108.¹ (4 sheets)

Notes:

Seg.	=	segment
Subseg.	=	subsegment
Temp.	=	temperature
ΔH	=	change in enthalpy (negative sign denotes exothermic reaction).
DL	=	drainable liquid
W	=	whole segment
A, B, C, D	æ	top, second, third, or bottom segment portion, respectively.

¹Baldwin (1995a) and Silvers et al. (1995)

²The temperature columns contain peak temperatures for core 98 and 104, and temperature ranges for core 99.

4.1.2.3 Density. Density measurements were performed only on core 99 at the Pacific Northwest National Laboratory. Only a single measurement was made for each sample because a limited amount of sample was available. The density of subsegment 2A was not evaluated because sufficient sample was not available to perform an accurate density measurement. The densities of the solid samples were obtained using a displacement method. The samples were placed in a preweighed graduated cylinder with a known volume

and mass of mineral oil. After the sample was placed in the mineral oil, the volume and mass of the sample/oil mixture were measured, then the mass and volume of the mineral oil were subtracted from the mass and volume of the sample/oil mixture. The density of the sample was calculated from these values (Silvers et al. 1995).

The density of the drainable liquid was obtained by accurately measuring the mass of the liquid pipetted from a fixed volume pipette. Two separate density determinations were made on the two drainable liquid samples. A density calculated during the sample preparation (that is, water leaching) yielded 1.43 g/mL.

Table 4-5 shows the density data. To obtain the overall tank density value, all individual subsegment densities were averaged, including the drainable liquid densities which were assumed to account for missing subsegments. However, the overall average was weighted by segment, that is, the subsegments from each segment were averaged, then the segment densities (four total) were averaged. As shown in Table 4-5, this produced an overall tank density of 1.51 g/mL.

Sample Number	Segment	Subsegment	Density (g/mL)	
7313	1	Whole	1.59	
7314	2	Drainable liquid	1.43	
7397	1	D	1.36	
7316	3	Α	1.57	
7398	1	Drainable liquid	1.43	
7322	4	Α	1.51	
7319	1	В	1.51	
7318	1	С	1.53	
7317		D	1.55	
Overall Tank Density			1.51	

Table 4-J. Cole 33 Delisity Results for Talla 241-D1-100.	Table 4-5.	Core 99	Density	Results	fór	Tank	241	-BY-	108.
---	------------	---------	---------	---------	-----	------	-----	------	------

Note:

¹Silvers et al. (1995)

4.1.3 Drill String Wash Water Contamination Check

During sampling, drill string wash water was used to clean the drill string after each core was removed. Lithium bromide was added to this wash water as a tracer, and its presence in the core samples indicates contamination by the wash water. This check, through analyses for lithium and bromide, was prescribed by the SAP (Baldwin 1995c). The SAP established notification limits of 100 μ g/g for lithium and 1,200 μ g/g for bromide.

Tables containing lithium and bromide data are in Appendix C. Two segments may have been contaminated with wash water because they had lithium results above the notification limit. Segment 1 of core 99, which contained only one subsegment, had a lithium mean of 115 μ g/g (183 μ g/mL); quarter segment B of segment 3 from core 99 had a lithium result of 149 μ g/g (225 μ g/mL). Bromide was not analyzed on core 99 to corroborate the lithium results, because the sampling and analysis plan (Baldwin 1995c) specifies that all anions be measured by IC as primary analytes according to the ferrocyanide DOO which includes bromide. The policy at PNNL, however, is to regard bromide as a separate analyte; all anions does not include bromide. Because bromide analysis was not specifically requested, it was not performed. Omitting the bromide analysis had little, if any, impact on the analytical results. Based on lithium bromide correction calculations, the percent water values of segment 1 and quarter segment B of segment 4 from core 99 would be about 15 percent lower (27.15 versus 29.4 percent, and 30.37 versus 35.6 percent, respectively). Only the segment B, core 99 corrected water result is statistically different than the original analysis at the 0.05 confidence level. Even with a possible percent error caused by wash water contamination, these values are above the 17 percent water safety screen criteria. For cores 98 and 104, all lithium results were less than the SAP limit, and all bromide results were less than the detection limit.

4.1.4 Vapor Data Summary

The safety screening DQO has established a notification limit of 25 percent of the lower flammability limit for headspace vapors. Prior to removing core samples, tank vapors were field tested using a combustible gas meter and an organic vapor meter. Lower flammability limits of 5 percent and 4 percent were observed in risers 12A and 7, respectively. Both results satisfied the safety screening requirement of < 25 percent of the lower flammability limit (WHC 1995a).

A full vapor characterization was performed on the tank headspace gases in 1994. The data from this sampling and analysis can be found in Tank 241-BY-108 Headspace Gas and Vapor Characterization Results for Samples Collected in March 1994 and October 1994 (Huckaby and Bratzel 1995). A calculation of the lower flammability limit using the vapor characterization data showed that the headspace gases were 3.0 percent of the lower flammability limit.

5.0 INTERPRETATION OF CHARACTERIZATION RESULTS

This section evaluates the overall quality and consistency of the available results for tank 241-BY-108 and assesses and compares these results against historical information and program requirements.

5.1 ASSESSMENT OF SAMPLING AND ANALYTICAL RESULTS

This section evaluates sampling and analysis factors that may impact the use or interpretation of data. These factors are used to assess the overall quality and consistency of data and to identify limitations in its use.

5.1.1 Field Observations

The analytical data from the 1995 sampling event was obtained from three cores: core 104 was removed from riser 7 near the center of the tank, and cores 98 and 99 were removed from riser 12A near the outer edge of the tank. The position of these risers met the sampling requirements of the safety screening and ferrocyanide DQOs (Babad et al. 1995 and Meacham et al. 1995). Sample recoveries were generally good for all segments from cores 98 and 104. However, sample recovery was much less than expected for segments 1 and 3 from core 99. This may have been caused by core 99 being removed from riser 12A after core 98. It was noted upon extrusion that there were several gaps in the samples of all three cores where solids were not present. The gaps in the first three segments of core 98 were assumed to be filled with drainable liquid, while those in core 104 were small. The large gaps in core 99 are less easily explained. When segment recoveries are incomplete, the representativeness of the sample is more questionable, and biases may be introduced into the data.

5.1.2 Quality Control Assessment

The quality control assessment includes an evaluation of the four quality control checks (blanks, duplicates, spikes, and standards) performed in conjunction with the chemical analyses. Because of the large amount of data collected for tank 241-BY-108, this section provides only a general evaluation and summary of some key safety areas. The original data reports (Baldwin 1995b for cores 98 and 104 and Silvers et al. 1995 for core 99) should be consulted for more detailed quality control information. The SAP (Baldwin 1995c) establishes the specific accuracy and precision criteria for the four quality control checks. Samples which had one or more quality control results outside of the criteria have been identified (by footnoting) in the Appendix A data tables.

Several quality control results for the total alpha activity standard and spike recoveries for cores 98 and 104 were outside the normal quality control criteria. However, these deviations were not significant enough to affect the criticality evaluation. Total alpha activity results for core 99 were corrected for spike recovery because of absorption effects.

The precision (estimated by the relative percent difference [RPD], defined as the absolute value of the difference between the primary and duplicate samples, divided by their mean, times one hundred) between several of the TGA and DSC duplicate pairs was greater than the limits set by the laboratory. This may be attributable to the very small samples (10 to 20 mg) used in this analysis, which imposes the need for a high degree of homogeneity in the sample to achieve reproducible results. The variability of the DSC results were taken into account when evaluating the exothermic data by comparing the 95 percent upper confidence level of the results against the energetics criteria.

The quality control results for TOC, cyanide, and nickel exhibited reasonable quality for this waste. Difficulties in producing a highly homogeneous subsample may be responsible for some of the reproducibility problems observed for these and other analytes. Sodium standard results for cores 98 and 104 were 50 to 60 percent high, indicating a potential bias in the results or a standard or sodium contamination problem.

In summary, the vast majority of the quality control results were within the boundaries specified in the SAP (Baldwin 1995c). As noted in the Appendix A tables, some samples did have quality control results outside the SAP boundaries. However, an evaluation of QC discrepancies has been made and these discrepancies have not been found to impact either the validity or the use of the data.

5.1.3 Data Consistency Checks

Comparing different analytical methods can help in assessing data consistency and quality. Several correlations were possible with the data set provided by the three core samples; they are given in the subsections below. They include the comparison of phosphorus and sulfur as analyzed by ICP with phosphate and sulfate as analyzed by IC, and the comparison of total alpha and total beta with the sum of alpha and beta emitters. Other evaluations include a homogenization test to reveal whether sample results were consistently duplicated and the calculation of a mass and charge balance to help assess the overall data consistency data.

5.1.3.1 Comparison of Results from Different Analytical Methods. The following data consistency checks compare the results from two or more analytical methods for a given analyte. A close correlation between the two methods strengthens the credibility of both results, whereas a poor correlation may bring the reliability of the data into question. All analytical mean results were taken from Table 4-2.

The analytical phosphorus mean result as determined by ICP was $10,100 \ \mu g/g$, which converts to $31,000 \ \mu g/g$ of phosphate. This compares well with the IC phosphate mean result of 26,000 $\ \mu g/g$. The RPD between these two phosphate estimates was a reasonable 18 percent.

The ICP sulfur value of 6,960 μ g/g converts to 20,100 μ g/g of sulfate. This compares favorably with the IC sulfate result of 23,400 μ g/g. The RPD between these two sulfate estimates was a reasonable 15 percent.

A comparison was made between the gross beta and gross alpha activities with the sum of the individual beta and alpha emitters. The sum of the activities of the individual alpha emitters is usually determined by adding ²⁴¹Am and plutonium isotope activities. However, because ²⁴¹Am was not detected, it was not included in the calculation. The activity sum was therefore derived by the following equation:

Sum of alpha emitters = 238 Pu + $^{239/240}$ Pu

The activities of the individual beta emitters were summed as follows:

Sum of beta emitters = $(2 * {}^{90}Sr) + {}^{137}Cs$

Since ⁹⁰Sr is in equilibrium with its daughter product ⁹⁰Y, the radiochemically measured value for ⁹⁰Sr alone must be multiplied by 2 in order to obtain comparable numbers with total beta. The comparisons are shown in Tables 5-1 and 5-2. The total alpha activity and total beta RPDs of 16 and 1 percent, respectively, indicate the different analytical results correlate fairly well.

Analyte	Half-Life (years)	Overall Mean (µCl/g)	
²³⁸ Pu	87.8	0.00659	
^{239/240} Pu	24,100 (²³⁹ Pu)	0.0459	
Sum of alpha emitters	0.0525		
Gross alpha	0.0619		
Relative percent difference	16%		

Table 5-1.	Tank 241-BY-108 Comparison of Gross Alpha Activities W	Vith	the
	Total of the Individual Activities.		

Analyte	Half-Life (years)	Overall Mean (µCl/g)	Beta Activities (µCi/g)		
⁹⁰ Sr	28.6	143	286		
¹³⁷ Cs	30.17	258	258		
Sum of beta emitters		544			
Gross Beta		549			
Relative percent difference		1%			

Table 5-2.	Tank 241-BY-108 Comparison of Gross Beta Activities With
	the Total of the Individual Activities.

5.1.3.2 Homogenization Test. To evaluate the adequacy of the laboratory homogenization procedure on the samples taken from core 99, segment 1 and quarter segment 4B were homogenized, and subsamples were taken from the top and bottom. Each subsample was analyzed in duplicate by ICP and gamma energy analysis, and a total of 15 analytes were evaluated (Silvers et al. 1995). The resulting RPDs between the average of the top and bottom samples ranged from 1.1 to 19.0 percent. This indicates that a fair degree of sample homogenization was achieved for these samples, and that sample heterogeneity for the remainder of the analytes may not be a primary source of error in estimating analyte concentrations. However, some analytes may be in a chemical or physical form that would prevent them from being effectively homogenized, and any analyte near the detection limit may have large RPDs regardless of sample homogenization efficiency.

5.1.3.3 Mass and Charge Balance. The principle objective in performing a mass and charge balance is to determine whether the measurements were self-consistent. In calculating the balances, only analytes listed in Table 4-2, which were detected at a concentration of 5,000 μ g/g or greater, were considered.

With the exception of sodium, all cations listed in Table 5-3 were assumed to be in their most common hydroxide or oxide form, and the concentrations of the assumed species were calculated stoichiometrically. Because precipitates are neutral species, all positive charge was attributed to the sodium cation. The acetate and carbonate data were derived from the total organic carbon and total inorganic carbon analyses, respectively. The other anionic analytes listed in Table 5-4 were assumed to be present as sodium salts and were expected to balance the positive charge exhibited by the cations. Sulfur is considered to be present as the sulfate ion and phosphorus as the phosphate ion. Both species are assumed to be completely water soluble and appear only in the anion mass and charge calculations (see Section 5.1.3.1). The concentrations of the cationic species in Table 5-3, the anionic species in Table 5-4, and the percent water were ultimately used to calculate the mass balance. The uncertainty estimates (RSDs) associated with each analyte and the uncertainty for the cation and anion totals also are given in the tables.

Analyte	Concentration (µg/g)	Assumed Species	Concentration of Assumed Species (µg/g)	RSD (Mean) (%)	Charge (µeq/g)
Aluminum	39,800	Al(OH)3	1.15E+05	29.8	0
Iron	7,190	FeO(OH)	11,400	52.8	0
Sodium	1.63E+05	Na ⁺	1.63E+05	14.2	7,090
Uranium	9,470	U ₃ O ₈	11,200	60.0	0
Totals	<u></u>		3.01E+05	14.0	7,090

Table 5-3.	Cation	Mass	and	Charge	Data.
------------	--------	------	-----	--------	-------

Notes:

 $\mu g/g = \text{microgram per gram}$ $\mu eq/g = \text{microequivalent per gram}$ RSD (Mean) = relative standard deviation of the mean

Analyte	Concentration (µg/g)	RSD (Mean) (%)	Charge (µeq/g)
Acetate (TOC) ¹	11,000 (4,480)	20.5	186
Carbonate (TIC) ¹	26,700 (5,340)	33.9	890
Fluoride	6,610	26.7	348
Nitrate	2.1E+05	18.5	3,240
Nitrite	27,300	13.0	593
Oxalate	7,500	11.4	170
Phosphate	26,000	24.0	821
Sulfate	23,400	27.9	488
Totals	3.30E+05	12.0	6,740

Table 5-4. Anion Mass and Charge D

Note:

¹The values in parentheses are from the TOC and TIC analytical results and were used to derive the acetate and carbonate values on the left.

The mass balance was calculated from the formula below. The factor 0.0001 is the conversion factor from $\mu g/g$ to weight percent.

Mass balance = % Water + 0.0001 x {Total Analyte Concentration} = % Water + 0.0001 x {Al(OH)₃ + FeO(OH) + Na⁺ + U₃O₈ + C₂H₃O₂⁻ + CO₃⁻² + F⁻ + NO₃⁻ + NO₂⁻ + (COO)₂⁻² + PO₄⁻³ + SO₄⁻²}

The total analyte concentrations calculated from the above equation was $631,000 \ \mu g/g$. The mean weight percent water obtained from thermogravimetric analysis reported in Table 4-2 is 27.2 percent. The mass balance resulting from adding the percent water to the total analyte concentration is 90.3 percent (see Table 5-5).

The following equations demonstrate the derivation of total cations and total anions; the charge balance is the ratio of these two values.

Total cations (microequivalents) = $Na^{+}/23.0 = 7,090$ microequivalents

Total anions (microequivalents) = $C_2H_3O_2^{-7}/59.0 + CO_3^{-2}/30.0 + F^{-7}/19.0 + NO_3^{-7}/62.0 + NO_2^{-7}/46.0 + (COO)_2^{-2}/44.0 + PO_4^{-3}/31.7 + SO_4^{-2}/48.1 = 6,740$ microequivalents

The charge balance obtained by dividing the sum of the positive charge by the sum of the negative charge was 1.05.

In summary, the above calculations yield reasonable mass and charge balance values (close to 1.00 for charge balance and 100 percent for mass balance), indicating that the mean analytical results for the tank were fairly self-consistent.

	RSD (Mean) (%)	Concentrations (µg/g)
Total from Table 5-3 (cations)	14.0	3.01E+05
Total from Table 5-4 (anions)	12.0	3.30E+05
Water %	19.3	2.72E+05
Grand Total	8.7	9.03E+05

Table 5-5. Mass Balance Totals.

5.2 COMPARISON OF HISTORICAL AND ANALYTICAL RESULTS

Comparisons were possible between the 1995 analytical results and data from the 1994 auger sampling event. The 1994 historical sampling event is described in Section 3.4; the data are tabulated in Appendix D. Because the 1994 auger samples were taken from approximately the top 50.8 cm (20 in.) of waste, their results are compared to averages of only the top segments of waste from the 1995 sampling (that is, segment 1 of cores 98, 99, and 104). Table 5-6 shows a comparison of analytical results from the 1994 and 1995 sampling events.

Analyte or Measurement	1994 Results ¹	1995 Results ²
Examination of drainable liquids	No immiscible organic layer discernible.	No immiscible organic layer discernible.
Organics by gas chromatograph/flame ionization detector	Normal paraffin hydrocarbons and tributyl phosphate not detected.	Normal paraffin hydrocarbons and tributyl phosphate not detected in segment 1 of cores 98 and 104. These analyses were not performed on core 99.
Weight percent water	13 percent	26.2 percent ³
Total organic carbon	875 μg C/g	2,170 μg C/g ³

Notes:

¹Baldwin (1995a)

²Campbell et al. (1995a)

³Averages of results from segment 1 of cores 98, 99, and 104.

5.3 TANK WASTE PROFILE

The objective of the 1995 sampling event was to obtain a vertical profile of the waste from two widely spaced risers (Baldwin 1995c). A vertical profile was obtained from both risers, allowing a statistical assessment of the vertical and horizontal distribution of the tank waste for many of the analytes. The sample recovery was poor for some segments, which may have biased the analytical results. Information on the vertical disposition of the waste was also available from the TLM (see Figure 2-3). According to the TLM, the waste was composed of three layers. The bottom half is predicted to be PFeCN1 waste, the middle layer is PFeCN2 waste, and the upper layer is BY saltcake. The bottom two ferrocyanide layers should be indistinguishable from each other except that the PFeCN1 waste should have more ferrocyanide. These different layers, made up of two different waste types, indicate that tank contents are expected to be vertically heterogeneous. The visual descriptions of the extruded cores and segments also imply that tank contents are, to an extent, heterogeneous both vertically and horizontally (see Table 3-2) although these differences may or may not be statistically significant.

The fact that multiple risers, cores, and segments were sampled allowed a statistical procedure known as the analysis of variance (ANOVA) to be conducted on the 1995 core samples to determine whether there were horizontal or vertical differences in analyte concentrations. Three different ANOVA models were used: a three-way, random-effects nested model was conducted on analytes that had sample information from all three cores, a two-way, random-effects nested model was conducted on analytes that had sample information from a single core from both risers, and a simple one-way ANOVA was conducted on analytes that had sample information from only one core. These analyses were calculated only for analytes which had more than half of their individual measurements above the detection limit. Two assumptions were made while conducting these tests: 1) the population from which these samples were drawn was normally distributed, and 2) the samples used in making the comparisons had equal variances. The ANOVA generates a p-value which is compared with a standard significance level ($\alpha = 0.05$). If a p-value is below 0.05, there is sufficient evidence to conclude that the sample means are significantly different from each other. However, if a p-value is above 0.05, there is not sufficient evidence to conclude that the samples are significantly different from each other. A two-tailed statistical test was used in all cases.

The results of the ANOVA tests indicated that none of the 11 analytes tested for differences between the two risers showed any significance. Only 2 of 35 analytes tested for differences between the cores showed significance. These two analytes were boron and potassium, with core p-values of 0.0056 and 0.0074, respectively. On the segment level, however, only 3 of 45 analytes (sulfur, oxalate, and ¹³⁷Cs with p-values of 0.113, 0.318, and 0.0589, respectively) tested did not show significant differences. This evidence of vertical heterogeneity substantiates the TLM and the visual descriptions of the samples. In summary, the available evidence strongly implies vertical heterogeneity of the waste. Horizontally, the TLM made no predictions on the waste disposition, and the statistical results gave little indication of concentration differences.

5.4 COMPARISON OF ANALYTICAL AND TRANSFER DATA

The HTCE of the contents of tank 241-BY-108 is shown in Table 5-7 along with the concentration estimates from the 1995 analytical results (see Table 4-2). This comparison is presented for informational purposes only. The HTCE values are generated from a combination of inputs from the WSTRS (Agnew et al. 1995b), the Hanford Defined Wastes (Agnew 1995), and the TLM (Agnew et al. 1995a). Each of the three inputs contains assumptions and/or other factors (such as transfers of an unknown waste type into the tank) that may impact the HTCE numbers. Because the HTCE values have not been validated, they should be used with caution.

Analyte	1995 Analytical Result	HTCE ¹ Estimate	Relative Percent Difference
METALS	µg∕g	₽g/e	%
Aluminum	39,800	4,670	158
Calcium	3,370	3,570	6
Chromium	255	790	102
Iron	7,190	40,600	140
Lead	439	5.8	195
Nickel	2,510	3,510	33
Potassium	2,650	233	168
Silicon	1,530	6,210	121
Sodium	163,000	124,000	27
Uranium	9470	963	163
IONS	µg/g	ME/E	%
Cl	1,550	1,220	24
CN [.]	362	8,240 ²	183
F	6,660	4,580	37
NO3 ⁻	201,000	126,000	46
NO ₂ -	27,200	9,430	97
PO ₄ -3	25,700	18,800	31
SO4 ⁻²	23,400	92,500	119

Table 5-7.Comparison of Historical Data With 1995 Analytical
Results for Tank 241-BY-108 (2 sheets).

Analyte	1995 Analytical Result	HTCE ^I Estimate	Relative Percent Difference
RADIONUCLIDES	µCi/g	μCi/g	%
¹³⁷ Cs	258	107	83
²³⁸ Pu + ^{239/240} Pu	0.0525	0.0425 (Pu)	21
⁹⁰ Sr	143	6.32	183
PHYSICAL PROPERTIES	g/mL	g/mL	%
Percent Water	27.2	45.8	51
CARBON	pg C/g	μg C/g	%
Total Inorganic Carbon	5,340	1,370	118
Total Organic Carbon	4,480	4,180	7

Table 5-7.Comparison of Historical Data With 1995 Analytical
Results for Tank 241-BY-108 (2 sheets).

Notes:

¹Brevick et al. (1994a).

²This value is converted from the HTCE $Fe(CN)_6^4$ concentration of 0.0845 moles/L using the HTCE density value of 1.6 g/mL.

Comparing the HTCE with the analytical values produced varied results. A total of 22 analytes were compared. Nine analytes (calcium, nickel, sodium, chloride, fluoride, nitrate, phosphate, total plutonium, and TOC) exhibited RPDs less than 50 percent. Of these, two analytes (calcium and TIC) exhibited RPDs less than 10 percent. Five analytes (aluminum, lead, potassium, uranium, and ⁹⁰Sr) exhibited RPDs greater than 150 percent. The RPDs for the remaining analytes were in between these two extremes.

Other observations can be made by qualitatively comparing analytical results with the predicted waste type constituents. Aluminum is predicted to be found in higher quantities in the BY saltcake than in the ferrocyanide waste. In reviewing the subsegment analytical results in Appendix A, aluminum was found in higher concentrations in the upper segments. Analytes (bismuth, nickel, and iron) characteristic of PFeCN1 and PFeCN2, ferrocyanide sludges, were found in higher concentrations in the lower segments as expected.

5.5 EVALUATION OF PROGRAM REQUIREMENTS

The two 1995 tank 241-BY-108 core samples analyzed at the 222-S Laboratory were acquired to meet the requirements of the safety screening DQO (Babad et al. 1995), the ferrocyanide DQO (Meacham et al. 1994), the pretreatment DQO (Kupfer et al. 1994), and the safety program test plan (Meacham 1995). The core sample analyzed at the Pacific Northwest National Laboratory was governed by the safety screening DQO and the historical

model evaluation data requirements DQO (Simpson and McCain 1995). This sections discusses the requirements of each document and compares the analytical data to defined concentration limits. Any remaining material from the sampling event will be set aside for pretreatment studies as identified in the pretreatment DQO.

5.5.1 Safety Evaluation

Data criteria identified in the safety screening DQO (Babad et al. 1995) are used to assess the waste safety and to check for unidentified safety issues. The DQO requires samples from two widely spaced risers. This requirement was met. The three primary analyses required by the safety screening DQO include DSC to evaluate energetics, TGA to measure weight percent water, and a determination of total alpha activity. For each required analysis, a notification limit was established by the DQO which, if exceeded, could warrant further investigation to ensure tank safety. A final requirement of the safety screening DQO was to determine the flammability of tank headspace vapors. These measurements were taken prior to removing core samples. The highest measured result was 5 percent of the lower flammability limit, well below the safety screening limit of 25 percent (WHC 1995a). The flammability headspace gas of tank 241-BY-108 can also be calculated on results from the 1994 vapor sampling and analysis event (Huckaby and Bratzel 1995). As shown in Table 5-8, the hydrogen, organic vapor, and ammonia fuel represent a combined total of 3.0 percent of the LFL, well below the safety screening limit of 25 percent of the LFL. At the reported concentrations, hydrogen, organic vapor, and ammonia do not individually or collectively represent a flammability hazard.

Analyte	LFL	Average Concentration	Concentration as Percent of LFL
H ₂	40,000 ppmv	399 ppmv	1.0 %
Total organics	46,000 mg/m ³	594 mg/m ³	1.3 %
NH3	150,000 ppmv	1,040 ppmv	0.7 %
		Total:	3.0 %

Table 5-8. Vapor Flammability Results from 1994 Vapor Sampling and Analysis Event.¹

Note:

¹Huckaby and Bratzel (1995).

The safety screening DQO limit for criticality is 41 μ Ci/g; it is assessed from the total alpha activity. All results from cores 98 and 104 were well below this limit; the largest single result was 0.390 μ Ci/g.

The safety screening DQO has established a notification limit of 481 J/g (dry weight basis) for the DSC analysis. Of the samples from cores 98 and 104, two quarter segments from segment 5 of core 104 had averages exceeding the limit: quarter segment 5B (573.0 J/g) and

quarter segment 5C (528.3 J/g). Two other samples had one result out of a duplicate pair greater than the threshold: quarter segment 4B of core 98 and a rerun from the same quarter segment. However, for both samples, the average DSC result was below the limit (473.6 and 445.4 J/g, respectively). The calculation of a 95 percent confidence interval for sample results which exceeded a decision limit was also required by the safety screening DQO. The results of these calculations are in Baldwin (1995a). For the two samples (quarter segment 4B of core 98 and its rerun) which had averages approaching the 481 J/g limit, the 95 percent upper confidence interval limit exceeded the DQO limit (697.6 and 672.0 J/g, respectively). Reactive systems screening tool runs will be made on segments with DSC results exceeding the DQO limit. These results will be reported in a revision to this document.

The DSC analyses, which exceeded the safety screening notification limit of 481 J/g, were compared to the energy equivalents of the cyanide and TOC analytical results for a given subsegment. The cyanide and TOC values were converted to a dry weight basis (see footnote 1, Table 5-9) using the corresponding percent water result for the specific run for a given subsegment. The cyanide fuel content was assumed to exist as the species disodium nickel ferrocyanide (Na₂NiFe(CN)₆) (Meacham et al. 1994). The necessary conversion of the cyanide analytical result (dry weight) to the weight percent of the assumed species was accomplished by the following equation:

$$Na_{2}NiFe(CN)_{6} wt\% = \left(\frac{X \ \mu g \ CN}{g}\right) x \left(\frac{1 \ \mu mol \ CN}{26 \ \mu g \ CN}\right) x \left(\frac{1 \ \mu mol \ Na_{2}NiFe(CN)_{6}}{6 \ \mu mol \ CN}\right) x$$
$$\left(\frac{316.5 \ \mu g \ Na_{2}NiFe(CN)_{6}}{1 \ \mu mol \ Na_{2}NiFe(CN)_{6}}\right) x \frac{1 \ g}{1 \ x \ 10^{6} \ \mu g} x \ 100 \ wt\%$$

The resulting weight percent was inserted into the energy equivalent ferrocyanide concentration equation (Meacham et al. 1994), and the equation was manipulated algebraically to give the following energy equivalent in J/g:

(weight% Na₂NiFe(CN)₆) *
$$\left(\frac{6,000 \text{ J/g Na}_2\text{NiFe(CN)}_6}{100 \text{ weight\%}}\right) = X \text{ J/g waste}$$

The energy equivalent conversion for TOC (based on a sodium acetate average energetics standard) is calculated by converting the analytical results from $\mu g/g$ to weight percent (dividing by 10,000). The entire equation (Babad et al. 1994) is as follows:

$$\left(\frac{X \ \mu g \ \text{TOC}}{g}\right) * \left(\frac{1}{10,000}\right) * \left(\frac{30.2 \ \text{cal}}{g}\right) \left(\frac{4.18 \ \text{J}}{\text{cal}}\right) = \left(\frac{X \ \text{J}}{g}\right)$$

For a given subsegment, the energy equivalent values for cyanide and TOC were added in column 7 of Table 5-9; the DSC analytical result is in column 8. The sum of the two energy equivalents were roughly half the DSC results for three of the subsegments and well over half (88 and 59 percent) the DSC results for the other two.

 Table 5-9. Comparison of Differential Scanning Calorimetry Analytical Results

 With Total Organic Carbon and Cyanide Energy Equivalents.

Core	Quarter Segment	Primary/ Duplicate	Analyte	Analytical Result (ag/g) ¹	Energy Equivalent (J/g)	Sum (J/g)	DSC Analytical Result (J/g)
98	4(B)	Primary	TOC	20,900 (32,400)	409	447	509.1
		(Rerun)	CN ⁻	2,010 (3,110)	37.8		(481.3)
104	5(B)	Primary	TOC	17,200 (27,600)	348	350	593.5
			CN ⁻	99.9 (160)	1.95		
104	5(B)	Duplicate	TOC	13,400 (20,800)	263	265	552.6
			CN [.]	94.7 (147)	1.79		
104	5(C)	Primary	TOC	13,600 (21,100)	266	268	548.7
			CN ⁻	125 (194)	2.36		
104	5(C)	Duplicate	TOC	13,200 (20,500)	259	261	507.9
			CN ⁻	117 (181)	2.20		

Notes:

 $CN^{-} = cyanide$

¹Values in parentheses are the analytical results converted to a dry weight basis using the following equation:

$$\frac{X\mu g/g \text{ (wet weight)}}{1 - (\% \text{ water/100)}} = X\mu g/g \text{ (dry weight)}$$

According to the SAP, the safety screening DQO limit of 17 weight percent water was superseded on cores 98 and 104 by the ferrocyanide DQO water content requirement. However, an inspection of the TGA data revealed that 10 subsegments had means below 17 percent. More importantly, a comparison was made between the samples which had DSC results greater than 481 J/g and their corresponding TGA results. All four samples with DSC values over 481 J/g also had water contents of at least 35 percent, even though no drainable liquid was associated with any of these samples.

Because the ferrocyanide DQO requires analyses on a quarter segment basis, the notification limits were applied to the data on a quarter segment level. However, only individual limit excursions are discussed. Also, the ferrocyanide DQO limits were only applied to cores 98 and 104. Primary analyses required by the ferrocyanide DQO include DSC and TGA and measurements of the cyanide, nickel, and total organic carbon concentrations. The DSC requirements were the same as those discussed previously for the safety screening DQO. The actual TGA notification limit listed in the ferrocyanide DQO is as follows:

weight percent water $\geq 4/3$ (weight percent fuel - 8 weight percent)

However, the SAP has converted this limit into an equation more readily usable by the laboratory. The applicable assumptions and calculations for this conversion are listed in Baldwin (1995c). The modified decision limit is as follows:

weight percent water > (0.0223 * DSC exotherm [dry weight]) - 10.7

Using this equation, the minimum water contents (according to the ferrocyanide DQO), required in the four samples with DSC results above 481 J/g, were calculated. Based on the DSC result of 558.0 J/g, quarter segment B of segment 4 from core 98 needed 1.74 weight percent water. The 35.61 percent result was far above that. Similarly, the other three samples had TGA results well above the required minimum as computed using the ferrocyanide TGA notification limit.

Recent aging studies of ferrocyanide waste show that the combined effects of temperature, radiation, and pH during 38 years or more of storage would have destroyed most of the ferrocyanide originally added to the tanks (Babad et al. 1993; Lilga et al. 1993, 1994, and 1995). This prediction has been confirmed by the tank samples analyzed to date. In order to determine the extent of ferrocyanide degradation over time, estimates of the total amount of Na₂NiFe(CN)₆ originally present in the tank and recent analytical cyanide concentrations are needed. According to Borsheim and Simpson (1991), 17,500 kg of Na₂NiFe(CN)₆ were expected to remain in the tank at the end of the ferrocyanide waste transfer activity in 1957. This number compares favorably with the Na₂NiFe(CN)₆ inventory of 23,100 kg calculated based on the HTCE concentration for Fe(CN)₆⁴ of 0.0845 moles/L, the HTCE density value of 1.6 g/mL, and the HTCE total weight for the waste of 1.38E+06 kg. It should be noted that any comparison between current analytical data to estimates of $Na_2NiFe(CN)_6$ originally found in the tank should be based only on the mean analytical values from the bottom three segments of each core because ferrocyanide waste has been predicted to comprise approximately the bottom 67 inches of the tank content (Agnew et al. 1995a). Another method of estimating the amount of Na₂NiFe(CN)₆ originally present in the tank would be to assume that all the nickel currently found in the tank originated from Na₂NiFe(CN)₆. The mean nickel concentration based on the bottom three segments of core 98, 99 and 104 was 3,570 μ g/g. If all the nickel originated from Na₂NiFe(CN)₆, then the observed nickel

concentration indicates that 19,200 μ g/g of Na₂NiFe(CN)₆ (25,100 kg of Na₂NiFe(CN)₆), existed in the tank before degradation. Therefore, these three sources of information place the original Na₂NiFe(CN)₆ inventory approximately within the 17,500- to 25,100-kg range.

The recent total cyanide analytical mean based on the bottom three segments of core 98, 99, and 104 is 421 μ g/g (549 kg of cyanide) which is equivalent to 1,110 kg of Na₂NiFe(CN)₆. Consequently, it appears that 94 to 96 percent (1-1,110/17,500 to 1-1,110/25,100) of the ferrocyanide complex has decomposed. Even when the highest cyanide analytical mean from the bottom three segments were used (864 μ g/g for core 98 which is equivalent to 2,280 kg of Na₂NiFe(CN)₆), a significant ferrocyanide degradation of at least 87 percent (1-2,280/17,500) is obtained.

All quarter segment results as well as the overall mean cyanide analytical result were much lower than the 39,000 μ g/g ferrocyanide DQO limit. No decision limit was specified for the nickel concentration in the ferrocyanide DQO which was applied to the 1995 sampling and analysis event (Meacham et al. 1994).

The total organic carbon content notification limit was set at 30,000 μ g/g; an overall tank mean TOC concentration of 4,480 μ g/g was found. No quarter segment sample exceeded the limit with the exception of the TOC value for core 98, segment 4B of 32,400 μ g/g which exceeded this value when compared on a dry weight basis.

The safety program test plan, applicable to cores 98 and 104, specified two primary analyses: TOC (discussed previously) and an organic screen. The organic screen targets determined the presence of tributyl phosphate and normal paraffin hydrocarbons. No decision limits were established for any analytes. Minor amounts of undecane, tridecane, tetradecane, pentadecane, nonane, dodecane, decane, and tributyl phosphate were found (see Section 4.1 for the overall means).

Core 99 was analyzed separately from cores 98 and 104 at the Pacific Northwest National Laboratory in accordance with the safety screening and historical DQOs. The safety screening requirements have already been discussed. No exothermic reactions exceeded the 481 J/g limit. The largest total alpha result was 0.450 μ Ci/g, well below the limit of 41 μ Ci/g. Two quarter segments from core 99, 2A and 2D, had percent water means below the safety screening percent water criterion of 17 percent. This segment also contained a large amount of drainable liquid (230 mL) which was 49.7 percent water. The small quantities of solids collected for these two segments could have resulted in some moisture loss during storage and analysis. In addition, the original run on quarter segment A from segment 3 had a result of 14.1 although the mean for the quarter segment was 24.6 percent.

The historical DQO specifies several analyses including ICP, IC, gamma energy analysis, and a determination of the ⁹⁰Sr content. All required analyses were run. No notification limits were established.

Table 5-10 displays the analyte criteria limits for the DQOs and the test plan. The number of results, which exceeded each criterion, are also included. Because the samples in which limits were exceeded have been discussed in the text, they are not addressed in Table 5-10.

Another factor in assessing tank waste safety is heat generation and waste temperature. Heat is generated in the tanks from radioactive decay. An estimate of the tank heat load was calculated from the 1995 radionuclide data. Only the radionuclides present in detected quantities were used in the heat load calculation. Table 5-11 displays the calculated heat load. As shown, the estimated heat load was 2,830 W or 9,660 Btu/hr. This value compares favorably with the 2,700 W estimated from the headspace temperature (Kummerer 1994) and is below the 40,000 Btu/hr threshold differentiating high-heat from low-heat tanks (Bergmann 1991). This value did not compare well with the HTCE estimate of 2,560 Btu/hr. Because an upper temperature limit is exhibited (see Section 2.4), it may be concluded that any heat generated from radioactive sources throughout the year is dissipated.

Applicable DQO or Test Plan	Applicable Core(s)	Primary Decision Variable	Decision Criteria Threshold	Number Outside Threshold ⁱ
Safety screening, Ferrocyanide	98, 99, 104	Total fuel content	-481 J/g	2
Ferrocyanide	98, 104	Percent water	(0.0223 * DSC exotherm [dry weight] - 10.7)	None
Safety screening	98, 99, 104	Percent water	17 weight percent	10
Safety screening	98, 99, 104	Total alpha	41 μ Ci/g (1 g/L) ²	None
Ferrocyanide	98, 104	Cyanide	39,000 μg/g	None
Ferrocyanide	98, 104	ТОС	30,000 µg/g	1

Notes:

¹This column lists the number of sample means outside the limit. Limit excursions for individual samples have been discussed in detail in the accompanying text.

²Although the actual decision criterion listed in the DQO is 1 g/L, total alpha is measured in μ Ci/g rather than g/L. To convert the notification limit for total alpha into a number more readily usable by the laboratory, it was assumed that all alpha decay originates from ²⁹Pu. Assuming a tank density of 1.5 (from the sampling and analysis plan) and using the specific activity of ²⁹Pu (0.0615 Ci/g), the decision criterion may be converted to 41 μ Ci/g as follows (Baldwin 1995c):

$$\left(\frac{1}{L}g\right)\left(\frac{1}{10^3}\frac{L}{mL}\right)\left(\frac{1}{density}\frac{mL}{g}\right)\left(\frac{0.0615}{1}\frac{Ci}{g}\right)\left(\frac{10^6}{1}\frac{\mu Ci}{Ci}\right) = \frac{61.5}{density}\frac{\mu Ci}{g}$$

Radionuclide	Microcuries/gram	Curies	Watts
¹³⁷ Cs	258	3.35E+05	1,580
²³⁸ Pu	0.00659	8.57	0.279
^{239/240} Pu	0.0459	59.7	1.82
⁹⁰ Sr	143	1.86E+05	1,250
Total		5.21E+05	2,830

Table 5-11. Tank 241-BY-108 Projected Heat Load.

5.5.2 Historical Evaluation

In addition to the safety screening DQO, core 99 was analyzed in accordance with the historical DQO (Simpson and McCain 1995). This DQO strives to quantify the errors associated with the tank waste composition predictions based on waste transaction history and waste type compositions. The DQO identifies key components or "fingerprint" analytes for certain waste types, including ferrocyanide waste. Tank 241-BY-108 was selected as a tank for historical evaluation because it is expected to contain a thick ferrocyanide waste layer (Agnew et al. 1995a). The first step in the evaluation is to compare the analytical results with DQO-defined concentration levels for the "fingerprint" analytes. This comparison ensures the predicted waste type is in the tank and at the predicted location within the waste matrix. If the analytical results are ≥ 10 percent of the DQO levels (ratio of 0.1), the waste type and layer identification are considered acceptable (Simpson and McCain 1995).

Because ferrocyanide waste is predicted to comprise approximately the bottom 67 inches of waste in the tank (Agnew et al. 1995a), the fourth segment of core 99 should be completely composed of this waste type. Table 5-12 compares the concentration levels for ferrocyanide waste from the historical DQO and the analytical results from segment 4. The analytical range from all subsegments of segment 4 have been reported. All analytes except bismuth had analytical results at least ten percent of the DQO-specified level.
Fingerprint Analyte	Analytical Result Range ¹	Historical DQO Concentration Level ²	Ratio
Bismuth	902 - 2,874 μg/g	25,000+ μg/g	0.036 - 0.11
Nickel	6,710 - 14,760 μg/g	$4,000 + \mu g/g$	1.7 - 3.7
Sodium	1.092E+05 - 1.283E+05 μg/g	60,000 - 1.50E+05 μg/g	1.8 - 2.1
¹³⁷ Cs	71.3 - 2,100 μCi/g	8+ μCi/g	8.9 - 263
90Sr	354 - 811 μCi/g	$4 + \mu Ci/g$	89 - 203
Percent water	25.5 - 42.3 %	28 - 81 %	0.91 - 1.5

Table 5-12. Comparison of Fingerprint Analytes with Analytical Results.

Notes:

¹Analytical result range for segment 4 including all subsegments is from Appendix A.

²Historical DQO concentration levels is from Simpson and McCain (1995).

6.0 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The waste in tank 241-BY-108 was core sampled using the rotary mode in July and August, 1995. Three DQOs and a test plan governed the sampling and analysis of cores 98 and 104: the Tank Safety Screening Data Quality Objective (Babad et al. 1995), the Data Requirements for the Ferrocyanide Safety Issue Developed through the Data Quality Objectives Process (Meacham et al. 1994), the Interim Data Quality Objectives for Waste Pretreatment and Vitrification (Kupfer et al. 1994), and the Test Plan for Samples from Hanford Waste Tanks 241-BY-103, BY-104, BY-105, BY-108, BY-110, TY-103, U-105, U-107, U-108, and U-109 (Meacham 1995). The two DQOs, which governed the sampling and analysis of core 99, were the safety screening DQO and the Historical Model Evaluation Data Requirements (Simpson and McCain 1995). Although not addressed by the SAP, the analyses required by the Data Quality Objective to Support Resolution of the Organic Complexant Safety Issue (Turner et al. 1995) were performed while meeting the requirements of the other DQOs and the test plan. In addition, an internal letter (Kristofzski 1995) directed the laboratories to perform all feasible analyses of the waste samples on an opportunistic basis, according to the work load in the laboratory. Sample analyses for cores 98 and 104 were performed at the Westinghouse Hanford Company 222-S Laboratory, and analyses for core 99 occurred at the 325 Analytical Chemistry Laboratory and the 325 High Level Radiochemistry Laboratory of the Pacific Northwest National Laboratory.

Analytical results show that the waste contains compounds in a few selected tank areas capable of exothermic reactions in excess of the 481 J/g notification limit in the safety screening DQO. Quarter segments 5B and 5C of core 104 exhibited average exothermic reactions (dry weight) of 573.0 and 528.3 J/g, respectively. In addition, two other samples had one result of a duplicate pair greater than the limit although the averages were below the DQO limit. The percent water result from all samples exhibiting high exothermic values were greater than 35 weight percent water.

Total alpha activities were approximately one-tenth of the safety screening limit. Total organic carbon and cyanide concentrations, required by Meacham et al. (1994), were well below their respective limits, with the exception of one TOC measurement that was slightly above the limit. Analytical nickel concentrations compare favorably with historical estimates and indicate that the ferrocyanide has substantially decomposed.

Comparisons were made between the DSC results and the energy equivalents of the TOC and cyanide results for those quarter segments which had exothermic reactions greater than the 481 J/g limit. For quarter segment 4B of core 98, 80 percent of the DSC result was accounted for by the TOC energy equivalent, while the cyanide energy equivalent accounted for another 7 percent. More unsatisfactory correlations were found for the other quarter segments. The reasons for the discrepancies cannot be fully explained.

The estimated tank heat load of 2,830 W was well below the 11,700 W limit that separates high-heat from low-heat load tanks. The highest LFL measured from the tank headspace was 5 percent, which is significantly lower than the 25 percent limit (WHC 1995a).

In summary, the analytical results from the 1995 rotary core sampling show that tank 241-BY-108 is safe when compared to the safety screening and ferrocyanide data quality objectives (Baldwin 1995b). Although some exothermic activity above the safety screening limit was observed, the fuel content estimate based on the TOC and cyanide results do not indicate excessive fuel sources are present. In addition, adequate moisture is present for samples exhibiting exothermic behavior, reducing the potential for reaction propagation. The tank heat load and head space flammability were both well below their limits.

7.0 REFERENCES

- Agnew, S. F., 1995, Hanford Defined Wastes: Chemical and Radionuclide Compositions, WHC-SD-WM-TI-632, Rev. 2, Westinghouse Hanford Company, Richland, Washington.
- Agnew, S. F., P. Baca, R. Corbin, K. Jurgensen, and B. Young, 1995a, Tank Layer Model for Northeast, Southwest, and Northwest Quadrants, LAUR-94-4269, Rev. 1, Los Alamos National Laboratory, Los Alamos, New Mexico.
- Agnew, S. F., P. Baca, R. Corbin, T. Duran, and K. Jurgensen, 1995b, Waste Status and Transaction Record Summary for the Northeast Quadrant, WHC-SD-WM-TI-615, Rev. 2, Westinghouse Hanford Company, Richland, Washington.
- Alstad, A. T., 1993, Riser Configuration Document for Single-Shell Waste Tanks, WHC-SD-RE-TI-053, Rev. 9, Westinghouse Hanford Company, Richland, Washington.
- Babad, H., J. W. Hunt, and K. S. Redus, 1995, Tank Safety Screening Data Quality Objective, WHC-SD-WM-SP-004, Rev. 1, Westinghouse Hanford Company, Richland, Washington.
- Babad, H., S. M. Blacker, and K. S. Redus, 1994, Data Quality Objective to Support Resolution of the Organic Fuel Rich Tank Safety Issue, WHC-SD-WM-DQO-006, Rev. 0, Westinghouse Hanford Company, Richland, Washington.
- Babad, H., R. J. Cash, J. E. Meacham, and B. C. Simpson, 1993, The Role of Aging in Resolving the Ferrocyanide Safety Issue, WHC-EP-0599, Westinghouse Hanford Company, Richland, Washington.
- Baldwin, J. H., 1995a, 60-Day Safety Screening and Ferrocyanide Results for Tank 241-BY-108, Rotary Samples, Core 98 and Core 104, WHC-SD-WM-DP-145, Rev. 0, Westinghouse Hanford Company, Richland, Washington.
- Baldwin, J. H., 1995b, Revision 1 Report for Tank 241-BY-108 Rotary Samples, Core 98 and Core 104, WHC-SD-WM-DP-145, Rev. 1, Westinghouse Hanford Company, Richland, Washington.
- Baldwin, J. H., 1995c, Tank 241-BY-108 Rotary Mode Core Sampling and Analysis Plan, WHC-SD-WM-TSAP-059, Rev. 0, Westinghouse Hanford Company, Richland, Washington.

- Bergman, L. M., 1991, Single-Shell Tank Isolation Safety Analysis Report, WHC-SD-WM-SAR-006, Rev. 2, Westinghouse Hanford Company, Richland, Washington.
- Borsheim, G. L., and B. C. Simpson, 1991, An Assessment of the Inventories of the Ferrocyanide Watch List Tanks, WHC-SD-WM-ER-133, Rev. 0, Westinghouse Hanford Company, Richland, Washington.
- Brevick, C. H., L. A. Gaddis, and W. W. Pickett, 1995, Historical Tank Content Estimate for the Northeast Quadrant of the Hanford 200 East Area, WHC-SD-WM-ER-349, Rev. 0A, Westinghouse Hanford Company, Richland, Washington.
- Brevick, C. H., L. A. Gaddis, and A. C. Walsh, 1994, Supporting Document for the Northeast Quadrant Historical Tank Contents Estimate Report for BY-Tank Farm, WHC-SD-WM-ER-312, Rev. 0, Westinghouse Hanford Company, Richland, Washington.
- Campbell, J. A., P. P. Bachelor, J. W. Ball, R. M. Bean, D. B. Bechtold, A. B. Denally, K. E. Bell, S. L. Fitzgerald, J. M. Frye, R. K. Fuller, G. Griffin, G. M. Mong, S. M. Parong, R. J. Ray, A. D. Rice, R. W. Schroeder, B. D. Valenzuela, K. L. Wahl, K. B. Wehner, and B. R. Wels, 1995a, Analysis of Samples from Hanford Waste Tanks 241-C-102, 241-BY-108, and 241-C-103, PNL-10531, Pacific Northwest Laboratory, Richland, Washington.
- Campbell, J. A., R. M. Bean, J. E. Meacham, G. M. Mong, D. A. Turner, and K. L. Wahl, 1995b, Test Plan for Single-Shell Tank Waste Samples (Tanks 241-C-102, 241-C-103, and 241-BY-108): Test Plan 4.7.1, Pacific Northwest Laboratory, Richland, Washington.
- DeLorenzo, D. J., A.T. DiCenso, D. B. Hiller, K. W. Johnson, J. H. Rutherford,
 B. C. Simpson, and D. J. Smith, 1994, Tank Characterization Reference Guide, WHC-SD-WM-TI-648, Rev. 0, Westinghouse Hanford Company, Richland, Washington.
- DOE, 1995, Hanford Analytical Services Quality Assurance Plan, DOE/RL-94-55, Rev. 2, U.S. Department of Energy, Richland, Washington.
- Ecology, EPA, and DOE, 1994, Hanford Federal Facility Agreement and Consent Order, as amended, Washington State Department of Ecology, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, and U.S. Department of Energy, Olympia, Washington.
- Hanlon, B. M., 1996, Waste Tank Summary Report for Month Ending October 31, 1995, WHC-EP-0182-91, Westinghouse Hanford Company, Richland, Washington.

- Huckaby, J. L. and D. R. Bratzel, 1995, Tank 241-BY-108 Headspace Gas and Vapor Characterization Results for Samples Collected in March 1994 and October 1994, WHC-SD-WM-ER-422, Rev. 2, UC-2070, Westinghouse Hanford Company, Richland, Washington.
- Jensen, L. and A. M. Liebetrau, 1988, Statistical Techniques for Characterizing Single-Shell Tank Wastes, WHC-SA-0348-FP, Westinghouse Hanford Company, Richland, Washington.
- Kristofzski, J. G., 1995, "Directions for Opportunistic Analyses", (Letter 75310-95-103 to J. H. Baldwin, September 13), Westinghouse Hanford Company, Richland, Washington.
- Kummerer, M. 1994, Topical Report on Heat Removal Characteristics of Waste Storage Tanks, WHC-SD-WM-SARR-010, Rev. 0, Westinghouse Hanford Company, Richland, Washington.
- Kupfer, M. J., J. M. Conner, R. A. Kirkbride, and J. R. Mobley, 1994, Interim Data Quality Objectives for Waste Pretreatment and Vitrification, WHC-SD-WM-DQO-001, Rev. 1, Westinghouse Hanford Company, Richland, Washington.
- Lilga, M. A., M. R. Lumetta, and G. F. Schiefelbein, 1993, Ferrocyanide Safety Project, Task 3 Ferrocyanide Aging Studies Fy 1993 Annual Report, PNL-8888, Pacific Northwest National Laboratory, Richland, Washington.
- Lilga, M. A., E. V. Alderson, D. J. Kowalski, M. R. Lumetta, and G. F. Schiefelbein, 1994, Ferrocyanide Safety Project Task 3 Ferrocyanide Aging Studies FY 1994 Annual Report, PNL-10126, Pacific Northwest National Laboratory, Richland, Washington.
- Lilga, M. A., E. V. Alderson, R. T. Hallen, M. O. Hogan, T. L. Hubler, G. L. Jones, D. J. Kowalski, M. R. Lumetta, G. F. Schiefelbein, and M. R. Telander, 1995, *Ferrocyanide Safety Project: Ferrocyanide Aging Studies - FY 1995 Annual Report*, PNL-10713, Pacific Northwest National Laboratory, Richland, Washington.
- Meacham, J. E., C. M. Anderson, H. Babad, J. W. Buck, R. J. Cash, G. T. Dukelow,
 B. A. Pulsipher, J. J. Toth, and P. J. Turner, 1994, Data Requirements for the Ferrocyanide Safety Issue Developed through the Data Quality Objectives Process, WHC-SD-WM-DQO-007, Rev. 0, Westinghouse Hanford Company, Richland, Washington.
- Meacham, J. E., 1995, Test Plan for Samples From Hanford Waste Tanks 241-BY-103, BY-104, BY-105, BY-106, BY-108, BY-110, TY-103, U-105, U-107, U-108, and U-109, WHC-SD-WM-TP-378, Rev. 0A, Westinghouse Hanford Company, Richland, Washington.

- Pool, K. H., and R. M. Bean, 1994, Analysis of Liquid Samples from Hanford Waste Tank 241-C-103, Waste Tank Organic Safety Project, PNL-9403, Pacific Northwest Labortaory, Richland, Washington.
- Postma, A. K., G. S. Barney, G. L. Borsheim, R. J. Cash, M. D. Crippen,
 D. R. Dickenson, J. M. Grigsby, D. W. Jeppson, M. Kummerer, J. M. McLaren,
 J. E. Meacham, C. S. Simmons, and B. C. Simpson, 1994, Ferrocyanide Safety
 Program: Safety Criteria for Ferrocyanide Watch List Tanks, WHC-EP-0691,
 Westinghouse Hanford Company, Richland, Washington.
- Silvers, K. L., L. R. Greenwood, R. T. Steele, J. M. Tingey, and M. W. Urie, 1995, Single-Shell Tank Waste Characterization Core 99, Tank BY-108, Rev. 1, Pacific Northwest Laboratory, Richland, Washington.
- Simpson, B. C., and D. J. McCain, 1995, Historical Model Evaluation Data Requirements, WHC-SD-WM-DQO-018, Rev. 0, Westinghouse Hanford Company, Richland, Washington.
- Turner, D. A., H. Babad, L. L. Buckley, and J. E. Meacham, 1995, Data Quality Objective to Support Resolution of the Organic Complexant Safety Issue, WHC-SD-WM-DQO-006, Rev. 2, Westinghouse Hanford Company, Richland, Washington.
- WHC, 1995a, "241-BY-108 Obtain Rotary-Mode Core Sample," Work Package Number ES-95-0045, Westinghouse Hanford Company, Richland, Washington.
- WHC, 1995b, Operating Specification for Watch List Tanks, OSD-T-151-00030, Rev/Mod. B-9, Westinghouse Hanford Company, Richland, Washington.
- WHC, 1995c, Tank Waste Remediation System Fiscal Year 1996 Multi-Year Program Plan, W.B.S. 1.1, WHC-SP-1101, Rev. 1a, Westinghouse Hanford Company, Richland, Washington.

· · · ...

APPENDIX A

ANALYTICAL RESULTS FROM 1995 CORE SAMPLING

This page intentionally left blank.

A.1 INTRODUCTION

Appendix A shows the chemical and radiological characteristics of tank 241-BY-108 in table form and in terms of the specific concentrations of metals, ions, radionuclides, total carbon, physical properties, and organic compounds.

The data table for each analyte lists the following: laboratory sample identification, sample origin (core/segment/subsegment), an original and duplicate result for each sample, a sample mean, a mean for the tank in which all cores and segments are weighted equally, a relative standard deviation, and a projected tank inventory for the particular analyte using the weighted mean and the appropriate conversion factors. The projected tank inventory column is not applicable for percent water data. The data are listed in standard notation for values greater than 0.001 and less than 100,000. Values outside these limits are listed in scientific notation.

The tables are numbered A-1 through A-76. A description of the units and symbols used in the analyte tables and the references used in compiling the analytical data are found in the List of Terms and Section 7.0.

A.2 ANALYTE TABLE DESCRIPTION

The Sample Number column lists the laboratory sample for which the analyte was measured. For information on sampling rationale, locations, and descriptions of sampling events, see Section 3.0.

Column two describes the core and segment from which each sample was derived. The first number listed is the core number. It is followed by a colon and the segment number.

Column three contains the name of the segment portion from which the sample was taken. This can be the entire segment (whole); drainable liquid (DL); or A, B, C, or D representing the top, second, third, or bottom segment portion, respectively. Some segment portions are repeated in the analyte tables, and the second entry is followed by an asterisk. The asterisk (*) identifies samples from core 99 that were analyzed for metals on a sodium peroxide-sodium hydroxide fusion. The asterisk indicates Na₂O₂ - NaOH fusion.

The Result and Duplicate columns are self-explanatory. The Mean column is the average of the result and duplicate values. All values, including those below the detection level (indicating the less-than symbol, <), were averaged. If both sample values were nondetected, the mean is expressed as a nondetected value. Values for the organic compounds were entered as U if they were nondetected, and a value of 0 was used when calculating means.

The result and duplicate values were originally reported to higher significant figures than shown in the tables. The means were calculated by the laboratory, in a consistent manner, using these original data. The means may appear to have been rounded up in some cases and rounded down in others. However, this is because the analytical results are shown in the tables to only three significant figures, not because the means were incorrectly calculated.

Analytical values for drainable liquids, reported by the laboratory in $\mu g/mL$, were converted to units of $\mu g/g$ for calculating the overall means for those analytes. A density value of 1.43 g/mL was used for the conversion.

Some of the 10X dilution values for sodium were above the range of the IC method used for determining anion concentrations; therefore, the 50X dilution values were used for this report.

The tank (or analyte concentration) means for the waste in BY-108 were calculated as follows:

Segment mean: The arithmetic mean within a core was calculated by averaging the subsegment multiple analyses results.

Core mean: For each core, the core mean was calculated by averaging the segment means.

Riser mean: For each riser, the riser mean was calculated by averaging the core means associated with the riser.

Tank mean: The tank (or analyte concentration) mean was calculated by averaging the riser means. This is referred to as the overall mean in Appendix A.

The relative standard deviation (RSD) of the mean (in percent) is 100 times the standard deviation of the mean divided by the tank mean. This is referred to as RSD (mean) in the Appendix A tables. Relative standard deviations of the mean were not computed for analytes that had at least 50 percent nondetected values or U values. The standard deviation of the mean was estimated using a hierarchical statistical model fit to the data (Jensen and Liebetrau 1988), and used all data available for a given analyte.

The projected inventory is the product of the tank (or analyte concentration) mean, the volume of tank waste (863 kL), the specific gravity of the waste, and the appropriate conversion factors.

The four quality control (QC) parameters assessed on the tank 241-BY-108 samples were standards, spikes, duplicates, and blanks. The QC results for cores 98, 99, and 104 were summarized in Section 5.1.2. More specific information is provided with each of the following appendix tables. Sample and duplicate pairs in which any of the QC parameters were outside their specified limits are footnoted in column 6 with a 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, or 6 as follows:

- "1" indicates that the standard recovery was below the QC limit.
- "2" indicates that the standard recovery was above the QC limit.
- "3" indicates that the spike recovery was below the QC limit.
- "4" indicates that the spike recovery was above the QC limit.
- "5" indicates that the RPD was outside the QC limits.
- "6" indicates that there was some blank contamination.

The QC criteria specified in the SAP (Baldwin 1995c) were different for core 99 than for cores 98 and 104, and are summarized below:

- Core 99:

90-110 percent recovery for standards and matrix spikes, \pm 10 percent for RPDs, and blanks \leq 5 percent of the analyte concentration.

- Cores 98 and 104:

90-110 percent recovery for standards and matrix spikes, \pm 10 percent for RPDs, and blanks \leq 5 percent of the analyte concentration for all IC and ICP analytes (sludge and drainable liquid samples), and for DSC and TGA for the sludge samples only.

80-120 percent recovery for standards and matrix spikes, \pm 20 percent for RPDs, and blanks \leq 5 percent of the analyte concentration for TOC, TIC, TC, total alpha, and the organic screen analytes (sludge and drainable liquid samples), and for DSC and TGA for the drainable liquid samples only. This page intentionally left blank.

Sample	Core:	Sub-	Result	Duplicate	Mean	Overall Mean	RSD (mean)	Projected Inventory
I WILLIPER	wegupent.	schnem	pg/g	#g/g	₽ ₽ /g	# g/g	%	kg
7941	99:1	Whole	1.357E+05	1.318E+05	1.338E+05 ³	39,800	29.8	51,700
		Whole*	1.044E+05	1.354E+05	1.199E+05 ^{3,5}			
7942	99:2	A	8,327	10,450	9,3895	-		l.
7932		DL	35,450 μg/mL	34,930 μg/mL	35,190 μg/mL (24,610 μg/g) ⁴			
7943		D	70,940	67,090	69,020 ³	7		
		D*	60,890		60,890	-		
7944	99:3	A	37,340	32,120	34,730 ^{5,3}			
		A*	25,700		25,700			
7935		DL	37,440 μg/mL	37,430 μg/mL	37,440 μg/mL (26,180 μg/g)			
7945	99:4	A	14,620	14,340	14,480	-		
		A*	15,480		15,480	-		
7946		В	13,070	10,380	11,730 ^{5,6}			
		B*	11,190		11,190			
7947	1	C	7,155	7,158	7,157	-		
		C*	7,430		7,430	-1		
7948	İ	D	8,608	8,725	8,667	-1		
		D*	8,166		8,166			
2161	104:1	Whole	23,100	14,600	18,9005			

Table A-1. Tank 241-BY-108 Analytical Results: Aluminum (2 sheets).

WHC-SD-WM-ER-533 Rev. 0

H

۰ ،

٩

Table A-1. Tank 241-BY-108 Analytical Results: Aluminum (2 sheets).	Core: Sub- Result Duplicate Mean Overall RSD Projected Mean (mean) Inventory	31 % 5/31 3/31 3/31 3/31	04:2 A 34,600 55,800 45,200 ⁵ Cont'd Cont'd Cont'd	B 42,700 41,700 42,200	C 36,800 32,400 34,600 ⁵)4:3 A 29,700 29,400 29,600 ⁴	C 52,900 54,200 53,600	D 31,100 27,900 29,500 ⁵)4:4 A 11,800 11,300 11,600 ⁵	C 25,200 25,800 25,500	D 27,400 26,300 26,800	M:5 A 23,600 22,600 23,100	B 24,900 24,600 24,700	C 24,200 24,300 24,300	D 21.000 22.300 21.600
	Core:		4:2 A	B	U	4:3 A	ບ	Ω	4:4 A	ပ	Ω	4:5 A	B	ပ	Δ
	Sample C Number See		2162 104	2163	2164	2165 104	2166	2167	2168 104	2169	2170	2171 104	2172	2174	2175

*Na₂O₂ - NaOH fusion

Note:

WHC-SD-WM-ER-533 Rev. 0

A-8

		Tat	ole A-2. Tank 241-B	Y-108 Analytical Re-	sults: Antimony (2 s	sheets).		
Sample	Core:	Sub-	Result	Duplicate	Mean	Overall Mean	RSD (mean)	Projected Inventory
ISANINA			8/8#	P.8'8	8/3#	3/3 4	8	kg
7941	99:1	Whole	129	106	1185	< 186	N/A	< 242
		Whole*	< 75.6	111	93.3			
7942	99:2	۲	91	< 90.17	16			
7932	•	DL	< 6.25 µg/mL	< 6.25 µg/mL	< 6.25 μg/mL (< 4.37 μg/g)			
7943		D	< 29.75	< 30.65	< 30.20			
		D*	58		58	-		
7944	99:3	V	< 82.50	< 70.45	< 76.48			
		٨*	< 75.3		< 75.3			
7935		DL	< 6.25 µg/mL	< 6.25 µg/mL	< 6.25 μg/mL (< 4.37 μg/g)			
7945	99:4	۲	< 92.85	106	99.4			
		٨*	< 96.34		< 96.35			
7946		B	135	148	142			
		B*	< 92.85		< 92.85			
7947	•	с С	107	84	96			
		t U	< 95.6		< 95.6	y		
7948	,	۵	102	< 114.2	108			
		D*	< 90.83	-	< 90.83			
2161	104:1	Whole	< 199.6	< 196.4	< 198.0 ¹	-		

_

							_		· · · · ·						
	Projected Inventory	kg	Cont'd												
	RSD (mean)	8	Cont'd												
shæts).	Overall Mean	3/34	Cont'd				_		_						
sults: Antimony (2	Mean	F 8/8	< 270.7 ^{1,3}	< 285.9 ¹	< 208.4 ¹	< 64.4 ^{1,3}	< 62.4 ¹	< 99.0'	< 89.6	< 117	< 56.5	< 247.2	208.0	< 496.7	< 297.4
Y-108 Analytical Re-	Duplicate	8/2 4	< 271.0	< 300.0	< 205.0	< 64.1	< 61.0	< 105	< 89.6	< 117	< 56.5	< 246.1	210.7	< 518.6	< 296.8
le A-2. Tank 241-B	Result	3/31	< 270.4	< 271.8	< 211.4	< 64.7	< 63.7	< 92.9	< 89.6	< 117	< 56.5	< 248.3	< 205.3	< 474.8	< 298.0
Tab	Sub- Sement		۸	В	C	۲	C	D	۲	с U	D	A	В	c	D
	Corre: Segment		104:2	r		104:3			104:4			104:5			
	Sample Number		2162	2163	2164	2165	2166	2167	2168	2169	2170	2171	2172	2174	2175

A-10

•

			adie A-3. Iank 241-	-BY-108 Analytical I	cesults: Arsenic (2 s	heets).		
Sample Number	Core: Segment	Sub- seement	Result	Duplicate	Mean	Overall Mean	RSD (mean)	Projected Inventory
			FS/B	3/81	8,8x	8/3#	8	kg
7941	1:66	Whole	282	222	252	< 116	N/A	< 151
		Whole*	167	256	2125	•		
7942	99:2	A	< 138.9	< 144.3	< 141.6			
7932		DL	30 µg/mL	32 μg/mL	31 μg/mL (22 μg/g)			
7943		D	83	73	78			
		D*	112	-	112	•		
7944	99:3	V	< 132.0	< 112.7	< 122.4			
		A*	< 120.5		< 120.5			
7935		DL	34 μg/mL	32 µg/mL	33 μg/mL (23 μg/g)			
7945	99:4	A	< 148.6	< 136.5	< 142.6			
		A*	< 154.1		< 154.1	.		
7946		В	220	214	217	.		
		B*	< 148.6	1	< 148.6	•		
7947	-	с С	< 146.9	115	131			
		ະ ບ	< 153.0		< 153.0			
7948		D	< 161.9	< 182.6	< 172.3°			
		D*	< 145.3		< 145.3			
2161	104:1	Whole	< 49.90	< 49.10	< 49.50			

A-11

		Ĩ	able A-3. Tank 241-	BY-108 Analytical F	tesults: Arsenic (2 sl	heets).		
Sample Number	Core: Segment	Sub- seement	Result	Duplicate	Mean	Overall Mean	RSD (mean)	Projected Inventory
			#2/ 5	2/34	#8/8	3 /34	8	kg
2162	104:2	V	< 67.60	< 67.75	< 67.68 ¹	Cont'd	Cont'd	Cont'd
2163		B	< 67.95	< 75.00	< 71.48 ¹			
2164		с С	< 52.85	< 51.25	< 52.05 ¹			
2165	104:3	۲	< 108	< 107	< 108			
2166	•	c	< 106	< 102	< 104			
2167		D	< 155	< 175	< 165			
2168	104:4	V	< 149	< 149	< 149			
2169		C	< 196	< 196	< 196			
2170		D	< 94.1	< 94.1	< 94.1			
2171	104:5	V	< 62.07	< 61.52	< 61.80			
2172		В	< 51.33	< 48.17	< 49.75			
2174		c	< 118.7	< 129.7	< 124.2			
2175		D	< 74.5	< 74.2	< 74.4			

Sample	Contei	Sub-	Result	Dupikate	Mean	Overall	RSD (mean)	Projected
Number	Segment	segment						6 uning Ant
			PS/S	98/8	FE/G	HE/E	R	kg
7941	99:1	Whole	383	363	3736	250	70.2	325
		Whole*	694	1,038	866 ^{5,6}	1		
7942	99:2	V	598	149	374 ^{5,6}	T		
7932		DL	43 μg/mL	40 µg/mL	42 μg/mL (29 μg/g) ⁶	1		
7943		D	63	64	646	r		
		D*	147		1476	T		
7944	99:3	V	1,088	415	7525.6			
		٨*	635		635°	1		
7935		DL	44 µg/mL	45 μg/mL	45 μg/mL (31 μg/g) ⁶	τ		
7945	99:4	A	293	390	3425.6	-		
		A*	404	-	404	<u> </u>		
7946		B	460	405	4335,6	<u>,</u>		
		B*	433	1	433			
7947		C	828	543	686°	1		
		C*	254		254			
7948		D	1,119	456	7885,6	1	. 	
		D*	397		397	.		
2161	104:1	Whole	< 49.9	56.7	53.3 ^{2,4}	— —		

ε è Table A-4. Tank 241-BY-108 Analytical Results:

Sample	Core:	Sub-	Result	Duplicate	Mean	Overall Mean	RSD (mean)	Projected Inventory
Nuniber	ocganeau .	segment	pg/g	#8/8	re/e	₽ ^j g4	%	kg
2162	104:2	A	< 67.60	< 67.75	<67.68 ²	Cont'd	Cont'd	Cont'd
2163		В	< 67.95	< 75.00	< 71.48 ²			
2164		С	< 52.85	< 51.25	< 52.05 ²			
2165	104:3	A	< 53.1	< 53.4	< 53.3 ²			
2166		С	< 53.1	< 50.8	< 52.0 ²			
2167		D	< 77.3	< 87.6	< 82.5 ²			
2168	104:4	A	< 74.7	< 74.7	< 74.7 ^{2,4}			
2169		С	< 97.9	< 97.9	< 97.9 ²			
2170		D	< 47.0	< 47.0	< 47.0 ²			
2171	104:5	A	< 62.07	< 61.52	< 61.80 ²			
2172		В	163.3	163.4	163.4 ²			
2174		С	< 118.7	< 129.7	< 124.2 ²			
2175		D	< 74.5	< 74.2	< 74.4 ²			

.

Table A-4. Tank 241-BY-108 Analytical Results: Boron (2 sheets).

Sample	Core:	Sub-	Result	Duplicate	Mcan	Overall Mean	RSD (mean)	Projected Inventory
			3/3 4	8/8d	8/8#	#B/B#	8	kg Kg
7941	99:1	Whole	24	< 19.49	22	124	38.4	161
		Whole*	15	23	195			
7942	99:2	A	< 17.36	< 18.03	< 17.70	.		
7932		DL	< 1.25 μg/mL	< 1.25 µg/mL	 < 1.25 μg/mL (< 0.874 μg/g) 			
7943		D	< 5.949	< 6.129	< 6.039	-	_	
		D*	13		13	•		
7944	99:3	V	< 16.50	16	16	.		
		٨*	17		17	T		
7935		DL	< 1.25 µg/mL	< 1.25 µg/mL	 < 1.25 μg/mL (< 0.874 μg/g) 	1		
7945	99:4	A	257	262	260	<u>r</u>		
		٨*	285		285	T		
7946		В	619	445	5325	r		
_		B*	556		556	.		
7947		U	87	90	89	,		
		ť	66		66	1		
7948		۵	117	120	119	1		
		D*	115	-	115	1	_	
2161	104:1	Whole	< 49.90	< 49.10	< 49.50	r		

	Projected Iaventory	kg	Cont'd												
	RSD (mean)	8	Cont'd												
heets).	Overall Mean	PC / G	Cont'd												
cesults: Barium (2 s	Mean	8/24	333.4 ⁵	334.9	137.3	77.75	261.5	98.8	< 74.7	< 97.9	< 47.0	< 61.80	405.5	598.8	256.1
BY-108 Analytical R	Duplicate	8/84	409.7	329.5	130.8	80.40	265.0	< 87.6	< 74.7	< 97.9	< 47.0	< 61.52	404.9	598.1	263.3
able A-5. Tank 241-	Result	8/8d	257.1	340.3	143.9	75.10	258.0	110	< 74.7	< 97.9	< 47.0	< 62.07	406.2	599.5	249.0
Ľ	Sub- Sub-		A	B	c	V	C	D	V	C	D	۲	в	с U	D
	Core:		104:2			104:3			104:4			104:5			
	Sample Number		2162	2163	2164	2165	2166	2167	2168	2169	2170	2171	2172	2174	2175

	Result	Duplicate	Mean	Overall Mean	RSD (mean)	Projected Inventory
	P.2/8	2/34	8,31	8/34	8	kg
	< 9.950	< 9.747	< 9.848 ¹	< 6.73	N/A	< 8.75
*	< 7.559	< 10.57	< 9.065	-		
	< 8.680	< 9.015	< 8.848 ¹			
	< 0.625 μg/mL	< 0.625 µg/mL	 < 0.625 μg/mL (< 0.437 μg/g) 	.		
	< 2.975	< 3.065	< 3.020 ¹			
	< 4.433		< 4.433			
	< 8.250	< 7.043	< 7.647 ¹			
1	< 7.530		< 7.530			
	< 0.625 μg/mL	< 0.625 µg/mL	 < 0.625 μg/mL (< 0.437 μg/g) 	T		
1	< 9.285	< 8.533	< 8.909 ¹	- -		
 	< 9.634	1	< 9.634			
	< 9.980	< 10.08	< 10.03 ¹			
	< 9.285	1	< 9.285	-		
	< 9.183	< 6.920	< 8.052 ¹	-		
	< 9.560		< 9.560			
	< 10.12	< 11.42	< 10.77 ¹			
	< 9.083		< 9.083	.		
	< 4.990	< 4.910	< 4.950	-		

A-17

Beryllium (2 sheets).	Mean Overall RSD Projected Mean (mean) Inventory	#8/5 #8/8 % kg	68 Cont'd Cont'd Cont'd	48	05	3	0	5	7	8	0	80	76	42	4
BY-108 Analytical Results:	Duplicate	#8/8	< 6.775 < 6	< 7.500 < 7	< 5.125 < 5	< 5.34 < 5	< 5.08 < 5	< 8.76 < 8	< 7.47 < 7	< 9.78 < 9	< 4.70 < 4	< 6.152 < 6	< 4.817 < 4	< 12.97 < 1	< 7.420 < 7
able A-6. Tank 241-BY	Result	8/84	< 6.760	< 6.795	< 5.285	< 5.31	< 5.31	< 7.73	< 7.47 <	< 9.78	< 4.70	< 6.207 <	< 5.134 <	< 11.87 <	< 7.450 <
Τî	Sub-		۷	В	с С	۲	C	D	V	J	D	۲	В	C	D
	Core:		104:2			104:3		T	104:4			104:5			
	Sample		2162	2163	2164	2165	2166	2167	2168	2169	2170	2171	2172	2174	2175

Sample	Core:	Sub-	Result	Duplicate	Mean	Overall Mean	RSD (mean)	Projected Inventory
Number	Segment	segment	Rg/g	PB / B	#8/8	RS/B	8	kg
7941	99:1	Whole	318	< 194.9	256	< 495	N/A	< 644
		Whole*	< 151.2	< 211.4	< 181.3	.		
7942	99:2	V	< 173.6	< 180.3	< 177.0	T		
7932		DL	< 12.5 μg/mL	< 12.5 µg/mL	< 12.5 μg/mL (< 8.74 μg/g)	F		
7943		D	< 59.49	< 61.29	< 60.39	1		
		D*	< 88.65		< 88.65	r		
7944	99:3	×	< 165.0	< 140.9	< 153.0	1		
		۸*	< 150.6		< 150.6	T		
7935		DL	< 12.5 µg/mL	< 12.5 µg/mL	< 12.5 μg/mL (< 8.74 μg/g)	F		
7945	99:4	V	1,279	1,369	1,324	,		
		A*	1,378		1,378	1		
7946	•	В	3,245	2,502	2,874 ^{5,6}	1		
		B*	2,823	1	2,823	<u>1</u>		
7947	•	C	878	926	902	r		
		ť	933		933	1		
7948	y	D	1,123	1,174	1,1496	1		
		D*	1,075	1	1,075	1		
2161	104:1	Whole	< 99.80	< 98.20	< 99.00'	T		

WHC-SD-WM-ER-533 Rev. 0

=

Sample	Core:	Sub-	Result	Duplicate	Mean	Overall Mean	RSD (mean)	Projected Inventory
INUMADER	ereguneur.	segment	₽g/e	#8/8	pe/e	AB/g	%	kg
2162	104:2	A	< 135.2	< 135.5	< 135.4 ¹	Cont'd	Cont'd	Cont'd
2163		В	< 135.9	< 150.0	< 143.0 ¹			
2164		С	< 105.7	< 102.5	< 104.11			
2165	104:3	Α	< 108	< 107	< 108			
2166		С	< 106	< 102	< 104			
2167		D	< 155	< 175	< 165			
2168	104:4	A	< 149	< 149	< 149			
2169		С	< 196	< 196	< 196			
2170		D	< 94.1	< 94.1	< 94.1			
2171	104:5	Α	< 124.1	< 123.0	< 123.6			{
2172		В	765.6	764.7	765.2	-		
2174		С	2,170	1,800	1,980 ^{3,5}			Į
2175		D	1,720	1,770	1,740			

Table A-7. Tank 241-BY-108 Analytical Results: Bismuth (2 sheets).

Sample Number	Care: Segment	· Sub-	Result	Duplicate	Mean	Overall Mean	RSD (mean)	Projected Inventory
		•	Rg/g	2/3#	8/3#	FE/ 8	8	kg
7941	1:66	Whole	< 29.85	< 29.24	< 29.54	< 16.3	N/A	< 21.2
		Whole*	< 22.68	< 31.71	< 27.20	-		
7942	99:2	V	< 26.04	< 27.05	< 26.55			
7932		DL	< 1.875 µg/mL	< 1.875 µg/mL	 < 1.875 μg/mL (< 1.311 μg/g) 			
7943		D	< 8.924	< 9.194	< 9.059			
_	•	D*	< 13.30		< 13.30			
7944	99:3	<	< 24.75	< 21.13	< 22.94	---		
		٨*	< 22.59		< 22.59			
7935		DL	< 1.875 µg/mL	< 1.875 µg/mL	 < 1.875 μg/mL (< 1.311 μg/g) 			
7945	99:4	۲	< 27.86	< 25.60	< 26.73			
		٨*	< 28.90		< 28.90	Ŧ		
7946		В	57	76	وړ _ک			
		B*	< 27.86		< 27.86	- -		
7947		ບ	< 27.55	< 20.76	< 24.16	.		
		ť	< 28.68		< 28.68	- -		J
7948		D	< 30.36	< 34.25	< 32.31	-		
		D*	< 27.25	1	< 27.25			
2161	104:1	Whole	< 9.980	< 9.820	< 9.900 ¹			

Table A-8. Tank 241-BY-108 Analytical Results: Cadmium (2 sheets).

		Tal	ble A-8. Tank 241-B	Y-108 Analytical Re	sults: Cadmium (2 :	sheets).		
Sample	Core:	Sub-	Result	Duplicate	Mean	Overall Mean	RSD (mean)	Projected Inventory
IN MANUAL			pg/g	F8/8	8/3#	#2/8	8	kg
2162	104:2	A	< 13.52	< 13.55	< 13.54 ¹	Cont'd	Cont'd	Cont'd
2163		B	< 13.59	< 15.00	< 14.30 ¹			
2164		J	< 10.57	< 10.25	< 10.41 ¹			
2165	104:3	۲	< 5.31	< 5.34	< 5.33			
2166		C	< 5.31	< 5.08	< 5.20			
2167		D	< 7.73	< 8.76	< 8.25			
2168	104:4	<	< 7.47	< 7.47	< 7.47			
2169		С	< 9.78	< 9.78	< 9.78			
2170		D	< 4.70	< 4.70	< 4.70			
2171	104:5	<	< 12.41	< 12.30	< 12.35			
2172		B	< 10.27	< 9.634	< 9.952			
2174		C	< 23.74	< 25.93	< 24.84			
2175		D	< 14.90	< 14.84	< 14.87			

Sample fumber	Core: Segment	Sub-	Result	Duplicate	Mean	Overall Mean	RSD (mean)	Projected Inventory
			Pg/g	8/8#	8/84	AE/G	8	kg
941	1:66	Whole	739	437	5885,6	3,370	42.6	4,380
		Whole*	3,071	3,978	3,525 ^{5,6}	1		
942	99:2	۲	1,925	2,164	2,045 ^{5,6}	T		
932		DL	< 6.25 µg/mL	< 6.25 µg/mL	 < 6.25 μg/mL (< 4.37 μg/g) 	-		
943		D	855	888	8726	-1		
		D*	1,759	-	1,7596	- -		
944	99:3	<	1,132	1,035	1,084	_		
		A*	3,257	1	3,257			
935		DL	< 6.25 µg/mL	< 6.25 µg/mL	 < 6.25 μg/mL (< 4.37 μg/g) 	T		
945	99:4	V	11,280	11,410	11,350			
		A*	16,030		16,030			
946		В	11,870	8,471	10,1705.6			
		B*	15,460	1	15,460			
947		c	15,620	15,520	15,570	_		
		C*	20,640 .		20,640	a		
948		D	7,973	8,038	8,010	.		
		D*	11,460		11,460	-		
161	104:1	Whole	292.4	249.5	271.02.4.5	-		

Table A-9. Tank 241-BY-108 Analytical Results: Calcium (2 sheets).

WHC-SD-WM-ER-533 Rev. 0

. ..

	Projecte Inventoi	kg	Cont'd									_			
	RSD (nean)	8	Cont'd	····											
heets).	Overall Mean	5/34	Cont'd												
esults: Calcium (2 s	Mean	9/3#	3,530 ⁵	2,780	1,610	1,080 ^{2,4}	3,030 ²	1,600 ^{2,5}	157.55	381.0	98.35	432.84	5,770	6,200	16,900
BY-108 Analytical R	Duplicate	2/84	4,420	2,740	1,510	1,120	3,060	1,470	128.0	378.0	97.10	415.0	5,810	6,050	17,400
ble A-9. Tank 241-	Result	8/81	2,640	2,830	1,700	1,040	3,000	1,730	187.0	384.0	09.60	450.5	5,740	6,360	16,400
Ta	Sub- sement		A	В	c	V	c	D	V	c	D	V	в	С	D
•	Core:		104:2			104:3			104:4			104:5			
•	Sample		2162	2163	2164	2165	2166	2167	2168	2169	2170	2171	2172	2174	2175

Sample	Core:	Sub-	Result	Duplicate	Mcan	Overall Mean	RSD (mean)	Projected Inventory
	neginem -	Segurate	F8 /8	5/84	5/3¥	F2/3	8	kg
7941	99:1	Whole	< 199.0	< 194.9	< 197.0	< 123	N/A	< 160
		Whole*	< 151.2	< 211.4	< 181.3	T		
7942	99:2	A	< 173.6	< 180.3	< 177.0	T		
7932		DL	< 12.5 µg/mL	< 12.5 μg/mL	 < 12.5 μg/mL (< 8.74 μg/g) 	ł		
7943		D	< 59.49	< 61.29	< 60.39	1		
		D*	< 88.65		< 88.65			
7944	99:3	V	< 165.0	< 140.9	< 153.0			
		۸*	< 150.6	1	< 150.6			
7935		DL	< 12.5 µg/mL	< 12.5 µg/mL	 < 12.5 μg/mL (< 8.74 μg/g) 	r		
7945	99:4	۲	< 185.7	214	200			
		A*	< 192.7	-	< 192.7			
7946		В	< 199.6	< 201.6	< 200.6	•		
Ĭ		B*	< 185.7		< 185.7			
7947		с С	202	188	195	.		
		ť	< 191.2		< 191.2	T		
7948		D	< 202.4	< 228.3	< 215.4	T		
		D*	< 181.7	-	< 181.7	•		
2161	104:1	Whole	< 99.80	< 98.20	< 99.00			

Table A-10. Tank 241-BY-108 Analytical Results: Cerium (2 sheets).

Sample	Core:	Sub-	Result	Duplicate	Mean	Overall Mean	RSD (mean)	Projected Inventory
Number	ocganem	segment	pg/g	8 ¹ 84	#E/E	8 /8	%	kg
2162	104:2	A	< 135.2	< 135.5	< 135.4	Cont'd	Cont'd	Cont'd
2163		В	< 135.9	< 150.0	< 143.0			
2164		С	< 105.7	< 102.5	< 104.1			
2165	104:3	A	< 108	< 107	< 108			
2166		С	< 106	< 102	< 104			
2167		D	< 155	< 175	< 165			
2168	104:4	A	< 149	< 149	< 149			
2169		С	< 196	< 196	< 196		ł	
2170		D	< 94.1	< 94.1	< 94.1			
2171	104:5	A	< 124.15	< 123.04	< 124.0			
2172		В	445.5	446.3	445.9	-		
2174	;	С	< 237.4	< 259.3	< 248.5			
2175		D	< 149.0	< 148.4	< 148.7	-1		

Table A-10. Tank 241-BY-108 Analytical Results: Cerium (2 sheets).

		lab	le A-11. Tank 241-1	3Y-108 Analytical R	esults: Chromium (2	2 sheets).		
Sample Number	Core: Segment	Sub- segment	Result	Duplicate	Mean	Overall Mean	RSD (mean)	Projected Inventory
			Rg/g	F8/8	8/84	8/84	8	kg
7941	99:1	Whole	146	152	149	255	34.7	332
		Whole*	127	169	1482,6			
7942	99:2	V	72	122	<u>675,6</u>			
7932		DL	280 μg/mL	277 μg/mL	279 μg/mL (195 μg/g)	T		
7943		D	177	173	1756			
		D*	176		1766	-		
7944	99:3	V	164	178	1716			-
		۸*	154	8	1546	-		
7935		DL	294 μg/mL	291 µg/mL	293 μg/mL (205 μg/g)			
7945	99:4	۲	613	545	5795	-		
		۸*	619		619°	.		
7946		В	567	398	4835,6			
		B*	479		4796			
7947		C	90	62	85 ⁵	•		
		ť	67		246	.		
7948		D	103	119	1116			
		D*	109		10%	.		
2161	104:1	Whole	93.10	92.76	92.93	.		
1								

08 Analytical Results: Chromium (2 sheets).	Dupikate Mean Overall RSD Projected Mean (mean) Inventory	A2/2 A2/2 A2/2 A2/2 A2	.6 140.1 ⁵ Cont'd Cont'd Cont'd	.2 126.4 ⁵	.0 127.7	.0 142.04	.0 162.5	.0 254.5 ⁵	.0 105.0	.0 219.0	.0 201.5	.5 393.3	50 2,070	0 1,150	3 225.3
le A-11. Tank 241-BY-108	Result D	F g/g	114.5 165.6	129.6 123.2	138.4 117.0	146.0 138.0	162.0 163.0	238.0 271.0	106.0 104.0	216.0 222.0	201.0 202.0	403.0 383.5	2,080 2,060	1,200 1,100	217.3 233.3
Tab	Core: Sub-		04:2 A	B	С	04:3 A	C	D	04:4 A	C	۵	04:5 A	B	J	D
	Sample		2162 1	2163	2164	2165 1	2166	2167	2168 1	2169	2170	2171 1	2172	2174	2175

		1	adie A-12. Tank 241	-BY-IUS Analytical	Results: Cobalt (2 s	heets).		
Sample	Core:	Sub-	Result	Duplicate	Mean	Orerall Mean	RSD (mean)	Projected Inventory
			8/8 %	2/81	8/24	3/3×	8	R.
7941	99:1	Whole	58	45	525,6	34.2	22.7	44.5
		Whole*	20	35	285			
7942	99:2	A	44	42	435			
7932		DL	9 μg/mL	9 μg/mL	9 μg/mL (6 μg/g)			
7943		D	27	31	295.6			
- 12		D*	19		19			
7944	99:3	<	63	50	575.6			
<u>.</u>		۰.	22	1	22			
7935		DL	9 μg/mL	9 μg/mL	9 μg/mL (6 μg/g)			
7945	99:4	۲	81	71	763.6			
		۸*	41		41	·		
7946		В	93	89	916	•		
		B*	38	-	38			
7947	F	C	96	74	85 ^{5,6}			
		ť	34		34			
7948		D	94	129	1125,6		•	
		P*	37	1	37			
2161	104:1	Whole	< 19.96	< 19.64	< 19.80	*	 _	

WHC-SD-WM-ER-533 Rev. 0

=
		Т	able A-12. Tank 241	I-BY-108 Analytical	Results: Cobalt (2 sł	heets).		
Sample Number	Core: Serment	Sub- sement	Result	Duplicate	Mean	Overall Mean	RSD (mean)	Projected Inventory
	•	5	RS/8	P8/2	F8/8	3/34	8	kg
2162	104:2	۲	< 27.04	< 27.10	< 27.07 ¹	Cont'd	Cont'd	Cont'd
2163		B	< 27.18	< 30.00	< 28.59 ¹			
2164		С	< 21.14	< 20.50	< 20.82 ¹			
2165	104:3	V	< 21.6	< 21.4	< 21.5			
2166		c	< 21.2	< 20.3	< 20.8			
2167		D	< 30.9	< 35.0	< 33.0			
2168	104:4	A	< 29.9	< 29.9	< 29.9			
2169		c	< 39.1	< 39.1	< 39.1			
2170		D	< 18.8	< 18.8	< 18.8			
2171	104:5	V	29.44	31.53	30.48			
2172		B	27.44	< 19.27	23.40			
2174		С	< 47.48	< 51.86	< 49.67			
2175		D	< 29.80	< 29.70	< 29.74			

		12	1016 A-13. 1 ank 241	-BT-IU0 Analylical	kesuits: Copper (2 s	neets).		
Sample Number	Core:	Sub-	Result	Duplicate	Mean	Overall Mean	RSD (mean)	Projected Inventory
			8/84	2/34	8/3#	8/3×	R	kg
7941	99:1	Whole	< 19.90	< 19.49	< 19.70°	< 45.9	N/A	< 59.7
		Whole*	06	43	675,6			
7942	99:2	×	< 17.36	327	1726			
7932		DL	4 μg/mL	4 μg/mL	4 μg/mL (3 μg/g)			
7943		D	234	23	1295.6			
		D*	20		20			
7944	99:3	<	100	75	88 ^{5,6}			
	-	٨*	32		326			
7935		DL	10 µg/mL	10 µg/mL	10 μg/mL (7 μg/g)			
7945	99:4	V	128	< 17.07	72.66			
		A*	36	8	36			
7946		В	63	45	54 ^{5,6}			
		B*	31	-	316			
7947		ບ	435	269	3525.6			
	-	ٹ ٹ	99		66°			
7948		D	247	169	2085.6			
		D*	105		1056			
2161	104:1	Whole	< 9.98	< 9.82	< 9.90			

A-31

_															
	Projected Inventory	kg	Cont'd												
	RSD (mean)	8	Cont'd												
sheets).	Overall Mean	rg/2	Cont'd		•										
Results: Copper (2	Mean	2/3×	< 13.54	< 14.30	< 10.41	< 10.8	< 10.4	< 16.5	< 14.9	< 19.6	< 9.41	< 12.4	22.25	< 24.84	19.32
-BY-108 Analytical 1	Duplicate	8/84	< 13.55	< 15.00	< 10.25	< 10.7	< 10.2	< 17.5	< 14.9	< 19.6	< 9.41	< 12.30	22.93	< 25.93	20.30
ble A-13. Tank 241	Result	3/84	< 13.52	< 13.59	< 10.57	< 10.8	< 10.6	< 15.5	< 14.9	< 19.6	< 9.41	< 12.41	21.57	< 23.74	18.35
Та	Sub- Sub-		V	В	с С	۲	IJ	D	V	с U	D	۷	В	с U	D
	Core:		104:2			104:3			104:4			104:5			
	Sample		2162	2163	2164	2165	2166	2167	2168	2169	2170	2171	2172	2174	2175

			Table A-14. Tank 2	41-BY-108 Analytic	al Results: Dysprosi	um.		
Sample Number	Core: Segment	Sub- seement	Result	Duplicate	Mean	Overall Mean	RSD (mean)	Projected Inventory
			pg/g	2/3 4	8/8x	8/3#	8	kg
7941	99:1	Whole	< 99.50	< 97.47	< 98.48	< 69.4	N/A	< 90.2
		Whole*	< 75.59	< 105.7	< 90.65			
7942	99:2	V	< 86.81	< 90.17	< 88.49			
7932		DL	< 6.25 µg/mL	< 6.25 μg/mL	< 6.25 μg/mL (< 4.37 μg/g)			
7943		D	< 29.75	< 30.65	< 30.20			
		D*	< 44.33		< 44.33			
7944	99:3	A	< 82.51	< 70.43	< 76.47			
		A*	< 75.30		< 75.30			_
7935		DL	< 6.25 μg/mL	< 6.25 µg/mL	< 6.25 μg/mL (< 4.37 μg/g)			
7945	99:4	A	< 92.85	< 85.33	< 89.08			
		A*	< 96.34		< 96.34			
7946		B	< 99.80	< 100.8	< 100.3			
		B*	< 92.85		< 92.85			
7947		С	< 91.83	< 69.21	< 80.52			
		C*	< 95.60		< 95.60			
7948		D	< 101.2	< 114.2	< 107.7			
		D*	< 90.83		< 90.83			

Table A-14. Tank 241-BY-108 Analytical Results: Dysprosium.

			Table A-15. Tank	c 241-BY-108 Analyt	ical Results: Europiu	Ë		
Sample	Core:	Sub- sement	Result	Duplicate	Mean	Overall Mean	RSD (mean)	Projected Inventory
			F 8/8	3/8#	8/84	3/3 4	8	kg
7941	99:1	Whole	< 199.0	< 194.9	< 197.0	< 139	N/A	< 181
		Whole*	< 151.2	< 211.4	< 181.3			
7942	99:2	۷	< 173.6	< 180.3	< 177.0			
7932		DL	< 12.5 µg/mL	< 12.5 µg/mL	 < 12.5 μg/mL (< 8.74 μg/g) 			
7943		D	< 59.49	< 61.29	< 60.39			
		D*	< 88.65	1	< 119.6			
7944	99:3	٨	< 165.0	< 140.9	< 153.0			
		۸.	< 150.6		< 150.6			
7935		DL	< 12.5 µg/mL	< 12.5 µg/mL	 < 12.5 μg/mL (< 8.74 μg/g) 			
7945	99:4	A	< 185.7	< 170.7	< 178.2			
		۸*	< 192.7	•	< 192.7			
7946		В	< 199.6	< 201.6	< 200.6			
		B *	< 185.7		< 185.7			
7947		С	< 183.7	< 138.4	< 161.1			
		C*	< 191.2		< 191.2			
7948		D	< 202.4	< 228.3	< 215.4			
	_	D*	< 181.7		< 181.7			

A-34

Sample Number Segment Cure: segment Sub- Regult Result Duplicate Mean 7941 99:1 Whole 1,488 971 1,230 ^{v6} 7941 99:1 Whole 1,488 971 1,230 ^{v6} 7942 99:1 Whole 1,488 971 1,230 ^{v6} 7942 99:2 A 5,112 2,510 3,811 ^{v6} 7943 DL 118 μ g/mL 117 μ g/mL 18 μ g/mL 7943 Dr 710 1,145 928 ^{v6} 7944 99:3 A 1,608 1,769 1,689 ^e 7945 A 1,608 1,769 1,689 ^e 7945 A 1,247 2,1,200 295 ^{v6} 7946 A 1,247 2,4,6 7946 A 1,247 1,247 7946 A 1,9490 19,040 19,270 7946 B 2,0,190 3,4,6 7,210			•	Table A-16. Tank 24	1-BY-108 Analytical	Results: Iron (2 sh	eets).		
7941 99:1 Rg/R Rg/R /b> <th>Sample</th> <th>Core:</th> <th>Sub-</th> <th>Result</th> <th>Duplicate</th> <th>Mean</th> <th>Overall Mean</th> <th>RSD (mean)</th> <th>Projected Inventory</th>	Sample	Core:	Sub-	Result	Duplicate	Mean	Overall Mean	RSD (mean)	Projected Inventory
7941 99:1 Whole 1,488 971 1,230 ⁵⁶ 7942 99:2 A 5,112 2,510 3,811 ⁵⁶ 7942 99:2 A 5,112 2,510 3,811 ⁵⁶ 7942 99:2 A 5,112 2,510 3,811 ⁵⁶ 7943 DL 118 μ g/mL 118 μ g/mL 18 μ g/mL 82.5 μ g/g) 7943 Dr 710 1,145 928 ⁵⁶ 2,54 ⁶ 7943 Dr 16.08 1,769 1,689 ⁶ 2,64 ⁶ 7944 99:3 A 1,608 1,769 1,547 2,44 ⁶ 7945 Dr 1,610 1,145 928 ⁵⁶ 1,549 ⁶ 1,547 ⁶ 7947 Dr 1,145 1,247 ⁶ 1,689 ⁶ 1,689 ⁶ 7948 A 1,247 1,247 ⁶ 1,640 ⁶ 1,689 ⁶ 7949 DL 97 μ g/mL 104 μ g/mL 101 μ g/mC 2,44 ⁶ 7946				Rg/g	2/34	8/8x	g/34	8	kg
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	7941	1:66	Whole	1,488	126	1,2305.6	7,190	52.8	9,350
7942 99:2 A 5,112 2,510 3,811 ^{4,6} 7932 DL 118 $\mu g/mL$ 117 $\mu g/mL$ 118 $\mu g/mL$ 7932 D 710 1,145 928 ^{3,6} 7944 99:3 A 1,608 1,769 928 ^{3,6} 7944 99:3 A 1,508 1,608 1,609 7944 99:3 A 1,508 1,608 1,609 7944 99:3 A 1,247 544 ⁶ 7935 A 1,247 1,247 ⁶ 7946 B 1,247 1,247 ⁶ 7945 99:4 A 1,9400 19,270 7946 B 20,150 20,150 7946 B 20,500 33,680 27,210 7947 B 27,210 27,210 27,210 7948 B* 27,210 20,150 27,210 7948 D 44			Whole*	1,028	1,411	1,220%	.		
7932 DL 118 μ g/mL 117 μ g/mL 118 μ g/mL 7943 D 710 1,145 928 ⁵⁶ 7943 D 710 1,145 928 ⁵⁶ 7944 99:3 A 1,608 1,769 928 ⁵⁶ 7944 99:3 A 1,608 1,769 1,689 ⁶ 7945 P 1,608 1,769 1,689 ⁶ 7935 A 1,247 244 ⁶ 7935 P 1,769 1,689 ⁶ 1,247 7935 P 1,747 1,247 7935 P 1,040 19,249 ⁶ 1,247 7946 A 19,490 19,040 19,270 7946 A 20,150 20,150 7946 B 27,210 27,210 27,210 7947 C 34,810 27,210 27,210 7948 D 24,490 24,490 794	7942	99:2	<	5,112	2,510	3,8115.6			
7943 D 710 1,145 928% 7944 99:3 A 1,608 544 7944 99:3 A 1,608 1,769 1,689* 7935 A* 1,247 544* 7935 A* 1,247 1,247 7935 DL 97 μ g/mL 104 μ g/mL 101 μ g/mL 7945 99:4 A 19,490 19,040 19,270 7945 99:4 A 19,490 19,040 19,270 7946 B 20,150 20,150 20,150 7946 B 27,210 20,150 21,330 25,190* 7947 C 32,560 31,300 25,190* 74 7947 B* 27,210 27,210 27,210 27,210 7948 D 23,560 33,680 25,190* 34,810 7948 D 44,490 27,210 2	7932		DL	118 μg/mL	117 µg/mL	118 μg/mL (82.5 μg/g)	r		
7944 $99:3$ $D*$ 544 $$ 544^6 7944 $99:3$ A $1,608$ $1,769$ $1,689^6$ 7935 A^* $1,247$ $$ $1,247^6$ $1,247^6$ 7935 A^* $1,247$ $$ $1,247^6$ $1,247^6$ 7935 P^* $1,247$ $$ $1,247^6$ $1,247^6$ 7945 P^* $1,247$ $$ $1,247^6$ $1,247^6$ 7946 P A $19,490$ $19,040$ $19,270$ 7946 A $20,150$ $$ $20,150$ $20,150$ 7946 B $20,050$ $21,330$ $25,190^6$ $27,210$ 7947 C $32,660$ $33,680$ $27,210$ $79,60$ $33,680$ 7948 C $34,810$ $$ $27,210$ $27,210$ 7948 D $44,490$ $$ $21,330$ $33,680$ 704 $44,490$	7943		D	710	1,145	9285.6			
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$			D*	544		544°			
7935 A* 1,247 1,247 7935 DL $97 \mu g/mL$ $104 \mu g/mL$ $101 \mu g/mL$ 7945 99:4 A $19,490$ $19,040$ $19,270$ 7946 A* $20,150$ $20,150$ $20,150$ 7946 B $29,050$ $21,330$ $25,190^5$ 7947 C $32,260$ $31,300$ $25,190^5$ 7947 C $32,260$ $35,090$ $33,680$ 7947 C $32,260$ $35,090$ $33,680$ 7948 D $44,490$ $$ $21,210$ 7948 D $44,700$ $44,600$ 7948 D $44,490$ $$ $21,210$ 7948 D $44,700$ $44,600$ $31,680$ 704 $44,700$ $44,700$ $44,600$ $74,600$ 704 $100,11$ $100,11$ $10,21$ $$ $44,900$ <td>7944</td> <td>99:3</td> <td><</td> <td>1,608</td> <td>1,769</td> <td>1,689</td> <td>1</td> <td></td> <td></td>	7944	99:3	<	1,608	1,769	1,689	1		
7935 DL 97 μ g/mL 104 μ g/mL 101 μ g/mL 7945 99:4 A 19,490 19,040 19,270 7945 99:4 A 19,490 19,040 19,270 7946 A* 20,150 20,150 19,270 7946 B 29,050 21,330 25,190 ⁵ 20,150 7947 C 32,260 21,330 25,190 ⁵ 27,210 7947 C 32,260 35,090 33,680 73,680 7948 D 44,490 27,210 27,210 7948 D 44,490 27,210 27,210 7948 D 44,490 27,210 27,210 27,210 7948 D 44,490 27,210 27,210 27,210 7048 D 44,490 27,210 27,210 21,90 ⁵ 7048 D 44,490 24,700			۸*	1,247		1,247	T		
7945 99:4 A 19,490 19,040 19,270 7946 A^* 20,150 20,150 7946 B 29,050 21,330 25,190 ⁵ 7947 B^* 27,210 27,210 7947 C 32,260 35,090 33,680 7947 C 32,260 35,090 33,680 7948 C^* 34,810 27,210 7948 D 44,490 34,810 7948 D 44,490 34,810 7948 D 44,490 34,810 7948 D 44,490 34,810 7948 D 44,490 44,500 7044 D^* 41,980 41,980	7935		DL	97 μg/mL	104 µg/mL	101 μg/mL (70.6 μg/g)			
7946 A* 20,150 20,150 7946 B 29,050 21,330 25,190 ⁵ 7947 B* 27,210 27,210 7947 C 32,260 35,090 33,680 7947 C 32,260 35,090 33,680 7948 D 44,490 24,810 7948 D 44,490 34,810 7948 D 44,490 41,980 7161 1.04:1 Whole 1.000 1.210	7945	99:4	<	19,490	19,040	19,270	r		
7946 B 29,050 21,330 25,190 ⁵ 7947 B* 27,210 27,210 7947 C 32,260 35,090 33,680 7948 C 32,260 35,090 33,680 7948 D 44,490 34,810 710 D* 41,980 41,980			۰.	20,150		20,150	.		
P347 B* 27,210 27,210 7947 C 32,260 35,090 33,680 7948 C 34,810 34,810 7948 D 44,490 34,810 7948 D 44,490 44,600 7948 D* 41,980 41,980 7161 104:1 Whole 1.000 1.210 1.105 ^{4,5}	7946		В	29,050	21,330	25,190	.		
7947 C 32,260 35,090 33,680 7947 C* 34,810 34,810 34,810 7948 D 44,490 44,700 44,600 7948 D* 41,980 41,980 2161 104:1 Whole 1.000 1.210 1.105 ^{4,5}			B*	27,210	1	27,210			
7948 C* 34,810 34,810 7948 D 44,490 44,700 44,600 7948 D* 41,980 44,700 44,600 7161 104:1 Whole 1.000 1.210 1.105 ^{4,5}	7947	•	C	32,260	35,090	33,680	.		
7948 D 44,490 44,700 44,600 D* 41,980 41,980 2161 104:1 Whole 1.000 1.210 1.105 ^{4,5}			ť	34,810		34,810	т		
D* 41,980 41,980 2161 104:1 Whole 1.000 1.210 1.105 ^{4,5}	7948		D	44,490	44,700	44,600	T		
2161 104:1 Whole 1.000 1.210 1.105 ^{4,5}			D*	41,980	-	41,980	1		
	2161	104:1	Whole	1,000	1,210	1,105 ^{4,5}	r		

A-35

_					-					_					
	Projected Inventory	kg	Cont'd												
	RSD (mean)	8	Cont'd												
leets).	Overall Mean	F5/8	Cont'd	.	T		-	1	1						
Results: Iron (2 sh	Mean	3/34	4,1255	3,175	2,110	1,380	3,440	2,440 ⁵	314.5 ⁵	722.5	308.0	2,040 ³	17,700	28,600	38,800
11-BY-108 Analytica	Duplicate	8/8#	5,110	3,150	2,000	1,440	3,500	2,240	297.0	754.0	305.0	2,000	17,700	26,500	40,000
Table A-16. Tank 24	Result	F2/3	3,140	3,200	2,210	1,330	3,370	2,630	332.0	691.0	311.0	2,080	17,800	30,700	37,600
L	Sub- seement		V	В	С	A	C	D	v	C	D	A	B	С	D
,	Core: Seement		104:2			104:3			104:4			104:5			
	Sample Number		2162	2163	2164	2165	2166	2167	2168	2169	2170	2171	2172	2174	2175

Table A-16. Tank 241-BY-108 Analytical Results: Iron (2 sheets).

	ted ory													<u>.</u>						
	Projec Invent	kg	< 87.6																	
	RSD (mean)	8	N/A																	
sheets).	Overall Mean	rg/g	< 67.4																	.
sults: Lanthanum (2	Mcan	8/3#	< 98.49	< 90.63	< 88.49	< 6.25 μg/mL (< 4.37)	< 30.20	< 44.33	< 76.5	< 75.3	< 6.25 μg/mL (< 4.37 μg/g)	< 89.08	< 96.32	< 100.3	< 92.85	< 80.5	< 95.6	< 107.7	< 90.83	< 49.5
3Y-108 Analytical Re	Duplicate	3/84	< 97.47	< 105.7	< 90.17	< 6.25 µg/mL	< 30.65		< 70.40		< 6.25 µg/mL	< 85.30	-	< 100.8	-	< 69.2	-	< 114.2		< 49.10
e A-17. Tank 241-1	Result	F2/3	< 99.5	< 75.55	< 86.81	< 6.25 μg/mL	< 29.75	< 44.33	< 82.51	< 75.3	< 6.25 µg/mL	< 92.85	< 96.32	< 99.8	< 92.85	< 91.8	< 95.6	< 101.2	< 90.83	< 49.90
Tabl	Sub-		Whole	Whole*	۷	DL	D	D*	A	۸*	DL	۲	A*	В	B*	C	C*	D	D*	Whole
	Core:		99:1		99:2				99:3			99:4								104:1
	Sample Number		7941		7942	7932	7943		7944		7935	7945		7946		7947		7948		2161

-

.

			8			_									
	Projected Inventory	kg	Cont'd												
	RSD (mean)	x	Cont'd												
sheets).	Overall Mean	3/34	Cont'd												
sults: Lanthanum (2	Mean	8/84	< 67.68	< 71.48	< 52.05	< 53.3	< 52.0	< 82.5	< 74.7	< 97.9	< 47.0	< 61.80	< 49.75	< 124.2	< 74.35
Y-108 Analytical Re	Duplicate	F8/8	< 67.75	< 75.00	< 51.25	< 53.4	< 50.8	< 87.6	< 74.7	< 97.9	< 47.0	< 61.52	< 48.17	< 129.7	< 74.20
e A-17. Tank 241-E	Result	3/3H	< 67.60	< 67.95	< 52.85	< 53.1	< 53.1	< 77.3	< 74.7	< 97.9	< 47.0	< 62.07	< 51.33	< 118.7	< 74.50
Tabl	Sub-		A	В	C	A	C	D	۲	с U	D	V	В	с U	D
	Core:		104:2			104:3			104:4			104:5			
	Sample		2162	2163	2164	2165	2166	2167	2168	2169	2170	2171	2172	2174	2175

WHC-SD-WM-ER-533 Rev. 0

۰.

Sample	Core:	Sub-	Result	Duplicate	Mean	O vera ll Mean	RSD (mean)	Projected Inventory
Land	Segment	segment	48/8	# 8/8	#8/8	FB / B	8	kg
7941	99:1	Whole	351	256	304 ^{5,6}	439	43.3	571
		Whole*	232	345	289 ^{5.6}	1		
7942	99:2	×	159	144	1526	T		
7932		DL	91 µg/mL	91 µg/mL	91 μg/mL (64 μg/g)	F		
7943		D	901	94	1005.6	r		
		D*	177	1	1776	F .		
7944	99:3	V	167	150	1595.6	.		
		A*	177		1776	r		
7935		DL	100 µg/mL	97 μg/mL	98.5 μg/mL (68.9 μg/g)	1		
7945	99:4	۲	1,075	1,156	1,116°			
		A*	1,154	1	1,1546	T		
7946		В	1,141	902	1,022 ^{5,6}	r		
		B*	995		9956	1		
7947		c	1,047	1,125	1,086	γ—		
		ť	1,144	1	1,1446	1		
7948	.	D	1,544	1,628	1,586	1		
		D*	2,856		2,856	-		
2161	104:1	Whole	< 99.8	< 98.2	< 99.0 ^{1,4}			

Sample	Core:	Sub-	Result	Duplicate	Mean	Overall Mean	RSD (mean)	Projected Inventory
INMANDEL	oeginem.	ocguncui	rg/g	#£/8	pe/e	#5/8	%	kg
2162	104:2	A	< 135.2	165.0	150.11.4	Cont'd	Cont'd	Cont'd
2163		В	151.6	153.1	152.41			
2164		С	125.9	< 102.5	114.21			
2165	104:3	A	< 108	< 107	< 108 ^{1,4}			
2166		С	164.0	153.0	158.5 ¹]
2167		D	< 155	< 175	< 165 ¹	1		
2168	104:4	A	< 149	< 149	< 149			
2169		C	< 196	< 196	< 196			
2170		D	127.0	128.0	127.5			
2171	104:5	A	191.8	210.2	201.0			
2172		В	1,310	1,320	1,320			
2174		С	1,860	1,730	1,790			
2175		D	2,750	2,900	2,830			

Table A-18. Tank 241-BY-108 Analytical Results: Lead (2 sheets).

				•	•	•		
Sample Number	Core: Segment	Sub- seement	Result	Duplicate	Mean	Overall Mean	RSD (mean)	Projected Inventory
			FB / B	2/3#	8/8x	#B/8	8	kg
7941	99:1	Whole	368	247	3085	447	31.7	581
:		Whole*	186	313	2505			
7942	99:2	۲	< 173.6	< 180.3	< 177.1	r		
7932		DL	< 12.5 μg/mL	< 12.5 µg/mL	 < 12.5 μg/mL (< 8.74 μg/g) 	T		•
7943		D	75	< 61.29	68	.		
		D*	128		128	1		
7944	99:3	V	199	147	1735			
		A*	< 150.6		150.6	T		
7935		DL	< 12.5 μg/mL	< 12.5 μg/mL	 < 12.5 μg/mL (< 8.74 μg/g) 	.		
7945	99:4	×	1,293	1,143	1,2185	-		
		۸*	1,480	1	1,480	T		
7946		В	1,511	1,199	1,355 ^{5,6}			
_		B*	1,459	-	1,459			
7947		C	1,141	1,188	1,165			
_		د *	1,280	-	1,280			
7948		D	1,233	1,209	1,2216	+		
		D*	1,374	1	1,374	1		
2161	104:1	Whole	< 99.8	< 98.2	< 99.04	-		

=

														-	
	Projected Inventory	kg	Cont'd												
	RSD (mean)	8	Cont'd												
2 sheets).	Overall Mean	5/34	Cont'd												
sults: Magnesium (Mean	AB/B	887.95	683.1	430.1 ⁵	251.54	771.0	336.0⁵	< 149	< 196	< 94.1	< 123.6	1,040	1,270	1,160
Y-108 Analytical Re	Duplicate	F8/8	1,110	675.7	399.3	257.0	788.0	278.0	< 149	< 196	< 94.1	< 123.0	1,010	1,240	1,190
e A-19. Tank 241-B	Result	# 8/8	665.9	690.5	461.0	246.0	754.0	394.0	< 149	< 196	< 94.1	< 124.1	1,070	1,300	1,140
Table	Sub-		V	В	U	A	c	D	V	c	D	A	В	с С	D
	Core:		104:2			104:3			104:4			104:5			
	Sample		2162	2163	2164	2165	2166	2167	2168	2169	2170	2171	2172	2174	2175

Sample	Core:	Sub-	Result	Duplicate	Mean	Overall Mean	RSD (mean)	Projected Inventory
I WUMADEA	Segman	sciment	µg∕g	pg/g	a B/B	#E/g	%	kg
7941	99:1	Whole	305	269	287 ^{5,6}	209	61.5	272
		Whole*	24	29	27 ⁵	-		
7942	99:2	A	335	298	317 ^{5,6}			
7932		DL	< 0.625 µg/mL	< 0.625 µg/mL	< 0.625 μg/mL (< 0.437 μg/g)			
7943	1	D	206	285	246 ^{5,6}	-		
		D*	13		13	-		
7944	99:3	A	746	535	641 ^{5,6}			
		A*	15		15	-1		
7935	1	DL	< 0.625 µg/mL	< 0.625 µg/mL	< 0.625 μg/mL (< 0.437 μg/g)			
7945	99:4	A	1024	467	746 ^{3,5,6}	-		
		A*	300		300	-		
7946		В	803	644	724 ^{3,5,6}	-		
		B*	328		328	1		
7947	1	C	1069	966	1018 ^{3,6}	-		
		C*	366		366	-		
7948	1	D	D 1234 1554 1394 ^{3,5,6}					
		D*	498		498	-		
2161	104:1	Whole	13.90	14.62	14.26 ¹	1		

Table A-20. Tank 241-BY-108 Analytical Results: Manganese (2 sheets).

							_	-							
	Projected Inventory	kg	Cont'd												
	RSD (mean)	8	Cont'd												
2 sheets).	Overall Mean	P \$/2	Cont'd												
csults: Manganese (Mean	5/55	92.26 ^{1,5}	66.031	37.70 ^{1.5}	21.75	73.65	37.405	< 14.9	< 19.6	< 9.41	14.65	281.0	381.2 ^{1,5}	387.7
3Y-108 Analytical Re	Duplicate	3/84	113.9	65.56	34.71	22.40	74.90	34.10	< 14.9	< 19.6	< 9.41	14.20	280.5	353.2	400.5
le A-20. Tank 241-F	Result	3/3 <i>4</i>	70.61	66.50	40.70	21.10	72.40	40.70	< 14.9	< 19.6	< 9.41	15.11	281.6	409.2	374.9
Tabl	Sub- Sub-		V	В	c	V	C	D	A	C	D	V	B	C	D
	Core: Segment		104:2			104:3			104:4			104:5			
	Sample Number		2162	2163	2164	2165	2166	2167	2168	2169	2170	2171	2172	2174	2175

Table A-20. Tank 241-BY-108 Analytical Results: Manganese (2 sheets).

A-44

Sample Sample 7941 9	(800000 mm da. (80 mm 2000008 100	
7941 9	Core:	Sub- segment	Result	Duplicate	Mean	Overall Mean	KSJ (nean)	Projected Inventory
7941 9			P42/8	8/8#	8/8#	#2/3	8	kg
	9:1	Whole	< 59.7	< 58.48	< 59.1	< 54.1	N/A	< 70.3
		Whole*	< 45.35	< 63.43	< 54.39	T		
7942 9	9:2	×	< 52.08	< 54.10	< 53.09			
7932		DL	14 μg/mL	14 μg/mL	14 μg/mL (9.8 μg/g)			
7943		D	< 17.85	< 18.39	< 18.12	1		
		D*	< 26.60		< 26.60	1		
7944 9	9:3	A	< 49.51	< 42.26	< 45.89	1		
		A*	< 45.18		< 45.18	.		
7935		DL	15 µg/mL	15 μg/mL	15 μg/mL (10 μg/g)	T	-	
7945 9	9:4	۲	< 55.71	< 51.20	< 53.46			
		A*	< 57.80		< 57.8	T		
7946		В	< 59.88	< 60.48	< 60.18	1		
		B*	< 55.71	1	< 55.71	1		
7947		c	< 55.10	< 41.52	< 48.31	T		
		C*	< 57.36	1	< 57.36	T		
7948		D	< 60.73	< 68.49	< 64.61	T.		
		D*	< 54.50	-	< 54.5	1		
2161 1	04:1	Whole	< 49.9	< 49.1	< 49.5			

A-45

,

		Table	A-21. Tank 241-B	r-108 Analytical Res	ults: Molybdenum (3	2 sheets).		
Sample	Core:	Sub-	Result	Dupikate	Mean	Overall Mean	RSD (mean)	Projected Inventory
Number	House	Segucat	F8'5	8/8s	# 8/8	3/3#	8	kg
2162	104:2	A	< 67.60	< 67.75	< 67.68	Cont'd	Cont'd	Cont'd
2163		B	< 67.95	< 75.00	< 71.48			
2164		C	< 52.85	< 51.25	< 52.05			
2165	104:3	A	< 53.1	< 53.4	< 53.3			
2166		C	< 53.1	< 50.8	< 52.0			
2167		D	< 77.3	< 87.6	< 82.5			
2168	104:4	<	< 74.7	< 74.7	< 74.7			
2169		J	< 97.9	< 97.9	< 97.9			
2170		٥	< 47.0	< 47.0	< 47.0			
2171	104:5	V	< 62.07	< 61.52	< 61.80			
2172		B	< 51.33	< 48.17	< 49.75			
2174		c	< 118.7	< 129.7	< 124.2			
2175		D	< 74.50	< 74.20	< 74.35			

A-46

.

		Tabl	e A-22. Tank 241-B	Y-108 Analytical Re	sults: Neodymium (;	2 sheets).		
Sample Number	Core: Seement	Sub- seement	Result	Duplicate	Mean	Overall Mean	RSD (mean)	Projected Inventory
			PB/B	P8/2	8/3#	8/3#	8	kg
7941	99:1	Whole	101	< 97.47	99.2	< 119	N/A	< 155
		Whole*	125	232	1795,6			
7942	99:2	V	108	< 90.17	99.16			
7932		DL	< 6.25 μg/mL	< 6.25 µg/mL	< 6.25 μg/mL (< 4.37 μg/g)			
7943		D	< 29.75	< 30.65	< 30.2			
		D*	108	1	1086			
7944	99:3	V	67	82	89.55.6			
		۰.	127		1276			
7935		DL	< 6.25 µg/mL	< 6.25 µg/mL	< 6.25 μg/mL (4.37 μg/g)			
7945	99:4	A	143	186	165 ^{5,6}			
		A*	145		1456			
7946		В	154	176	165 ^{5,6}			
		B*	161	-	1616			
7947		С	191	174	1836			
		C*	174		1746			
7948		D	171	200	186 ^{5,6}			
		D*	199		1996			
2161	104:1	Whole	< 99.80	< 98.20	< 99.00			
Ì								

		Table	• A-22. Tank 241-B	Y-108 Analytical Ret	sults: Neodymium (3	2 sheets).		
Sample	Core:	Sub-	Result	Duplicate	Mean	Overall Mean	RSD (nean)	Projected Inventory
			F8/B	F2/2	8/8#	B/34	8	kg
2162	104:2	A	< 135.2	< 135.5	< 135.4	Cont'd	Cont'd	Cont'd
2163		B	< 135.9	< 150.0	< 143.0			
2164		C	< 105.7	< 102.5	< 104.1			
2165	104:3	V	< 108	< 107	< 108			
2166		c	< 106	< 102	< 104			
2167	-	D	< 155	< 175	< 165			
2168	104:4	<	< 149	< 149	< 149			
2169		С	< 196	< 196	< 196			
2170		D	< 94.1	< 94.1	< 94.1			
2171	104:5	A	< 124.1	< 123.0	< 123.6			
2172		B	< 102.7	< 96.34	< 99.52			
2174		C	< 237.4	< 259.3	< 248.4			
2175		D	< 149.0	< 148.4	< 148.7			

Sample Number	Core: Segment	Sub- seement	Result	Duplicate	Mean	Overall Mean	RSD (mean)	Projected Inventory
	•	6	F2/8	3/3 #	8/8#	FE/8	8	kg
1483	98:1	Whole	253.6	244.8	249.2 ³	2,510	41.8	3,260
1484	98:2	A	337.9	359.4	348.7			
1485		ບ	447.4	469.1	458.3			
1486		D	1,550	1,550	1,550*			
1487	98:3	V	1,280	1,350	1,310			
1488		C	1,090	927.3	1,010 ⁵			
1489		D	744.9	832.1	788.5 ^{4,5}		_	
1490	98:4	×	14,900	14,800	14,800	_		
1491		B	9,920	9,790	9,850	_		
1492		с С	5,650	5,670	5,660			
1493		D	3,600	3,610	3,600			
7941	1:66	Whole*	473	674	5743.5,6			
7932	99:2	DL	310 µg/mL	306 µg/mL	308 μg/mL (215 μg/g)			
7943		D	532	1	532			
7944	99:3	A	836		836			
7935		DL	238 µg/mL	243 µg/mL	241 μg/mL (169 μg/g)			

Table A-23. Tank 241-BY-108 Analytical Results: Nickel (2 sheets).

A-49

	Projected Inventory	kg	Cont'd								ĸ.							<u></u>		
	RSD (mean)	8	Cont'd			- 														
heets).	Overall Mean	B/3 4	Cont'd		•			÷							_					
Results: Nickel (2 s	Mean	8/84	14,760	16,740	6,710	7,209	124.2 ¹	338.6^{1.5}	271.4 ¹	409.01.5	251.54	294.0	1,100	784.54	1,360	891.5	1,200*	12,200	12,800	8,080
-BY-108 Analytical	Duplicate	8/84	- - - -			8	118.0	390.0	267.8	385.6	254.0	297.0	1,120	809.0	1,360	921.0	1,170	12,100	12,900	8,340
able A-23. Tank 241	Result	3/34	14,670	16,740	6,710	7,209	130.4	287.1	275.0	432.5	249.0	291.0	1,080	760.0	1,350	862.0	1,220	12,200	12,700	7,810
Ţ	Sub-		۸*	B*	C*	D*	Whole	۲	В	С	A	C	D	A	c	D	A	B	С	D
	Core:	mangoo	99:4				104:1	104:2			104:3			104:4			104:5			
	Sample		7945	7946	7947	7948	2161	2162	2163	2164	2165	2166	2167	2168	2169	2170	2171	2172	2174	2175

Sample	Core: Segment	Sub- seement	Result	Duplicate	Mean	Overall Mean	RSD (mean)	Projected Inventory
		2	PLB/8	P2/2	3/3#	FE/E	8	kg
941	99:1	Whole	< 597	< 584.8	< 590.9	< 413	N/A	< 537
		Whole*	< 453.5	< 634.3	< 543.9			
942	99:2	V	< 520.8	< 541.0	< 530.9	- T		
)32		DL	< 37.5 µg/mL	< 37.5 µg/mL	 < 37.5 μg/mL (< 26.2 μg/g) 			
143		D	< 178.5	< 183.9	< 181.2	1		
		D*	< 266.0		< 266.0			
144	99:3	A	< 422.6	< 422.6	< 422.6	-		
		A*	< 451.8		< 451.8			
35		DL	< 37.5 µg/mL	< 37.5 µg/mL	< 37.5 μg/mL (< 26.2 μg/g)	T		
145	99:4	Υ	< 557.1	< 512.0	< 534.6			
		A*	< 578.0		< 578	.		
946		В	< 598.8	< 604.8	< 601.8			
		B*	< 557.1	1	< 557.1			
47		c	< 551.0	< 415.2	< 483.1			•
		C*	< 573.6		< 573.6	.		
48		D	< 607.3	< 684.9	< 646.1	T		
		D*	< 545.0		< 545.0			

Table A-24. Tank 241-BY-108 Analytical Results: Palladium.

		Tabl	le A-25. Tank 241-B	Y-108 Analytical Re	sults: Phosphorus (2	sheets).		
Sample	Cone:	Sub-	Result	Duplicate	Mean	Overall Mean	RSD (mean)	Projected Inventory
			8/84	3/34	rg'e	3/3 4	8	20 A
7941	99:1	Whole	23,900	29,550	26,730 ⁵	10,100	31.4	13,100
		Whole*	3,821	715	2,270 ⁵			-
7942	99:2	۲	709	828	769			
7932		DL	467 μg/mL	462 μg/mL	465 μg/mL (325 μg/g)			
7943		D	2,505	2,230	2,3685			
		D*	233	1	233			
7944	69:3	<	5,405	7,079	6,242 ⁵			
		۰.	490	8	490			
7935		DL	452 μg/mL	457 μg/mL	455 μg/mL (318 μg/g)			
7945	99:4	V	14,260	12,930	13,600			
		A*	625		625			
7946		В	20,100	28,140	24,120			
		B*	3,840	-	3,840			
7947		с U	24,630	24,630	24,630			
		ť	1,605	8	1,605			
7948		D	26,870	27,830	27,350			
		D*	1,437		1,437			
2161	104:1	Whole	33,000	32,500	32,800			

	Projected Inventory	kg	Cont'd												
	RSD (mean)	B	Cont'd												
sheets).	Overall Mean	#8/8	Cont'd												
sults: Phosphorus (2	Mean	8/8#	3,240 ⁵	5,520 ⁵	2,7505	4,800 ^{4,5}	2,7405	4,1105	6,800 ^{4,5}	5,180 ⁵	1,300	5,290	13,900	14,400 ^{4.5}	20,100
Y-108 Analytical Re	Duplicate	#8/8	4,140	4,650	3,450	4,390	2,370	3,510	11,000	4,030	1,320	5,140	13,700	15,800	20,700
e A-25. Tank 241-B	Result	3/3×1	2,340	6,400	2,050	5,210	3,110	4,710	2,600	6,320	1,290	5,430	14,200	12,900	19,500
Tabl	Sub-		V	В	C	۲	с U	D	٩	C	D	V	в	c	D
	Core: Segment		104:2			104:3			104:4			104:5			
	Sample Number		2162	2163	2164	2165	2166	2167	2168	2169	2170	2171	2172	2174	2175

		Tab	ole A-26. Tank 241-1	3Y-108 Analytical R	esults: Potassium (2	sheets).		
Sample Number	Core:	Sub- seement	Result	Duplicate	Mean	Overall Mean	RSD (mean)	Projected Inventory
			F2/8	2/84	8/84	ps/g	8	kg
7941	99:1	Whole*	4,498	8,232	6,365 ⁵	2,650	54.2	3,450
7932	99:2	DL	2,656 μg/mL	2,614 µg/mL	2,635 μg/mL (1,842 μg/g)			
7943		D	4,416		4,416			
7944	69:3	A*	3,879		3,879			
7935	<u></u>	DL	2,780 μg/mL	2,811 µg/mL	2,800 μg/mL (1958 μg/g)			
7945	99:4	•۲	4,826		4,826			
7946		B*	4,904		4,904			
7947		C*	4,549		4,549			
7948		D*	4,777		4,777			
2161	104:1	Whole	389.0	< 294.6	341.8			
2162	104:2	V	570.5	847.6	709.1 ⁵			
2163		В	1,260	1,020	1,140 ⁵			
2164		ں ت	1,270	1,270	1,270			
2165	104:3	A	1,410	1,430	1,4204			
2166		с U	1,270	1,170	1,220	_		
2167		D	1,030	1,170	1,1005			
2168	104:4	V	904.0	807.0	855.5 ^{4.5}			
2169		C	1,800	2,010	1,905 ⁵			
2170	.	D	2,120	2,040	6,365			
					,			

Sample	Core:	Sub-	Result	Duplicate	Mean	Overall Mean	RSD (mean)	Projected Inventory	
A COMMERCIA	exeguient	ocginem	₽g/g	8/8	pe/e	₽B/g	%	kg	
2171	104:5	A	1,530	1,390	1,4604	Cont'd	Cont'd	Cont'd	
2172		В	1,550	1,460	1,510	1			
2174		C	1,940	2,130	2,040				
2175		D	1,890	2,110	2,0005	1			

Table A-26. Tank 241-BY-108 Analytical Results: Potassium (2 sheets).

	RSD Projected (mean) Inventory	% kg	V/A < 542																
m.	Overall Mean	2/3 4	< 417 1																<u> </u>
ical Kesults: Khodiu	Mean	8/34	< 590.9	< 543.9	< 531.0	< 37.5 μg/mL (< 26.2 μg/g)	< 181.2	< 266	< 458.9	< 451.8	< 37.5 μg/mL (< 26.2 μg/g)	< 534.6	< 578	< 601.8	< 557.1	< 483.1	< 573.6	< 646.1	< 545.0
241-BY-100 Analyu	Duplicate	5/8 4	< 584.8	< 634.3	< 541.1	< 37.5 µg/mL	< 183.9		< 422.6		< 37.5 µg/gmL	< 512.0	-	< 604.8		< 415.2		< 684.9	
I auto A-21. I alia	Result	F2/S	< 597	< 453.5	< 520.8	< 37.5 µg/mL	< 178.5	< 266.0	< 495.1	< 451.8	< 37.5 μg/mL	< 557.1	< 578.0	< 598.8	< 557.1	< 551.0	< 573.6	< 607.3	< 545.0
	Sub- seement		Whole	Whole*	۲	DL	D	D*	A	A*	DL	A	A*	В	B*	c	C*	D	* D
	Core: Segment		99:1		99:2				99:3			99:4							
	Sample Number		7941		7942	7932	7943		7944		7935	7945		7946		7947		7948	

A-56

_	P															
	Projecte Inventor	kg	< 170													
	RSD (mean)	8	N/A													
'n.	Overall Mean	a/an	< 131										-			
cal Results: Samariu	Mean	8/8#	< 99.00	< 135.4	< 143.0	< 104.1	< 108	< 104	< 165	< 149	< 196	< 94.1	< 123.6	< 99.52	< 248.4	< 148.7
241-BY-108 Analyti	Duplicate	8/8d	< 98.20	< 135.5	< 150.0	< 102.5	< 107	< 102	< 175	< 149	< 196	< 94.1	< 123.0	< 96.34	< 259.3	< 148.4
Table A-28. Tank	Result	P2/S	< 99.80	< 135.2	< 135.9	< 105.7	< 108	< 106	< 155	< 149	< 196	< 94.1	< 124.1	< 102.7	< 237.4	< 149.0
	Sub- seement		Whole	V	В	с С	A	ر د	D	V	c	D	۷	В	С	D
	Core: Segment		104:1	104:2			104:3			104:4			104:5			
	Sample Number		2161	2162	2163	2164	2165	2166	2167	2168	2169	2170	2171	2172	2174	2175

Sample	Core:	Sub-	Result	Duplicate	Mean	Overall Mean	RSD (mean)	Projected Inventory
Number	Segment	segment	₽g/g	#8/8	₽E/E	₽ <mark>8</mark> /8	%	kg
7941	99:1	Whole	< 199.0	< 194.9	< 197.0	< 135	N/A	< 176
		Whole*	< 151.2	< 211.4	< 181.3			
7942	99:2	A	< 173.6	< 180.3	< 177.0	-1		
7932		DL	< 12.5 µg/mL	< 12.5 µg/mL	< 12.5 μg/mL (< 8.74 μg/g)			
7943	Í	D	< 59.49	< 61.29	< 60.39	-1		
		D*	< 88.65		< 88.65	-1		
7944	99:3	A	< 165.0	< 140.9	< 153.0	-		
		A*	< 150.6		< 150.6	1		
7935		DL	< 12.5 μg/mL	< 12.5 µg/mL	< 12.5 μg/mL (< 8.74 μg/g)			
7945	99:4	A	< 185.7	< 170.7	< 178.2	-1		
		A*	< 192.7		< 192.7	1		
7946		В	< 199.6	< 201.6	< 200.6	- .		
		B*	< 185.7		< 185.7	-		
7947		С	< 183.7	< 152	< 168			
		C*	< 191.2		< 191.2			
7948		D	< 202.4	< 228.3	< 215.4	1		
		D*	< 181.7		< 181.7	-		
2161	104:1	Whole	< 99.80	< 98.20	< 99.00	1	1	

Table A-29. Tank 241-BY-108 Analytical Results: Selenium (2 sheets).

			1												
	Projected Inventory	kg	Cont'd												
	RSD (mean)	S	Cont'd												
sheets).	Overall Mean	8/3#	Cont'd					<u>.</u>							
esults: Selenium (2	Mean	AB/B	< 135.4	< 143.0	< 104.1	< 108	< 104	< 165	< 149	< 196	< 94.1	< 123.6	< 99.52	< 248.4	< 148.7
BY-108 Analytical R	Duplicate	8/3 4	< 135.5	< 150.0	< 102.5	< 107	< 102	< 175	< 149	< 196	< 94.1	< 123.0	< 96.34	< 259.3	< 148.4
le A-29. Tank 241-	Result	F2/3	< 135.2	< 135.9	< 105.7	< 108	< 106	< 155	< 149	< 196	< 94.1	< 124.1	< 102.7	< 237.4	< 149.0
Tab	Sub- seement		A	В	C	V	с С	D	V	c	D	A	В	c	D
	Core:		104:2			104:3			104:4			104:5			
i	Sample Number		2162	2163	2164	2165	2166	2167	2168	2169	2170	2171	2172	2174	2175

		T	able A-30. Tank 241	-BY-108 Analytical	Results: Silicon (2 s	theets).		
Sample Number	Core: Segment	Sub- Seement	Result	Duplicate	Mean	Overall Mean	RSD (mean)	Projected Inventory
		-	FB / B	78/8	8/8×	#2/ 6	8	ke
7941	99:1	Whole	6,917	4,939	5,9285.6	1,530	51.4	1,990
	_	Whole*	2,650	4,150	3,400 ⁵			
7942	99:2	A	< 868.1	1,072	970.1			
7932		DL	< 62.50 µg/mL	< 62.50 µg/mL	< 62.50 μg/mL (< 43.71 μg/g)			
7943		D	1,183	1,150	1,167			
		D*	1,127		1,127			
7944	99:3	<	1,935	1,582	1,759 ⁵			
		۸*	1,086		1,086			
7935		DL	108 µg/mL	119 µg/mL	114 µg/mL			
					(< 79.7 μg/g)°			
7945	99:4	×	2,929	4,696	3,813 ⁵			
		A*	2,720		2,720			
7946		В	4,194	4,532	4,363			
		B*	2,287		2,287			
7947		С	2,175	2,045	2,110			
		C*	1,554		1,554			
7948		D	2,567	2,746	2,657			
	L	D*	1,784		1,784			
2161	104:1	Whole	200.4	378.8	289.6 ^{1,5}			
	1							

						./		
Sample Number	Core: Seement	Sub- seement	Result	Dupikate	Mcan	Overall Mean	RSD (mean)	Projected Inventory
	2	2	5 8/8	#8/8	#8/8	8/24	8	ke
2162	104:2	A	315.8	282.2	299.0 ^{1.5}	Cont'd	Cont'd	Cont'd
2163		В	268.0	279.3	273.6 ¹			
2164		с	220.9	245.2	233.1 ^{1.5}			
2165	104:3	V	241.0	322.0	281.5 ^{1,5}			
2166		с U	263.0	254.0	258.5 ¹			
2167		D	431.0	527.0	479.0 ^{1.5}			
2168	104:4	A	201.0	302.0	251.5 ^{2,4,5}			
2169		C	411.0	420.0	415.52			
2170		D	372.0	476.0	424.0 ^{2.5}			
2171	104:5	A	2,010	1,950	1,980 ²			
2172		B	3,460	3,340	3,400 ²			
2174		C	2,520	2,550	2,540 ^{2,4}			
2175		D	1,860	1,970	1,9102	-		<u></u>

Table A-30. Tank 241-BY-108 Analytical Results: Silicon (2 sheets).

.

		T	able A-31. Tank 24	1-BY-108 Analytical	Results: Silver (2 sh	neets).		
Sample	Core:	Sub-	Result	Duplicate	Mean	Overall Mean	RSD (mean)	Projected Inventory
Yannin			FB / B	pB /B	8/31	8/8x	8	kg
7941	99:1	Whole	< 29.85	< 29.24	< 29.55 ²	< 49.9	N/A	< 64.9
		Whole*	< 22.68	< 31.71	< 27.20			
7942	99:2	۲	< 26.04	< 27.05	< 26.55 ²			
7932		DL	< 1.875 µg/mL	< 1.875 µg/mL	 < 1.875 μg/mL (< 1.311 μg/g) 			
7943		D	< 8.924	< 9.194	< 9.059 ²			
-		D*	< 13.30	8	< 13.30			
7944	99:3	V	< 24.75	< 21.13	< 22.94 ²			
		A*	< 22.59		< 22.59			
7935		DL	< 1.875 µg/mL	< 1.875 µg/mL	 < 1.875 μg/mL (< 1.311 μg/g) 			
7945	99:4	×	32	33	332			
		A*	< 28.90		< 28.90			
7946		В	< 29.94	32	31 ²			
		B*	< 27.86		< 27.86			
7947	•	с U	39	31	35 ^{2,5}			
		* Ŭ	< 28.68		< 28.68			
7948		D	33	38	362,5,6			
		D*	< 27.25		< 27.25			
2161	104:1	Whole	< 9.980	< 9.820	< 9.900 ¹			

	Projected Inventory	kg	Cont'd												
	RSD (mean)	8	Cont'd C												
cets).	Overall Mean	8/3#	Cont'd												_
Results: Silver (2 sh	Mean	#5/8	< 13.54 ¹	< 14.30 ¹	< 10.41 ¹	< 10.8	< 10.4	< 16.5	< 14.9	< 19.6	< 9.41	< 12.36	< 9.952	< 24.84	< 14.87
-BY-108 Analytical 1	Duplicate	8/84	< 13.55	< 15.00	< 10.25	< 10.7	< 10.2	< 17.5	< 14.9	< 19.6	< 9.41	< 12.30	< 9.634	< 25.93	< 14.84
ble A-31. Tank 241	Result	FB / B	< 13.52	< 13.59	< 10.57	< 10.8	< 10.6	< 15.5	< 14.9	< 19.6	< 9.41	< 12.41	< 10.27	< 23.74	< 14.90
Та	Sub-		۲	в	C	A	C	D	۲	J	D	A	В	с С	D
	Core:		104:2			104:3			104:4			104:5			
	Sample Number		2162	2163	2164	2165	2166	2167	2168	2169	2170	2171	2172	2174	2175

2000 800000000 - 20 30-	100000000000000000000000000000000000000			~		·/mmin		
Sample	Core	Sub-	Result	Dupticate	Mean	Overall	GSA	Projected
Number	Segment	segment				Mean	(mean)	Inventory
	1	,	#2/ 8	PB/S	. 72/5	F2/2	8	kg
7941	99:1	Whole	95,300	95,730	95,5201	1.63E+05	14.2	2.12E+05
		Whole*	N/A	N/A	N/A			
7942	99:2	A	2.017E+05	2.016E+05	2.017E+05 ¹			
7932		DL	2.016E+05	$1.880E + 05 \ \mu g/mL^{1}$	1.948E+05µg/mL			
			µg/mL'		(1.362E+05 μg/g)			
7943		D	1.399E+05	1.470E+05	1.435E+05 ¹			
		D•	N/A	N/A	N/A			
7944	9 9:3	A	1.695E+05	1.820E+05	1.758E+05 ¹			
		A*	N/A	N/A	N/A			
7935		DL	1.853E+05	1.950E+05 up/ml ⁻¹	1 907E+05e/m1			
			μg/mL ¹		(1.330E+05 μg/g)			
7945	99:4	A	1.355E+05	1.210E+05	1.283E+05 ^{1,5}			
		۸*	N/A	N/A	N/A			
7946		В	1.034E+05	1.149E+05	1.092E+05 ¹			
		B*	N/A	N/A	N/A			
7947		c	1.118E+05	1.149E+05	1.134E+05 ¹			
		C*	N/A	N/A	N/A			
7948		D	1.176E+05	1.172E+05	1.174E+05 ¹			
		D*	N/A	N/A	N/A			
2161	104:1	Whole	2.19E+05	2.23E+05	2.21E+05 ²	<u> </u>		

Table A-32. Tank 241-BY-108 Analytical Results: Sodium (2 sheets).

=

Sample	Core:	Sub-	Result	Duplicate	Mean	Overall Mean	RSD (mean)	Projected Inventory
1 UUIAADEA	ocguicat	Segment	₽ 8 /8	₽ g /g	µ₿/g	₽g/g	%	kg
2162	104:2	A	2.17E+05	. 1.87E+05	2.02E+05 ^{2,3}	Cont'd	Cont'd	Cont'd
2163		В	1.91E+05	1.94E+05	1.93E+05 ²			
2164		С	1.83E+05	1.88E+05	1.85E+05 ²			
2165	104:3	Α	1.81E+05	1.83E+05	1.82E+05 ^{2,4}			
2166		С	1.63E+05	1.58E+05	1.60E+05 ²			
2167		D	1.89E+05	1.91E+05	1.90E+05 ²			
2168	104:4	A	2.24E+05	2.21E+05	2.22E+05 ^{2,4}			
2169		С	1.94E+05	1.85E+05	1.90E+05 ²			
2170		D	1.79E+05	1.81E+05	1.80E+05 ²			
2171	104:5	A	1.87E+05	1.82E+05	1.84E+05 ²			
2172		В	1.32E+05	1.30E+05	1.31E+05 ²			
2174		C	1.25E+05	1.31E+05	1.28E+05 ²			
2175		D	1.39E+05	1.47E+05	1.43E+05 ²			

Table A-32. Tank 241-BY-108 Analytical Results: Sodium (2 sheets).

Note:

¹The dilution results were used instead of the original sample results for the drainable liquid, because the sodium concentration in the original samples was greater than the measurable range of the analytical instrument.
Sample	Core:	Sub-	Result	Duplicate	Mean	Overall Mean	RSD (mean)	Projected Inventory
INUILIDEL	oeguncin	beginent	µg/g	P8/2	#8/8	₽ g ∕g	%	kg
7941	99:1	Whole	27	22	25 ⁵	3,190	66.2	4,150
		Whole*	58	70	64 ^{5,6}	Í		
7942	99:2	A	< 8.681	< 9.017	< 8.849			
7932		DL	< 0.625 µg/mL	< 0.625 µg/mL	< 0.625 μg/mL (< 0.437 μg/g)			
7943		D	15	13	14 ⁵			
		D*	35		356			
7944	99:3	A	162	201	1825			
		A*	310		3106			
7935	5 99:4 A A*		2 μg/mL	5 μg/mL	$4 \ \mu g/mL (3 \ \mu g/g)^{5}$			
7945	99:4 A A* B		12,490	12,750	12,620			
	99:4 A A* B		13,890		13,890			
7946	A* 13,8 B 27,3 B* 25,0		27,380	18,730	23,055 ⁵			
	A* B B*		25,030		25,030			
7947	46 B B* 47 C		1,084	1,097	1,091			
	C C*		1,260	1	1,260			
7948	7948 D		1,452	1,471	1,462			
		D*	1,468		1,468			
2161	104:1	Whole	32.90	36.42	34.66 ⁵			

Table A-33. Tank 241-BY-108 Analytical Results: Strontium (2 sheets).

	Tabl	e A-33. Tank 241-B	Y-108 Analytical Re	sults: Strontium (2 s	sheets). Overall	RSD	Projected
ti Sub- at segment	8	III	Dupticate	Mean	Mean	(mean)	Inventory
, and the second	Ħ	g/g	P2/2	#2/8	r B/B	8	ka
A 101.9	101.9		163.5	132.75	Cont'd	Cont'd	Cont'd
B 104.1	104.1		102.1	103.1 ⁵			
C 147.9	147.9		130.1	139.0			
A 30.00	30.00		34.20	32.10			
C 117.0	117.0		120.0	118.5			
D 554.0	554.0		625.0	589.5			
A 41.70	41.70		33.80	37.755			_
C 130.0	130.0		134.0	132.0			
D 12.40	12.40		13.20	12.80			
A 634.4	634.4		611.0	622.7			
B 25,600	25,600		25,500	25,600			
C 39,400	39,400		40,000	39,700			
D 9,540	9,540		10,100	9,820			

Sample Number	Core:	Sub-	Result	Duplicate	Mcan	Overall Mean	RSD (mean)	Projected Inventory
Number	ocguern	beginean	₽g/g	ag/g	#E/E	µg∕g	%	kg
2161	104:1	Whole	1,190	949.3	1,070 ^{1,5}	6,960	30.2	9,050
2162	104:2	A	3,520	3,290	3,410 ¹			
2163		В	5,850	5,630	5,740 ¹			
2164		С	5,160	4,820	4,990 ¹			
2165	104:3	A	3,190	3,320	3,2601.4			
2166		С	2,680	2,760	2,7201			
2167		D	7,100	8,860	7,980 ^{1,5}			
2168	104:4	A	4,420	3,890	4,160 ^{4,5}			
2169		С	16,500	16,100	16,300	-		
2170		D	24,200	26,400	25,300			
2171	104:5	Α	29,200	27,700	28,400		l i	
2172		В	5,170	5,060	5,110			
2174		С	1,700	2,090	1,9005			
2175		D	907.2	946.9	927.0			

Table A-34. Tank 241-BY-108 Analytical Results: Sulfur.

Dre: ment	Sub- seement	Result	Duplicate	Mean	Overall Mean	RSD (mean)	Projected Inventory
		RS/B	3/34	8/3#	#2/8	8	kg
-	Whole	< 995.0	< 974.7	< 984.9	< 694	N/A	< 902
	Whole*	< 755.9	< 1,057	< 906.5			
:2	۲	< 868.1	< 901.7	< 884.9			
	DL	< 62.5 μg/mL	< 62.5 µg/mL	< 62.5 μg/mL (< 43.7 μg/g)			
	D	< 297.5	< 306.5	< 302.0			
	D*	< 443.3		< 443.3			
:3	A	< 825.1	< 704.3	< 764.7			
	A*	< 753.0	1	< 753.0			
	DL	< 62.5 µg/mL	< 62.5 μg/mL	< 62.5 μg/mL (< 43.7 μg/g)			
;4	A	< 928.5	< 853.3	< 890.9			
	A*	< 963.4		< 963.4			
	В	< 998.0	< 1,008	< 1,003			
	B*	< 928.5		< 928.5			
	c	< 918.3	< 692.1	< 805.2			
	C*	< 956.0	8	< 956.0			
	D	< 1,012	< 1,142	< 1,077			
	D*	< 908.3		< 908.3			

Table A-35. Tank 241-BY-108 Analytical Results: Tellurium.

		Tab	ole A-36. Tank 241-	BY-108 Analytical R	esults: Thallium (2	sheets).	·	
Sample Number	Core:	Sub-	Result	Duplicate	Mean	Overall Mean	RSD (mean)	Projected Inventory
			5/34	2/34	8/3#	8/34	8	kg
7941	99:1	Whole	< 995.0	< 974.7	< 984.9	< 479	N/A	< 623
1		Whole*	< 755.9	< 1,057	< 906.5			
7942	99:2	A	< 868.1	< 901.7	< 884.9			
7932	µ	DL	76 µg/mL	75 μg/mL	76 μg/mL (53 μg/g)			
7943		D	< 297.5	< 306.5	< 302.0	-		
		D•	< 443.3	-	< 443.3			
7944	99:3	<	< 825.1	< 704.3	< 764.7			
		A*	< 753.0		< 753.0			
7935		DL	77 μg/mL	77 µg/mL	77 μg/mL (54 μg/g)			
7945	99:4	A	< 928.5	< 853.3	< 890.9			
		A*	< 963.4	-	< 963.4			
7946		В	< 998.0	< 1,008	< 1,003			
		B*	< 928.5	-	< 928.5			
7947		с U	< 918.3	< 692.1	< 805.2			
		C*	< 956.0		< 956.0			
7948		D	< 1,012	< 1,142	< 1,077			
		D*	< 908.3	8	< 908.3			
2161	104:1	Whole	< 199.6	< 196.4	< 198.0			

WHC-SD-WM-ER-533 Rev. 0

A-70

· · · · · · · · · · · ·								
Sample	Core:	Sub-	Result	Duplicate	Mean	Overall Mean	RSD (mean)	Projected Inventory
	The Bullet		8/84	8/84	8/8#	#6/8	8	kg
2162	104:2	Α	< 270.4	< 271.0	< 271.7 ¹	Cont'd	Cont'd	Cont'd
2163		В	< 271.8	< 300.0	< 285.9 ¹			
2164		c	< 211.4	< 205.0	< 208.2 ¹			
2165	104:3	V	< 216	< 214	< 215			
2166		c	< 212	< 203	< 208			
2167		D	< 309	< 350	< 330			
2168	104:4	V	< 299	< 299	< 299			
2169		C	< 391	< 391	< 391			
2170		D	< 188	< 188	< 188			
2171	104:5	A	< 248.3	< 246.1	< 247.2			
2172		В	< 205.3	< 192.7	< 199.0			
2174		c	< 474.8	< 518.6	< 497.4			
2175		D	< 298.0	< 296.8	< 297.4			

Table A-36. Tank 241-BY-108 Analytical Results: Thallium (2 sheets).

-

							A TOTOLOGICAL CONTRACTOR	
Sample Number	Core: Segment	Sub- segment	Result	Duplicate	Mean	Overall Mean	RSD (mean)	Projected Inventory
			P.2/2	pg/g	8/8#	FE/E	8	kg
7941	99:1	Whole	< 1,592	< 1,559	< 1,576	< 1,110	N/A	< 1,440
		Whole*	< 1,209	< 1,691	< 1,450	<u> </u>		
7942	99:2	V	< 1,389	< 1,443	< 1,416			
7932		DL	< 100 µg/mL	< 100 µg/mL	< 100 µg/mL	_		
7943		D	< 475.9	< 490.3	(< 03.7 µg/g) < 483 1			
		D*	< 709.2		< 700.7			
7944	99:3	<	< 1,320	< 1,127	< 1,224			
		۸*	< 1,205	-	< 1,205			
7935		DL	< 100 µg/mL	< 100 µg/mL	 < 100 μg/mL (< 69.9 μg/g) 			
7945	99:4	A	< 1,486	< 1,365	< 1,426	.		
		A*	< 1,541	-	< 1,541			
7946		В	< 1,597	< 1,613	< 1,605			
		B*	< 1,486		< 1,486			
7947	<u> </u>	С	< 1,469	< 1,107	< 1,288			
		C*	< 1,530		< 1,530			
7948	<u>4</u>	D	< 1,619	< 1,826	< 1,723			
		D*	< 1,453	1	< 1,453			

ij É Table A-37. Tank 241-BY-108 Analytical Results:

			Table A-38. Ta	urk 241-BY-108 Ana	lytical Results: Tin.			
Sample	Care:	Sub-	Result	Duplicate	Mean	Overall Mean	RSD (mean)	Projected Inventory
wannet			8/84	3/84	#5/8	#8/8	8	kg
7941	99:1	Whole	< 1,990	< 1,949	< 1,970	< 1,390	N/A	< 1,810
		Whole*	< 1,512	< 2,114	< 1,813			
7942	99:2	V	< 1,736	< 1,803	< 1,770			
7932		DL	< 125 µg/mL	< 125 µg/mL	 < 125 μg/mL (< 87.4 μg/g) 	.		
7943		D	< 594.9	< 612.9	< 603.9	.		
		D*	< 886.5	1	< 886.5	•		
7944	99:3	A	< 1,650	< 1,409	< 1,530			
		۸*	< 1,506	-	< 1,506			
7935		DL	< 125 µg/mL	< 125 µg/mL	 < 125 μg/mL (< 87.4 μg/g) 			
7945	99:4	۲	< 1,857	< 1,707	< 1,782	-		
		A*	< 1,927		< 1,927			
7946		В	< 1,996	< 2,016	< 2,006			
		B*	< 1,857	-	< 1,857			
7947	-	C	< 1,837	< 1,384	< 1,611			
		C*	< 1,912	1	< 1,912			
7948		D	< 2,024	< 2,283	< 2,154	r		
		D*	< 1,817		< 1,817			

	¢	-	Description	Pullints		Overall	RSD	Profester
Sample	Core: Segment	Sub- seement	KCSUIT	Lupucate	Mean	Mean	(mean)	Inventory
		0	FS/S	P.8/8	FB/B	8/8x	8	kg
7941	1:66	Whole	358	181	2705,6	74.9	29.8	97.4
		Whole*	45	70	585,6			
7942	99:2	V	22	26	24 ^{5,6}			
7932		DL	< 0.625 µg/mL	< 0.625 µg/mL	 < 0.625 μg/mL (< 0.437 μg/g) 	.		
7943		D	23	22	236	-	<u>_</u>	
		D*	22		226			
7944	99:3	A	38	28	332'0			
		A*	20		20			
7935		DL	< 0.625 µg/mL	< 0.625 µg/mL	 < 0.625 μg/mL (< 0.437 μg/g) 			
7945	99:4	A	5	56	e0 _{2'8}	-		
		A*	41	-	416	-		
7946		В	478	412	445 ^{5,6}			
		B*	46		46°			
7947		C	58	56	576			
		C*	34		346			<u> </u>
7948		D	76	83	80			
		D*	40		406			
2161	104:1	Whole	10.82	11.39	11.11			

A-74

Sample	Core:	Sub-	Result	Duplicate	Mean	Overall Mean	RSD (mean)	Projected Inventory
NUMBER	ocgancan	segment	₽ g /g	#8/8	₽g/g	₽₿/₿	%	kg
2162	104:2	A	178.8	282.3	230.55	Cont'd	Cont'd	Cont'd
2163		В	198.0	193.8	195.9			
2164		C	121.0	109.2	115.15			
2165	104:3	A	62.70	67.40	65.05			
2166		C	195.0	201.0	198.0			
2167		D	78.80	56.90	67.85 ⁵	-		
2168	104:4	A	< 14.9	< 14.9	< 14.9			
2169		C	< 19.6	< 19.6	< 19.6			
2170		D	< 9.41	< 9.41	< 9.41			
2171	104:5	A	< 12.41	< 12.30	< 12.36			
2172		В	35.36	32.94	34.15			
2174	1	С	48.26	44.23	46.24			
2175	1	D	46.14	48.60	47.37			

Table A-39. Tank 241-BY-108 Analytical Results: Titanium (2 sheets).

			Table A-40. Tank	241-BY-108 Analyti	ical Results: Tungst	en.		
Sample	Core: Seement	Sub-	Result	Duplicate	Mean	Overall Mean	RSD (mean)	Projected Inventory
			B/84	3/31	8/8#	prg/g	8	kg
7941	1:66	Whole	< 995.0	< 974.7	< 984.9	< 744	N/A	< 967
		Whole* ·	< 755.9	< 1,057	< 906.5			
7942	99:2	V	< 868.1	< 901.7	< 884.9			
7932		DL	63 μg/mL	< 62.5 µg/mL	63 µg/mL			
					(44 μg/g)			
7943		D	< 297.5	< 306.5	< 302.0			
		D*	< 443.3		< 443.3			
7944	99:3	V	< 825.1	< 704.3	< 764.7			
		۸*	< 753.0		< 753.0			
7935		DL	776 µg/mL	772 µg/mL	774 μg/mL (541 μg/g)			
7945	99:4	V	< 928.5	< 853.3	< 890.9			
		۸*	< 963.4		< 963.4			
7946		В	< 998.0	< 1,008	< 1,003			
		B*	< 928.5		< 928.5			
7947	<u> </u>	C	< 918.3	< 692.1	< 805.2			-
	<u></u>	C*	< 956.0		< 956.0			
7948		D	< 1,012	< 1,142	< 1,077		_	
		D*	< 908.3	8	< 908.3			

		4.034	1																		
	Projected Inventory	kg	12,300				_								-						
	RSD (mean)	8	60.0					····													
sheets).	Overall Mean	8,3#	9,470			_															
esuits: Uranium (3	Mean	8/34	< 3,940 ¹	102	< 3,626	< 3,540 ¹	26.3	< 250 μg/mL (< 175 μg/g)	< 1,208 ¹	125	< 1,773	< 3,059 ¹	518	< 3,012	< 250 μg/mL (< 175 μg/g)	31,280 ¹	32,100	33,220	54,190 ^{1.5}	51,800	54,720
DI-100 Milalylical R	Duplicate	#2/2	< 3,899	102	< 4,228	< 3,607	30.5	< 250 µg/mL	< 1,226	124		< 2,817	535		< 250 µg/mL	31,600	31,900		46,560	48,600	
	Result	µg/g	< 3,980	101	< 3,023	< 3,472	22.0	< 250 µg/mL	< 1,190	126	< 1,773	< 3,300	501	< 3,012	< 250 µg/mL	30,960	32,300	33,220	61,810	54,900	54,720
	Sub- seement		Whole	Whole ¹	Whole*	V	A ¹	DL	D	D'	•Q	۷	V 1	A*	DL	A	٩١	A*	B	B ¹	B*
	Core: Segment		99:1			99:2						99:3				99:4					
	Sample Number		7941			7942		7932	7943			7944			7935	7945			7946		

Table A-41. Tank 241-BY-108 Analytical Results: 11ranium (3 cheets)

.

		i c			Overall	USN	Projected
2	Sub-	Result	Duplicate	Mcan	Mean	(mean)	Inventory
		P2/2	P2/2	8/3x	5/34	8	kg
U U		40,880	44,370	42,630 ¹	Cont'd	Cont'd	Cont'd
ບັ _	-	46,700	48,200	47,500			
Ũ	+	46,940	1	46,940	T		
		51,490	51,630	51,560	,		
Ō		45,800	50,400	48,100	.		
	*	50,670	1	50,670	.		
3	/hole	< 399.2	< 392.8	< 396.0	•		
< 2		< 540.8	< 542.0	< 541.4		,	
B		< 543.6	< 600.0	< 571.8			
ပ		< 422.8	< 410.0	< 416.4	•		
8 8		< 531	< 534	< 533	T		
ပ		< 531	< 508	< 520	Ţ		
Ω		1,180	1,340	1,2605	- -		
A V		< 747	< 747	< 747			
U		< 978	< 978	< 978			
		< 470	< 470	< 470	•		

WHC-SD-WM-ER-533 Rev. 0

A-78

			TET VINDT TTE VI AND	N IPUININ NI PUININ N	c) mnumero sunso	sneets).		
Sample	Core:	Sub-	Result	Duplicate	Mean	Overall Mean	RSD (mean)	Projected Inventory
			RB/B	# 8/ 8	F8/8	#5/g	88	53
2171	104:5	A	1,600	1,540	1,570	Cont'd	Cont'd	Cont'd
2172		В	15,400	15,400	15,400			
2174		C	47,400	49,800	48,600			
2175		D	50,300	53,300	51,800			

Table A-41. Tank 241-BY-108 Analytical Results: Uranium (3 sheets).

Note:

'Results from the laser fluorimetry analysis.

		Tab	le A-42. Tank 241-i	BY-108 Analytical R	esults: Vanadium (2	sheets).	DCIN	
Jore:		Sub- seement	Result	Duplicate	Mean	Mean	(mean)	Projected Inventory
	1		P8/8	P8/8	8/8#	P2/2 4	8	2
		Whole	57	48	53 ⁵	< 47.3	N/A	< 61.5
		Whole*	57	55	56			
2		V	< 17.36	< 18.03	< 17.70			
		DL	< 1.25 μg/mL	< 1.25 μg/mL	 < 1.25 μg/mL (< 0.874 μg/g) 		·	
	_	D	7	< 6.129	7			
		D*	15		15			
e		V	22	22	226			
		۸*	19		19			
		DL	< 1.25 µg/mL	< 1.25 µg/mL	 < 1.25 μg/mL (< 0.874 μg/g) 			
4		A	23	27	255			
		A*	< 19.27		< 19.27			
		В	28	29	296			
•		B#	20		20			
		C	28	25	275			
		ť	< 19.12		< 19.12			
	•	D	26	32	295,6			
		D*	21		21			
::		Whole	69.40	67.92	68.66 ¹			
۱.								

Table A-42. Tank 241-BY-108 Analytical Results: Vanadium (2 sheets).	Sub- Sub- Mean Overall RSD Projected Mean (mean) Inventory	Sy % 5/S# 5/S# 3/S# 3/S# 3/S# 10005-00 1	A < 67.60 < 67.75 < 67.68 Cont'd Cont'd Cont'd	B < 67.95 < 71.48	C < 52.85 < 51.25 < 52.05	A < 53.1 < 53.4 < 53.3	C < 53.1 < 50.8 < 52.0	D < 77.3 < 87.6 < 82.5	A < 74.7 < 74.7 < 74.7	C < 97.9 < 97.9 < 97.9	D < 47.0 < 47.0	A < 62.07 < 61.52 < 61.80	B < 51.33 < 48.17 < 49.75	C < 118.7 < 129.7 < 124.2	
Table A-	Sub-		A < 6	B < 6	c < 5	A < 5	c < 5	D < 7	A < 7	c < 9	D < 4	A < 6	B < 5	c <	۲ ۲
	Sample Core:		2162 104:2	2163	2164	2165 104:3	2166	2167	2168 104:4	2169	2170	2171 104:5	2172	2174	

A-81

Sample	Core:	Sub-	Result	Duplicate	Mean	Overall Mean	RSD (mean)	Projected Inventory
Number	Segurem	segment	₽8/8	#8/8	#E/8	⊭g/g	%	kg
7941	99:1	Whole	< 19.90	< 19.49	< 19.70	< 14.4	N/A	< 18.7
		Whole*	< 15.12	< 21.14	< 18.13	-		
7942	99:2	A	< 17.36	< 18.03	< 17.70	1		
7932		DL	< 1.25 µg/mL	< 1.25 µg/mL	< 1.25 μg/mL (< 0.874 μg/g)			
7943	1	D	< 5.949	< 6.129	< 6.039			
		D*	< 8.865		< 8.865	-		
7944	99:3	٨	< 16.50	< 14.09	< 15.30	1		
		A*	< 15.06		< 15.06	-		
7935		DL	< 1.25 µg/mL	< 1.25 µg/mL	< 1.25 μg/mL (< 0.874 μg/g)			
7945	99:4	A	< 18.57	< 17.07	< 17.82	1		
	}	A*	< 19.27		< 19.27	1		
7946		В	< 19.96	< 20.16	< 20.06			
		B*	20		20			
7947		С	21	21	21	1		
		C*	20		20	-		
7948	1	D	24	25	25	-		
		D*	25		25	1		

Table A-43. Tank 241-BY-108 Analytical Results: Yttrium.

H

Sample	Core:	Sub-	Result	Duplicate	Mean	Overall Mean	RSD (mean)	Projected Inventory
IAMINYAYA	DERIDENT	бедшеш	µg/g	pg/g	₽E/E	⊭g/g	%	kg
7941	99:1	Whole	< 39.80	< 38.99	< 39.40	83.5	33.4	109
		Whole*	42	50	46 ⁵			
7942	99:2	A	71	250	160 ^{5,6}			
7932		DL	7 μg/mL	7 μg/mL	7 μg/mL (5 μg/g)			
7943		D	50	49	50	-		
		D*	32		32			
7944	99:3	A	103	98	1016	-		
		A*	69		69			
7935		DL	12 μg/mL	12 μg/mL	12 μg/mL (8.4 μg/g)			
7945	99:4	A	297	228	263 ^{5,6}			
		A*	235		235			
7946		В	63	< 40.32	52	-1		
		B*	150		150			
7947		C	354	310	3325,6	-		
		C*	247		247			
7948		D	396	368	382			
		D*	303		303			
2161	104:1	Whole	37.93	44.46	41.19 ^{1,5}			

Table A-44. Tank 241-BY-108 Analytical Results: Zinc (2 sheets).

Sample	Core:	Sub-	Result	Duplicate	Mean	Overall Mean	RSD (mean)	Projected Inventory
Number	Sel ment	segment	₽ 8 /8	₽ 8 /8	pg/g	#B/g	%	kg
2162	104:2	A	30.57	40.29	35.431.5	Cont'd	Cont'd	Cont'd
2163		В	32.24	33.76	33.00 ¹			
2164		С	31.29	30.30	30.79 ¹			
2165	104:3	A	33.90	25.90	29.90 ^{1,5}			
2166		С	35.90	30.20	33.05 ^{1,5}			
2167		D	32.30	32.10	32.20 ¹			
2168	104:4	A	20.80	17.90	19.355			
2169		С	30.90	26.30	28.60 ⁵			
2170	,	D	28.30	24.80	26.55 ⁵			
2171	104:5	A	26.43	23.91	25.17			
2172		В	250.8	247.2	249.0			
2174		С	245.9	225.6	235.7			
2175		D	225.3	234.6	230.0			

Table A-44. Tank 241-BY-108 Analytical Results: Zinc (2 sheets).

Projected Inventory	kg -	< 45.1																	
RSD (mean)	8	N/A																	
Overall Mean	8/8#	< 34.7													•	<u>.</u>			
Mean	#B/B	1816	N/A	< 17.70	 < 1.25 μg/mL (< 0.874 μg/g) 	< 6.039	N/A	< 15.30	N/A	 < 1.25 μg/mL (< 0.874 μg/g) 	< 17.82	N/A	27	N/A	< 16.11	N/A	< 21.54	N/A	< 9.900 ³
Duplicate	P8/8	187	N/A	< 18.03	< 1.25 µg/mL	< 6.129	N/A	< 14.09	N/A	< 1.25 µg/mL	< 17.07	N/A	< 20.16	N/A	< 13.84	N/A	< 22.83	N/A	< 9.820
Result	FB /B	174	N/A	< 17.36	< 1.25 μg/mL	< 5.949	N/A	< 16.50	N/A	< 1.25 µg/mL	< 18.57	N/A	33	N/A	< 18.37	N/A	< 20.24	N/A	< 9.980
Sub-	segment	Whole	Whole*	A	DL	D	D*	A	٨*	DL	۲	A*	В	B*	C	ť	D	D*	Whole
Core:	Segment	99:1		99:2				99:3			99:4								104:1
Sample	Number	7941		7942	7932	7943		7944		7935	7945		7946		7947		7948		2161

٠

A-85

		Tabl	le A-45. Tank 241-B	Y-108 Analytical Re	sults: Zirconium (2	shœts).		
Sample Number	Core: Segment	Sub- seement	Result	Duplicate	Mean	Overall Mean	RSD (mean)	Projected Inventory
			P2/2	3/34	9/3#	5/34	8	kg
2162	104:2	V	20.87	35.17	28.02 ^{3,5}	Cont'd	Cont'd	Cont'd
2163		В	15.96	< 15.00	15.48			
2164		c	< 10.57	< 10.25	< 10.41			
2165	104:3	V	< 10.8	< 10.7	< 10.8 ³			
2166		C	20.10	20.20	20.15			
2167		D	< 15.5	< 17.5	< 16.5			
2168	104:4	A	< 14.9	< 14.9	< 14.9			A.
2169		c	< 19.6	< 19.6	< 19.6			
2170		D	< 9.41	< 9.41	< 9.41			
2171	104:5	V	< 12.41	< 12.30	< 12.36			
2172		B	< 10.27	< 9.634	< 9.952			
2174		С	31.16	< 25.93	28.55			
2175		D	< 14.90	< 14.84	< 14.87			

2

		Tat	ble A-46. Tank 241-	BY-108 Analytical R	tesults: Chloride (2	sheets).		
umple	Core:	Sub-	Result	Duplicate	Mean	Overall Mean	RSD (mean)	Projected Inventory
	na finant		µ2/2	8/84	8/81	#8/8	8	kg
84	98:1	Whole	1,030	1,320	1,180 ⁵	1,540	9.66	2,000
73		DL	4,920 μg/mL	5,020 µg/mL	4,970 μg/mL (3,480 μg/g)			
6	98:2	v	1,360	1,320	1,340			
27		DL	3,740 μg/mL	3,790 μg/mL	3,760 μg/mL (2,630 μg/g)			
16		C	1,780	1,550	1,670 ⁵			
92		D	2,180	2,110	2,140	•		
93	98:3	A	1,500	1,750	1,620 ⁵			
30		DL	4,010 μg/mL	4,030 μg/mL	4,020 μg/mL (2,810 μg/g)			
94		ပ	1,320	1,870	1,600 ⁵			
95		D	1,760	1,420	1,5905			
96	98:4	V	1,340	1,260	1,300			
67		В	016	1,300	1,100			
86		с U	2,030	1,690	1,860			
101		D	1,200	1,270	1,240	r		
141	99:1	Whole	700	700	7003	T		
142	99:2	A	800	800	800			
32	• <u> </u>	DL	3,300 μg/mL	3,300 μg/mL	3,300 μg/mL (2,310 μg/g)	r		
143		D	1,200	1,100	1,150	1		

	I RSD Projected (meau) Inventory	% kg	Cont'd Cont'd																			
Overall RS Mean (me hg/g g Cont'd Con	Cont'd Con	Cont'd Cont	1						1	1 1	1 1 1											
Eein Con g/g/ g/g/ Con	g/g Con g/g/mL	g/mL g/g)	s/mL g/g)																			
Mea #8/ 1,150 3,400 μg/π (2,380 μg/	μ 2 1,150 3,400 μg/π (2,380 μg/	1,150 3,400 μg/π (2,380 μg/	3,400 μg/n (2,380 μg/		1,300	1,500 ⁵	1,350	1,200		< 210°	< 210° 1,050°	< 210° 1,050 ⁵ 1,260 ⁵	< 210° 1,050 ⁵ 1,260 ⁵ 1,460	< 210° 1,050 ⁵ 1,260 ⁵ 1,260 ⁵ 1,740	< 210° 1,050 ⁵ 1,260 ³ 1,260 ³ 1,260 ³ 1,740	 < 210° 1,050⁵ 1,260⁵ 1,460 1,740 1,220⁵ 1,480 	 < 210° 1,050⁵ 1,260⁵ 1,460 1,740 1,740 1,480 1,950⁵ 	 < 210° 1,050⁵ 1,260³ 1,460 1,740 1,220⁵ 1,950⁵ 2,220⁵ 	 < 210° 1,050⁵ 1,260³ 1,460 1,740 1,740 1,480 1,950⁵ 2,220⁵ 	 < 210° 1,050⁵ 1,260³ 1,740 1,740 1,220⁵ 1,950⁵ 2,220⁵ 2,050 	 < 210° 1,050⁵ 1,260³ 1,460 1,740 1,480 1,950⁵ 2,050 2,080⁵ 	 < 210° 1,050⁵ 1,260⁵ 1,740 1,740 1,220⁵ 1,950⁵ 2,050 2,080⁵ 2,660 3,230⁵
icate		2/8		mĽ																		
	idim	34	1,100	3,400 μg/	1,200	1,400	1,300		1,100	1, IW < 210	1,100 < 210 474	1,100 < 210 474 1,400	1,100 < 210 474 1,400 1,400	1,100 < 210 < 774 1,400 1,400 1,670	1,100 < 210 474 1,400 1,400 1,670 970	1,100 < 210 474 1,400 1,400 1,670 970 1,520	1,100 < 210 474 1,400 1,400 1,670 970 1,520 2,060	1,100 < 210 474 1,400 1,670 970 1,520 2,060 2,090	1,100 < 210 474 1,400 1,670 970 1,520 2,090 2,090 2,090	$\begin{array}{r} 1,100 \\ < 210 \\ 474 \\ 1,400 \\ 1,400 \\ 1,670 \\ 970 \\ 970 \\ 2,090 \\ 2,090 \\ 2,090 \\ 1,760 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r rrr} 1,100 \\ < 210 \\ 474 \\ 1,400 \\ 1,400 \\ 1,670 \\ 970 \\ 1,520 \\ 2,090 \\ 2,090 \\ 2,090 \\ 1,760 \\ 1,760 \\ 2,750 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r rrr} 1,100 \\ < 210 \\ 474 \\ 1,400 \\ 1,400 \\ 1,670 \\ 970 \\ 2,060 \\ 2,090 \\ 2,090 \\ 1,760 \\ 1,760 \\ 2,870 \end{array}$
	Kesur	8/8 4		₄g/mL																		
-	4	1	1,200	3,400 μ	1,400	1,600	1 400	22264	1,300	1,300 < 210	1,300 < 210 1,620	1,300 < 210 1,620 1,120	$\frac{1,300}{1,620}$ $\frac{1,620}{1,120}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1,300\\ < 210\\ 1,620\\ 1,120\\ 1,820\\ 1,820\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1,300\\ < 210\\ 1,620\\ 1,120\\ 1,520\\ 1,820\\ 1,480\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1, 300 \\ < 210 \\ 1,620 \\ 1,120 \\ 1,820 \\ 1,480 \\ 1,440 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1, 300 \\ < 210 \\ 1, 620 \\ 1, 1, 120 \\ 1, 820 \\ 1, 440 \\ 1, 840 \\ 1, 840 \\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1, 300 \\ < 210 \\ < 210 \\ 1,620 \\ 1,120 \\ 1,820 \\ 1,440 \\ 1,840 \\ 1,840 \\ 2,350 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 1,300\\ < 210\\ 1,620\\ 1,620\\ 1,520\\ 1,840\\ 1,840\\ 1,840\\ 2,350\\ 2,010\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 1, 300 \\ < 210 \\ 1,620 \\ 1,620 \\ 1,120 \\ 1,820 \\ 1,480 \\ 1,440 \\ 1,440 \\ 1,840 \\ 2,350 \\ 2,390 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 1,300\\ < 210\\ 1,620\\ 1,620\\ 1,1,020\\ 1,820\\ 1,440\\ 1,440\\ 1,440\\ 2,350\\ 2,350\\ 2,390\\ 2,570\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 1, 520\\ < 210\\ < 210\\ 1, 620\\ 1, 620\\ 1, 1, 120\\ 1, 820\\ 1, 840\\ 1, 840\\ 1, 840\\ 1, 840\\ 2, 350\\ 2, 350\\ 2, 570\\ 3, 590\\ \end{array}$
4	Segment		A	DL	A	В		ں د	DC	C D Whole	C D Whole A	C D Whole B	C Whole B C	C D Whole B C C	C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	D D D C C C D	D Whole D D D D	C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	D C A C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C		D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D	C B B C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C
	Core: Segment		99:3		99:4	<u> </u>				104:1	104:1	104:1	104:1	104:1	104:3	104:1	104:1 104:2 104:4	104:4 104:3 104:4	104:1 104:3 104:4	104:1 104:3 104:5 104:3 104:3	104:5 104:5 104:5	104:5 104:5 104:4 104:4 104:5
salah sa	Sample		7944	7935	7945	7946		1941	7948	7947 7948 2536	7947 7948 2536 2558	7947 7948 2536 2559	7947 7948 2536 2559 2560	7947 7948 25536 2559 2550 2560 2561	794/ 7948 2536 2559 2560 2561	7947 7948 2536 2559 2560 2561 2562 2563	794/ 7948 2536 2559 2559 2561 2561 2563 2563 2563	794/ 7948 2556 2559 2560 2561 1 2563 2563 2563 2563 2563	794/ 7948 7948 2556 2559 2560 2561 2563 2563 2563 2564 1 2566	794/ 7948 7948 7948 2556 2556 25561 2563 2563 2564 25563 2563 2564 2566 2566 2566 2566 2566 2566	794/ 7948 7948 7948 7948 2559 2559 2560 2563 2563 2563 2563 2563 2563 2563 2563 2563 2563 2563 2563 2563 2563 2563 2563 2563 2563 2563	794/ 7948 7948 7948 7948 7556 2559 2556 25563 2563 25563 25563 25563 25563 25563 25563 25563 25563 25563 25563 25563

and the second sec

	۲ ۲																		
	Projecte Inventor	kg	471															:	
	RSD (mean)	8	65.8								÷								
sheets).	Overall Mean	B/SH	362			.		•		•	,	·	.		.		•		
Results: Cyanide (2	Mean	8/8#	357.0*	297.5	198.0 ²	345.5	165.5 ³	101.95	275.5	1,820*	1,860	1,860	2,980	1783	118	713 μg/mL (499 μg/g) ³	142	193	527 μg/mL (368 μg/g)
BY-108 Analytical F	Duplicate	FB /B	364.0	302.0	195.0	340.0	165.0	111.0	265.0	1,900	1,720	1,880	3,080	95	120	711 µg/mL	135	191	532 µg/mL
le A-47. Tank 241-	Result	F2/3	350.0	293.0	201.0	351.0	166.0	92.80	286.0	1,740	2,010	1,850	2,890	< 260	116	715 µg/mL	149	195	521 µg/mL
Tab	Sub- coment		Whole	۲	C	D	۲	C	0	<	В	c	D	Whole	A	DL	D	V	DL
	Core: Segment	memer	98:1	98:2			98:3			98:4				99:1	99:2			99:3	
	Sample Number		1390	1404	1399	1396	1431	1432	1433	1419	1420	1421	1422	7941	7942	7932	7943	7944	7935

	Project Invent	kg	Cont'd																	
	RSD (mean)	8	Cont'd													_				
shæts).	Overall Mean	3/3#	Cont'd	.	T		.	-	1		1	-	.							
Results: Cyanide (2	Mean	8/84	751	1,660	1,660	1,150	58.80 ^{2,3}	66.35	96.40 ⁵	350.0 ^{2.5}	162.02	155.0	173.5	58.95 ⁵	77.603	39.33	37.95	97.30	121.03	98.351
BY-108 Analytical I	Duplicate	98/8	760	1,650	1,660	1	57.40	62.90	80.80	310.0	161.0	160.0	166.0	49.90	75.40	38.87	39.40	94.70	117.0	98.30
ble A-47. Tank 241-	Result	P.2 /8	742	1,660		1,150	60.20	69.80	112.0	0.065	163.0	150.0	181.0	68.00	79.80	39.80	36.50	06.60	125.0	98.40
Tal	Sub-		V	В	С	D	Whole	<	В	ں ا	V	C	D	A	C	D	V	В	C	D
	Core:		99:4				104:1	104:2			104:3			104:4			104:5			
	Sample Number		7945	7946	7947	7948	1925	1966	1967	1968	1969	1970	1971	1972	1973	1974	1975	1976	1977	1978

Sample	Core:	Sub-	Result	Duplicate	Mean	Overall Mean	RSD (mean)	Projected Inventory
NUMBER	Segurent	Sectiment	h&\8	#g/g	# E /2	µg∕g	%	kg
2484	98:1	Whole	4,100	3,910	4,010	6,610	26.7	8,590
1373		DL	< 632 μg/mL	< 632 µg/mL	< 632 μg/mL (442 μg/g)			
2490	98:2	Α	6,940	6,630	6,780	-		
1427		DL	< 632 µg/mL	< 632 µg/mL	< 632 μg/mL (442 μg/g)			
2491		С	13,200	8,810	11,000 ^{3,5}	-1		
2492		D	11,400	17,400	14,4005	-		
2493	98:3	A	9,730	11,900	10,8005	1		
1430	1	DL	< 632 µg/mL .	< 632 µg/mL	< 632 μg/mL (442 μg/g)			
2494	1	С	13,100	12,800	13,000			
2495	1	D	29,600	32,400	31,0003			
2496	98:4	Α	6,860	10,800	8,830 ⁵	-1		
2497	-	В	375	3,670	2,0205			
2498		C	< 136	< 132	< 134			
3707		D	456.4	452.0	454			
7941	99:1	Whole	6,600	5,400	6,000 ^s			
7942	99:2	Α	< 500	< 500	< 500			
7932	1	DL	< 400 µg/mL	< 400 μg/mL	< 400 μg/mL (280 μg/g)			
7943	1	D	3,900	3,800	3,850	7		

Table A-48. Tank 241-BY-108 Analytical Results: Fluoride (2 sheets).

	D Projected u) Inventory	kg	d Cont'd																			
ls).	verali RSI Acan (mea	#2/2 %	it'd Cont'											<u>.</u>					i			
tesults: Fluoride (2 sheet	Mean	#2/2	5,200 ⁵ Cor	< 600 μg/mL (420 με/ε)	6,750	< 550	< 550	< 450	8,380 ^{3.5}	3,7205	6,600 ⁵	6,320 ⁵	5,280	6,050 ^{3,3}	8,240	8,160 ⁵	12,600	19,200	24,900	4,650	< 939	91.5
I-BY-108 Analytical R	Duplicate	8/34	4,800	< 600 µg/mL	5,200	< 500	< 500	< 400	11,000	3,170	5,920	5,930	5,380	8,720	8,280	8,650	12,700	19,000	23,400	3,770	< 911	96.40
able A-48. Tank 24	Result	8/84	5,600	< 600 µg/mL	8,300	< 600	< 600	< 500	5,750	4,280	7,290	6,700	5,170	3,380	8,200	7,660	12,600	19,300	26,400	5,540	< 966.2	< 86.6
Ë	Sub-		A	DL	V	В	C	D	Whole	A	В	c	A .	С	D	۷	c	۵	۲	В	C	D
	Core:		99:3		99:4				104:1	104:2			104:3			104:4			104:5			
	Sample Number		7944	7935	7945	7946	7947	7948	2536	2558	2559	2560	2561	2562	2563	2564	2565	2566	2568	2569	2570	2571

		Ta	able A-49. Tank 241	-BY-108 Analytical 1	Results: Nitrate (3 sl	heets).		
ample	Care:	Sub-	Result	Duplicate	Mean	Overall Mean	RSD (meau)	Projected Inventory
			8/84	#2 / 2	5/3×	#B/B	8	kg
484	98:1	Whole	1.55E+05	1.44E+05	1.50E+05	2.01E+05	18.5	2.61E+05
373		DL	3.56E+05 μg/mL	3.60E+05 μg/mL	3.58E+05 μg/mL (2.50E+05 μg/g) ³			
490	98:2	×	2.20E+05	2.48E+05	2.34E+05 ⁵			
427		DL	2.71E+05 μg/mL	2.73E+05 µg/mL	2.72E+05 μg/mL (1.90E+05 μg/g)			
491		U	1.12E+05	1.94E+05	1.53E+05 ⁵			
492		D	2.29E+05	1.61E+05	1.95E+05 ⁵			
493	98:3	V	1.29E+05	1.52E+05	1.40E+05 ⁵			
430		DL	2.54E+05 µg/mL	2.51E+05 µg/mL	2.52E+05 μg/mL (1.77E+05 μg/g)			
494		U	1.02E+05	1.48E+05	1.25E+05 ⁵			
495		D	1.39E+05	1.01E+05	1.20E+05 ⁵			
.496	98:4	<	69,400	58,300	63,800 ⁵			
497		B	37,700	52,800	45,2005			
498		U	63,100	62,500	62,800			
107		D	50,500	51,000	50,700			
941	99:1	Whole	55,000	67,000	61,000 ^{4.5}	_		

Sample Number Core: Sub- segment Result ng/g Result $ng/g Duplicateng/g Mean Ore-allmg/g RSDmean 7942 99:2 A 5.90E+05 6.10E+05 6.0E+05 Cont'd $			-	able A-49. Tank 241	-BY-108 Analytical	Results: Nitrate (3 s	theets).		
P342 P342 P42 P42<	Sample	Core: Sepment	Sub-	Result	Duplicate	Mean	Overali Mean	RSD (mean)	Projected Inventory
79-2 A 5.90E+05 6.10E+05 6.0E+05 Cont'd Cont'd </th <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th>F2/B</th> <th>P.8/8</th> <th>8/8#</th> <th>g/g#</th> <th>8</th> <th>kg</th>				F 2/B	P.8/8	8/8#	g/g#	8	kg
7932 DL $2.30E+05 \ \mu g/mL 2.36E+05 \ \mu g/mL 2.33E+05 \ \mu g/mL 7943 D 2.47E+05 2.34E+05 \ \mu g/mL (1.63E+05 \ \mu g/mL) 7944 99:3 A 2.37E+05 \ \mu g/mL 2.51E+05 \ \mu g/mL 7945 DL 2.47E+05 3.29E+05 \ \mu g/mL 2.51E+05 \ \mu g/mL 7945 DL 2.04E+05 \ \mu g/mL 2.26E+05 \ \mu g/mL 2.15E+05 \ \mu g/mL 7946 A 1.01E+05 1.97E+05 1.49E+05^4 7946 A 1.01E+05 1.97E+05 1.49E+05^5 7947 A 1.01E+05 1.97E+05 1.49E+05^5 7948 B 69,000 65,000 67,000 67,000 7948 D 566E+05 3.78E+05 4.45E+05^5 4.45E+05^5 7948 D 5.65E+05 4.45E+05^5 4.45E+05^5 5.15E+05 7556 D 1.04:1 Whole 5.65E+05 4.45E+05^5 5.15E+05 2556 D D $	7942	99:2	A	5.90E+05	6.10E+05	6.0E+05	Cont'd	Cont'd	Cont'd
7943 D $2.47E+05$ $2.54E+05$ $2.51E+05$ $2.51E+05$ 7944 99:3 A $2.35E+05$ $3.29E+05$ $2.82E+05^3$ 7935 DL $2.35E+05$ $3.29E+05$ $3.29E+05$ $3.29E+05$ 7945 DL $2.04E+05 \mu g/mL$ $2.15E+05 \mu g/mL$ $1.16E+05 \mu g/mL$ 7945 DL $2.04E+05 \mu g/mL$ $2.26E+05 \mu g/mL$ $1.49E+05^3$ 7946 A $1.01E+05$ $1.97E+05$ $1.49E+05^3$ 7947 B $69,000$ $65,000$ $67,000$ 7947 C $54,000$ $53,000$ $53,500$ 7948 D $50,000$ $4,000^2$ $4.72E+05^3$ 7949 D $50,000$ $4,000^2$ $4.72E+05^3$ 2558 $104:1$ Whole $5.55E+05$ $4.72E+05^3$ 2556 $104:1$ A $2.75E+05$ $1.69E+05^3$ 2556 $104:1$ A $2.51E+05$ $2.12E+05^3$ 2560 $1.95E+05$	7932		DL	2.30E+05 µg/mL	2.36E+05 µg/mL	2.33E+05 μg/mL (1.63E+05 μg/g)			
7944 99:3 A 2.35E+05 $3.29E+05$ $3.29E+05$ $3.29E+05$ $3.4mL$ 7935 DL $2.04E+05$ $4g/mL$ $2.15E+05$ $4g/mL$ 7945 P0:4 A $1.01E+05$ $1.97E+05$ $1.49E+05^4$ 7945 P0:4 A $1.01E+05$ $1.97E+05$ $1.49E+05^3$ 7946 A $1.01E+05$ $1.97E+05$ $1.49E+05^3$ 7947 B $69,000$ $65,000$ $67,000$ 7947 C $54,000$ $53,000$ $53,500$ 7948 D $50,000$ $42,000^4$ $4.45E+05^{13}$ 7948 Iok:1 Whole $5.65E+05$ $4.45E+05^{13}$ 2536 Iok:1 Whole $5.65E+05$ $4.45E+05^{13}$ 2558 Iok:2 A $3.75E+05$ $1.69E+05^{13}$ 2556 Iok:3 A $2.51E+05$ $2.12E+05^{13}$ 2556 Iok:3 A $2.24E+05$ $2.12E+05^{13}$ 2561<	7943		D	2.47E+05	2.54E+05	2.51E+05			
7935 DL $2.04E+05 \ \mu g/mL$ $2.26E+05 \ \mu g/mL$ $2.15E+05 \ \mu g/mL$ 7945 99:4 A $1.01E+05$ $1.97E+05$ $1.49E+05^{\circ}$ 7946 B 69,000 65,000 67,000 7947 C $54,000$ $53,500$ $53,500$ 7948 D $50,000$ $65,000$ $67,000$ 7947 C $54,000$ $53,500$ $53,500$ 7948 D $50,000$ $42,000$ $67,000$ 7948 I Mole $5.65E+05$ $4.72E+05^{\circ}$ 25358 I04:1 Whole $5.65E+05$ $4.45E+05^{\circ}$ 2558 I04:2 A $3.73E+05$ $4.45E+05^{\circ}$ 2556 I04:3 A $2.51E+05$ $4.45E+05^{\circ}$ 2556 I04:3 A $2.52E+05$ $2.12E+05^{\circ}$ 2561 I04:3 A $2.24E+05$ $2.12E+05^{\circ}$ 2563 I04:4 A $2.24E+05$ $2.21E+05^{\circ}$	7944	99:3	A	2.35E+05	3.29E+05	2.82E+05 ⁵			
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	7935		DL	2.04E+05 μg/mL	2.26E+05 μg/mL	2.15E+05 μg/mL (1.50E+05 μg/g)			
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	7945	99:4	A	1.01E+05	1.97E+05	1.49E+05 ⁵			
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	7946		В	69,000	65,000	67,000			
7948 D 50,000 42,000 46,000 ⁴ 2536 104:1 Whole 5.65E+05 3.78E+05 4.72E+05 ⁴⁵ 2558 104:2 A 3.75E+05 5.15E+05 4.45E+05 ⁴⁵ 2550 E 1.63E+05 1.75E+05 1.69E+05 ⁵ 1.69E+05 ⁵ 2560 C 1.85E+05 2.15E+05 2.00E+05 ⁵ 2.00E+05 ⁵ 2561 104:3 A 2.24E+05 1.99E+05 2.12E+05 2.02E+05 2562 104:3 A 2.24E+05 1.99E+05 2.12E+05 2.12E+05 2563 104:4 A 2.24E+05 2.12E+05 2.32E+05 2.32E+05 2563 104:4 A 2.02E+05 2.32E+05 2.32E+05 2.32E+05 2564 104:4 A 2.02E+05 2.32E+05 2.32E+05 2.32E+05 2565 104:4 A 2.02E+05 2.32E+05 2.32E+05 2.32E+05 2565 104:4 A 2.02E+05 2	7947		С	54,000	53,000	53,500			
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	7948		D	50,000	42,000	46,000 ⁵			
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	2536	104:1	Whole	5.65E+05	3.78E+05	4.72E+05 ^{3,5}			
2559 B 1.63E+05 1.75E+05 1.69E+05 2560 C 1.85E+05 2.15E+05 2.00E+05 ⁵ 2561 104:3 A 2.24E+05 2.15E+05 2.00E+05 ⁵ 2561 104:3 A 2.24E+05 1.99E+05 2.12E+05 2.12E+05 2563 C 1.50E+05 94,900 1.22E+05 2.32E+05 2.32E+05 2564 104:4 A 2.24IE+05 2.22E+05 2.32E+05 2.32E+05 2564 104:4 A 2.02E+05 2.240E+05 2.32E+05 2.32E+05 2564 104:4 A 2.02E+05 2.40E+05 2.32E+05 2.31E+05 ⁵ 2564 104:4 A 2.02E+05 2.40E+05 2.21E+05 ⁵ 2.21E+05 ⁵ 2565 D 1.38E+05 1.45E+05 1.45E+05 2.21E+05 ⁵ 2566 D 1.24E+05 1.27E+05 1.27E+05 1.27E+05	2558	104:2	A	3.75E+05	5.15E+05	4.45E+05 ⁵			
2560 C 1.85E+05 2.15E+05 2.00E+05 ⁵ 2561 104:3 A 2.24E+05 1.99E+05 2.12E+05 2562 C 1.50E+05 94,900 1.22E+05 2.32E+05 2563 D 2.41E+05 2.22E+05 2.32E+05 2.32E+05 2564 104:4 A 2.02E+05 2.24E+05 2.32E+05 2.32E+05 2564 104:4 A 2.02E+05 2.21E+05 2.32E+05 2.51E+05 2565 C 1.52E+05 1.38E+05 1.45E+05 2.51E+055 2566 D 1.30E+05 1.24E+05 1.45E+05 1.45E+05	2559		В	1.63E+05	1.75E+05	1.69E+05			
2561 104:3 A 2.24E+05 1.99E+05 2.12E+05 2562 C 1.50E+05 94,900 1.22E+05 2.32E+05 2563 D 2.41E+05 2.22E+05 2.32E+05 2.32E+05 2564 104:4 A 2.02E+05 2.40E+05 2.31E+05* 2565 C 1.52E+05 1.38E+05 1.45E+05* 2.21E+05* 2566 D 1.30E+05 1.38E+05 1.45E+05* 1.45E+05*	2560		C	1.85E+05	2.15E+05	2.00E+05 ⁵			
2562 C 1.50E+05 94,900 1.22E+05 2563 D 2.41E+05 2.22E+05 2.32E+05 2564 104:4 A 2.02E+05 2.40E+05 2.31E+05* 2565 C 1.52E+05 2.40E+05 2.21E+05* 2.21E+05* 2566 D 1.38E+05 1.38E+05 1.45E+05 1.45E+05* 2566 D 1.30E+05 1.24E+05 1.27E+05* 1.27E+05*	2561	104:3	A	2.24E+05	1.99E+05	2.12E+05			
2563 D 2.41E+05 2.22E+05 2.32E+05 2564 104:4 A 2.02E+05 2.40E+05 2.21E+05 ⁵ 2565 C 1.52E+05 1.38E+05 1.45E+05 ⁵ 2566 D 1.30E+05 1.24E+05 1.27E+05 ⁵	2562		C	1.50E+05	94,900	1.22E+05			
2564 104:4 A 2.02E+05 2.40E+05 2.21E+05 ⁵ 2565 C 1.52E+05 1.38E+05 1.45E+05 2566 D 1.30E+05 1.24E+05 1.27E+05	2563		D	2.41E+05	2.22E+05	2.32E+05			-
2565 C 1.52E+05 1.38E+05 1.45E+05 2566 D 1.30E+05 1.24E+05 1.27E+05	2564	104:4	V	2.02E+05	2.40E+05	2.21E+05 ⁵			
2566 D 1.30E+05 1.24E+05 1.27E+05	2565		c	1.52E+05	1.38E+05	1.45E+05	<u> </u>		
	2566		D	1.30E+05	1.24E+05	1.27E+05	-		

Sample	Core:	Sub-	Result	Duplicate	Mean	Overall Mean	RSD (mean)	Projected Inventory
INUMADER	reguen	ocgiment	µg∕g	µg∕g	pe/e	µg∕g	%	kg
2568	104:5	A	1.19E+05	1.26E+05	1.22E+05	Cont'd	Cont'd	Cont'd
2569		В	1.16E+05	1.15E+05	1.16E+05	-		
2570		C	1.11E+05	1.09E+05	1.10E+05	1		
2571		D	91,200	1.11E+05	1.01E+05 ^{3,5}	1		

Table A-49. Tank 241-BY-108 Analytical Results: Nitrate (3 sheets).

I

• •

Sample Number	Core: Segment	Sub- segment	Result	Duplicate	Mcan	Overall Mean	RSD (mean)	Projected Inventory
		,	AZ/Z	P 2/2	8/3x	FB / B	8	kg
2484	98:1	Whole	17,000	21,700	19,4005	27,300	13.0	35,500
1373		DL	90,900 µg/mL	91,500 µg/mL	91,200 μg/mL (63,800 μg/g) ³	1		
2490	98:2	A	21,900	21,200	21,600	-1		
1427		DL	70,900 µg/mL	72,400 µg/mL	71,600 μg/mL (50,100 μg/g)			
2491		J	23,500	23,800	23,600			
2492		2	32,600	31,900	32,200			
2493	98:3	۲	25,200	29,900	27,6005	- -		
1430		DL	73,800 µg/mL	72,000 µg/mL	72,900 μg/mL (51,000 μg/g)			
2494		C	23,700	34,700	29,2005			
2495		D	31,300	25,200	28,200			
2496	98:4	V	37,200	30,800	34,0005			
2497	L	в	26,100	36,400	31,200			
2498	<u> </u>	C	48,400	48,600	48,500			
3707		D	42,900	44,300	43,600			
7941	1:66	Whole	8,000	8,200	8,100 ³			

Table A-50. Tank 241-BY-108 Analytical Results: Nitrite (3 sheets)

	4 F																				
	Projecte Inventor	kg	Cont'd																		
	RSD (mean)	R	Cont'd				- - 														
neets).	Overall Mean	#8/8	Cont'd			.	<u>.</u>				T	.	r			T	T		-	-	
Results: INITIE () S	Mean	3/3#	11,900	56,700 μg/mL (39,700 μg/g)	20,100	19,0005	60,800 μg/mL (42,500 μg/g)	33,000 ⁵	46,000	42,500	37,000	2,530 ³	9,620	17,600	20,200	22,600	18,100	21,600	24,6005	30,800	39,400 ⁵
	Duplicate	µ 8/8	11,300	56,300 μg/mL	19,300	17,700	61,100 µg/mL	31,000	44,000	43,000	34,000	3,740	7,240	17,700	19,500	22,700	14,800	22,300	26,400	30,700	40,000
	Result	μ 2 /g	12,400	57,100 μg/mL	20,800	20,300	60,500 μg/mL	35,000	48,000	42,000	40,000	< 1,320	12,000	17,600	20,900	22,500	21,400	21,000	22,700	31,000	38,800
	Sub- seement		V	DL	D	۷	DL	A	B	C	D	Whole	A	В	c	۸	c	D	V	С	D
	Core:		99:2			99:3		99:4				104:1	104:2			104:3			104:4		
	Sample Number		7942	7932	7943	7944	7935	7945	7946	7947	7948	2536	2558	2559	2560	2561	2562	2563	2564	2565	2566

Tank 241-RY-108 Analytical Recults: Nitrite (3 cheets)

A-97

Sample	Core:	Sub-	Result	Duplicate	Mean	Overall Mean	RSD (mean)	Projected Inventory
IN UTALIJET.	regiment	segment	в/8 4	#8/8	pe/e	µg∕g	%	kg
2568	104:5	A	33,400	36,000	34,700	Cont'd	Cont'd	Cont'd
2569		В	46,700	50,700	48,700	-		
2570		C	55,400	53,500	54,400			
2571		D	46,500	46,500	46,500 ³	-		

Table A-50. Tank 241-BY-108 Analytical Results: Nitrite (3 sheets).

	RSD Projected (mean) Inventory	% kg	Cont'd Cont'd									
sheets).	Overall Mean	5/3±	Cont'd (
Results: Oxalate (2	Mean	8/8x	8,240	4,090 ⁵	11,100	12,600	7,100	3,810 ⁵	2,7305	15,600	< 7,580	1,540 ^{2.5}
-BY-108 Analytical	Duplicate	3/84	8,410	3,310	11,100	12,600	6,960	3,530	2,650	< 6,520	< 7,360	1,910
ble A-51. Tank 241	Result	R2/B	8,070	4,870	11,100	12,600	7,240	4,090	2,810	24,700	< 7,805	1,170
Ta	Sub- sement		A	C	D	۷	с С	D	A	В	C	D
	Core:		104:3			104:4			104:5			
	Sample Number		2561	2562	2563	2564	2565	2566	2568	2569	2570	2571

Ś ٥ f 100 20 110 ī Ê

	Projected Inventory	kg	3,800																		
	RSD (mean)	%	24.0 3.								<u> </u>										
sheets).	Overall Mean	#8/8	26,000																		
sults: Phosphate (2	Mean	8/8#	9,110 ⁵	< 6,080 μg/mL (4,250 μg/g)	8,1005	< 6,080 μg/mL (4,250 μg/g)	15,300 ⁵	11,400 ⁵	8,560 ⁵	< 6,080 µg/mL	(4,250 μg/g)	15,100 ⁵	24,800 ⁵	43,600 ⁵	23,900 ⁵	38,700 ⁵	56,200 ²	57,5005	2,150	700 μg/mL (490 μg/g)	13,800
8Y-108 Analytical Re	Duplicate	#8/8	10,000	< 6,080 μg/mL	4,470	< 6,080 μg/mL	16,100	5,690	10,900	< 6,080 µg/mL		9,740	46,100	64,300	39,600	40,800	53,800	52,000	2,100	700 µg/mL	13,500
le A-52. Tank 241-E	Result	8/81	8,210	< 6,080 µg/mL	11,700	< 6,080 µg/mL	14,500	17,100	6,230	< 6,080 µg/mL		20,500	3,440	22,800	8,120	36,600	58,500	63,000	2,200	700 µg/mL	14,100
Tabi	Sub-		Whole	DL	A	DL	c	[]	<	DL		С	D	A	В	C	D	Whole	A	DL	D
	Core:	weinen	98:1		98:2				98:3					98:4				99:1	99:2		
ŝ	Sample		2484	1373	2490	1427	2491	2492	2493	1430		2494	2495	2496	2497	2498	3707	7941	7942	7932	7943

A-101
	Project Invento	kg	Cont'd																			
	RSD (mean)	8	Cont'd																			
sheets).	Overall Mean	F2/2	Cont'd																			
esults: Phosphate (2	Mcan	B/8 #	8,650	1,300 μg/mL (909 μg/g)	16,6005	39,0005	63,500 ⁵	78,500	74,800 ^{3.5}	< 5,580	16,100 ⁵	7,1405	9,840	37,7005	9,190	32,200	23,100	4,910 ⁵	11,000	< 17,100	< 21,400	28,100
3Y-108 Analytical R	Duplicate	8/84	9,700	1,300 μg/mL	13,400	46,000	67,000	78,000	98,800	< 3,330	9,010	5,650	9,970	67,200	8,180	33,300	22,900	3,540	11,600	< 18,400	< 20,700	27,900
le A-52. Tank 241-1	Result	8/8n	7,600	1,300 µg/mL	19,700	32,000	60,000	000,67	50,800	< 7,830	23,100	8,630	9,700	8,110	10,200	31,100	23,300	6,280	10,300	< 15,710	< 22,000	28,300
Tab	Sub- scement		A	DL	A	В	С	(]	Whole	<	В	С	A	С	D	V	c	D	A	В	C	D
	Core: Segment		99:3		99:4				104:1	104:2			104:3			104:4			104:5	_		
	Sample Number		7944	7935	7945	7946	7947	7948	2536	2558	2559	2560	2561	2562	2563	2564	2565	2566	2568	2569	2570	2571

	Projected Inventory	kg	30,400																	
	RSD (mean)	8	27.9 3																	
heets).	Overall Mean	8/81	23,400																	
Results: Sulfate (2 s	Mean	8/84	6,210	< 6,920 μg/mL (4,840 μg/g)	11,600	< 6,920 μg/mL (4,840 μg/g)	30,900	45,000 ⁵	46,800 ⁵	< 6,920 μg/mL (4,840 μg/g)	59,700	124,000 ⁵	35,400 ⁵	81,200 ^{3,5}	6,720 ⁵	8,420 ⁵	1,200 ^{3.6}	006	1,100 μg/mL (734 μg/g)	9,650
-BY-108 Analytical	Duplicate	8/8 4	5,760	< 6,920 µg/mL	11,600	< 6,920 µg/mL	22,000	59,400	50,700	< 6,920 µg/mL	62,700	117,000	29,700	12,400	7,060	12,000	1,100	006	1,000 μg/mL	9,500
ble A-53. Tank 241	Result	8/81	6,660	< 6,920 μg/mL	11,600	< 6,920 μg/mL	39,900	30,700	43,000	< 6,920 μg/mL	56,700	130,000	41,000	1.50E+05	6,380	4,480	1,300	006	1,100 μg/mL	9,800
Ta	Sub-	Jungane I	Whole	DL	A	DL	c	D	A	DL	c	D	A	B	C	D	Whole	V	DL	D
	Core:		98:1		98:2				98:3				98:4				99:1	99:2		
	Sample		2484	1373	2490	1427	2491	2492	2493	1430	2494	2495	2496	2497	2498	3707	7941	7942	7932	7943

WHC-SD-WM-ER-533 Rev. 0

=

Sample	Core:	Sub-	Result	Duplicate	Mean	Overall Mean	RSD (mean)	Projected Inventory
1 TUANADCA	ocguieut	Segurent	µg/g	P8/8	#E/2	# B / B	%	kg
7944	99:3	Α	19,600	15,400	17,500 ⁵	Cont'd	Cont'd	Cont'd
7935		DL	1,600 μg/mL	1,600 μg/mL	1,600 μg/mL (1,120 μg/g)			
7945	99:4	A	57,800	21,400	39,600 ³			
7946		В	6,000	4,000	5,000 ³			
7947		С	15,000	17,000	16,0005			
7948	1	D	21,000	9,000	15,000 ⁵	-1		
2536	104:1	Whole	< 1,680	5,840	3,760 ³	—		
2558	104:2	Α	15,100	10,100	12,600 ³			
2559		В	19,800	19,800	19,800			
2560		С	18,000	16,400	17,200	{		
2561	104:3	A	11,300	12,000	11,600			
2562		С	8,990	5,880	7,4405	-		
2563		D	27,900	29,000	28,400			
2564	104:4	Α	25,700	29,300	27,500 ⁵	-1		
2565		С	48,600	48,500	48,600			
2566		D	86,400	88,000	87,200			
2568	104:5	A	1.16E+05	1.03E+05	1.10E+05			
2569		В	34,200	28,900	31,6005			
2570		С	25,300	24,400	24,800			
2571		D	2,960	2,600	2,7805	-1		

Table A-53. Tank 241-BY-108 Analytical Results: Sulfate (2 sheets).

H

	-			•				
Sample	Corte:	Sub-	Result	Duplicate	Mcan	Overall Mean	RSD (mean)	Projected Inventory
	nachuan		#CVg	p CU/g	pCI/g	µCi/g	8	ö
7941	99:1	Whole	< 0.03	0.116	0.07	< 0.187	N/A	< 243
7942	99:2	A	< 0.1	< 0.06	< 0.08			
7932		DL	< 0.07 µCi/mL	< 0.07 µCi/mL	< 0.07 μCi/mL (< 0.05 μCi/g)			
7943		D	< 0.04	< 0.03	< 0.04			
7944	99:3	۲	< 0.04	< 0.03	< 0.04			
7935		DL	< 0.07 μCi/mL	< 0.07 μCi/mL	< 0.07 μCi/mL (< 0.05 μCi/g)			
7945	99:4	V	0.0194	0.0164	0.01795			
7946		8	< 0.09	0.0320	0.06			
7947		C	< 0.8	< 0.7	< 0.8			
7948		D	< 1	< 2	< 2			

Table A-54. Tank 241-BY-108 Analytical Results: Americium-241.

1

			Table A-55. Tank	241-BY-108 Analytic	al Results: Cesium-1	34.		
Sample	Core:	Sub-	Result	Duplicate	Mean	Overall Mean	RSD (mean)	Projected Inventory
		Immero	#CVg	rCVg	#CVg	p Ci/g	8	G
7941	99:1	Whole	< 0.008	< 0.02	< 0.01	< 0.108	N/A	< 140
7942	99:2	V	< 0.05	< 0.02	< 0.04			
7932		DL	< 0.03 µCi/mL	< 0.03 µCi/mL	 < 0.03 μCi/mL (< 0.0210 μCi/g) 			
7943	:	D	< 0.008	< 0.009	< 0.009			
7944	99:3	A	< 0.009	< 0.008	< 0.009	-		
7935		DL	< 0.04 μCi/mL	< 0.03 μCi/mL	 < 0.04 μCi/mL (< 0.0280 μCi/g) 			
7945	99:4	A	< 0.005	< 0.004	< 0.005			
7946		B	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.02			
7947		c	< 0.4	< 0.4	< 0.4			
7948		D	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5			

Sample Core: Segment 4 Number Segment 6 9 1 1 7941 99:1 1							
7941 99:1 1 7942 99:2 1 7932 1 1	Sub-	Result	Duplicate	Mean	Overall Mean	RSD (mean)	Projected Inventory
7941 99:1 V 7942 99:2 1 7932 1 1		µCVg	P Civg	#CI/g	#Ci/g	8	ö
7942 99:2 <i>1</i> 7932 <u>1</u>	Whole	20.2	17.7	19.05	258	77.9	3.35E+05
7932	V	23.9	33.0-	28.5 ^{5,6}			
	DL	129 µCi/mL	130 µCi/mL	130 μCi/mL (90.9 μCi/g)			
7943	D	48.2	45.3	46.8			
7944 99:3 /	<	43.4	49.6	46.5 ⁵			
7935	DL	141 μCi/mL	137 μCi/mL	139 μCi/mL (97.2 μCi/g)			
7945 99:4 /	A	70.5	72.1	71.3			
7946	в	113	94.8	1045			
7947	C	1,200	1,340	1,270 ⁵			
7948	D	2,080	2,120	2,100			

Table A-56. Tank 241-BY-108 Analytical Results: Cesium-137.

A-107

Sample Number	Core:	Sub-	Result	Duplicate	Меан	Overall Mean	RSD (mean)	Projected Inventory
I VOIMPCI	DEBUNCH	Segurean	μCi/g	μCi/g	^ aCl/g	pCi/g	%	Ci
7941	99:1	Whole	0.00849	< 0.006	0.007256	< 0.00911	N/A	< 11.8
7942	99:2	A	< 0.02	< 0.003	< 0.01	1		
7932		DL	< 0.003 µCi/mL	< 0.003 µCi/mL	< 0.003 µCi/mL (< 0.00210 µCi/g)	1		
7943		D	0.00194	0.00283	0.002395			
7944	99:3	۸	0.00329	0.00230	0.002805			
7935		DL	< 0.003 µCi/mL	< 0.003 µCi/mL	< 0.003 µCi/mL (< 0.00210 µCi/g)	-		
7945	99:4	A	0.0132	0.00544	0.00932 ^{5,6}	-1		
7946		В	0.00621	0.00775	0.00698 ^{5,6}	-		
7947		С	< 0.03	< 0.03	< 0.03	1		
7948		D	< 0.04	< 0.04	< 0.04	-		

Table A-57. Tank 241-BY-108 Analytical Results: Cobalt-60.

Sample	Core:	Sub-	Result	Duplicate	Mean	Overall Mean	RSD (mean)	Projected Inventory
Numper	Segment	segment	pCi/g	µCVg	µCi/g	µCi/g	%	Ci
7932	99:2	DL	< 0.006 µCi/mL	< 0.006 µCi/mL	< 0.006 μCi/mL (< 0.00420 μCi/g)	< 0.0455	N/A	< 59.2
7935	99:3	DL	< 0.007 µCi/mL	< 0.007 µCi/mL	< 0.007 µCi/mL (< 0.00490 µCi/g)			
7945	99:4	A	0.0393	0.0354	0.0374	1		
7946	1	В	0.0828	0.0620	0.0724 ⁵			
7947		С	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2	1		
7948	1	D	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2			

Table A-58. Tank 241-BY-108 Analytical Results: Europium-154.

Sample	Core:	Sub-	Result	Duplicate	Mean	Overall Mean	RSD (mean)	Projected Inventory
I MUMARYER	oegueent	segment	μCi/g	μCVg	#Ci/g	#Ci/g	%	Ci
7932	99:2	DL	< 0.1 µCi/mL	< 0.1 µCi/mL	< 0.1 μCi/mL (< 0.07 μCi/g)	< 0.389	N/A	< 506
7935	99:3	DL	< 0.1 µCi/mL	< 0.1 µCi/mL	< 0.1 μCi/mL (< 0.07 μCi/g)			
7945	99:4	Α	0.0383	0.0367	0.0375	·		
7946		В	0.0862	0.0675	0.07695	-		
7947		С	< 2	< 2	< 2	-		
7948		D	< 2	< 2	< 2	1		

Table A-59. Tank 241-BY-108 Analytical Results: Europium-155.

Sample	Core:	Sub-	Result	Duplicate	Mcan	Overall Mean	RSD (mean)	Projected Inventory.
			µCl/g	P.CVg	#CI/g	#CVg	8	Ö
7941	99:1	Whole	0.021	0.00833	0.015 ^{5.6}	0.00659	45.7	8.57
7942	99:2	<	0.00122	0.00243	0.00183 ^{5,6}	T		
7943	• · · ·	D	0.00115	0.00235	0.000693			
7944	99:3	۲	0.00187	0.00160	0.001745	r		
7945	99:4	۲	0.0111	0.00302	0.00706 ^{5,6}	- 1		
7946	r	В	0.00660	0.00606	0.00633			
7947	T	C	0.00958	0.00754	0.008565			
7948	T	D	0.0123	0.00915	0.01075	T		

Table A-60. Tank 241-BY-108 Analytical Results: Plutonium-238.

	Projected Iaventory	σ	59.7							
	RSD (mean)	8	91.5							
239/240.	Overall Mean	ACUE	0.0459						-	
Results: Plutonium-2	Mean	p CVg	0.005675.6	5.91E-04 ^{5,6}	0.001175	0.00419 ⁵	0.1645	0.2215	0.140	0.167
BY-108 Analytical H	Duplicate	FOVE	0.00537	8.28E-04	9.15E-04	0.00502	0.109	0.194	0.137	0.165
ble A-61. Tank 241-	Result	µCV/g	0.00597	3.53E-04	0.00143	0.00336	0.219	0.247	0.142	0.169
Tal	Sub-		Whole	۲	D	A	A	В	С	D
	Core: Segment		. 1:66	99:2		99:3	99:4			
	Sample Number		7941	7942	7943	7944	7945	7946	7947	7948

	Projecter Inventor	C	1.86E+05							
	RSD (mean)	8	95.5							
n-90.	Overall Mean	#CNg	143						r	
al Results: Strontiun	Mean	#CI/g	3.194.5	0.265	2.91	2.715	3542	4555	6265	8115
41-BY-108 Analytic	Duplicate	ACVE	2.94	0.270	2.87	10.1	369	376	662	856
Table A-62. Tank 2	Result	μCVg	3.43	0.260	2.94	5.32	338	533	589	765
	Sub-		Whole	V	D	V	A	В	С	D
	Core:	ocguieun	99:1	99:2		99:3	99:4			
1	Sample	Number	7941	7942	7943	7944	7945	7946	7947	7948

		Tab	le A-63. Tank 241-E	3Y-108 Analytical Re	ssults: Total Alpha (2 sheets).		
Sample Number	Core: Segment	Sub- Seement	Result	Duplicate	Mtan	Overall Mean	RSD (mean)	Projected Inventory
			#Cl/g	RCUg	RCVB	ACU/E	8	G
1391	98:1	Whole	0.00222	0.00371	0.00297 ^{3.5,6}	0.0619	43.3	80.5
1405	98:2	V	0.00238	0.00186	0.00212 ⁵			
1400		c	< 0.00263	0.00398	0.00331			
1398		D	0.0267	0.0282	0.0275			
1480	98:3	<	0.00763	0.00393	0.005785			
1481		С	< 0.00466	< 0.00593	< 0.00530			
1482	1	0	< 0.0206	< 0.0173	< 0.0190			
1423	98:4	<	< 0.0145	< 0.00736	< 0.0109			
1424		В	< 0.00747	0.0610	0.0342			
1425		c	0.241	0.313	0.2775			
1426		D	0.352	0.368	0.360			
7941	1:66	Whole	0.0422	0.191	0.1175,6			
7942	99:2	A	0.00186	0.00784	0.00485 ^{5,6}			
7932		DL	0.00365 µg/mL	< 0.0013 µg/mL	0.0025 μg/mL (0.00175 μg/g)			
7943		D	0.00419	0.00280	0.00350 ^{5,6}			
7944	99:3	A	0.00419	0.0101	0.00970			
7935		DL	< 0.0014 µg/mL	< 0.0014 µg/mL	 < 0.0014 μg/mL (<9.79E-04 μg/g) 			

A-114

		Table	e A-63. Tank 241-B	Y-108 Analytical Res	sults: Total Alpha (2 sheets).		
ple	Core:	Sub-	Result	Duplicate	Mean	Overall Mean	RSD (mean)	Projected Inventory
ž	IIII		#CVg	R CVg	p.CVg	#Cl/g	8	G
	99:4	۲	0.150	0.174	0.162 ⁵	Cont'd	Cont'd	Cont'd
9		B	0.378	0.282	0.330	r		
6		C	0.450	0.293	0.3725			
∞		D	0.367	0.302	0.335 ⁵	1		
1	104:1	Whole	< 6.35E-04	< 0.00151	$< 0.00107^{3}$	1	<u> </u>	
2	104:2	<	0.00366	0.00318	0.00342 ³	r—-		
3		B	0.00474	0.00512	0.00493	r		
4		c	0.00620	0.00617	0.00619	r		
5	104:3	V	< 0.00330	0.00183	0.00257	r		
9		c	0.00405	< 0.00469	0.00437			
1		D	0.0186	0.0182	0.01846			
∞	104:4	V	0.00214	< 0.00283	0.00249 ³	r		
6		c	< 0.00466	< 0.00350	< 0.00408	I	····· ·	
0		٥	7.44E-04	< 9.72E-04	8.58E-04			
	104:5	V	0.0177	0.0108	0.0143 ^{3.5.6}	1		
2		В	0.179	0.181	0.180	Γ		
3		U	0.266	0.306	0.286			
4		٥	0.390	0.374	0.3824	-		

A-115

Sample	Core:	Sub-	Result	Duplicate	Mean	Overall Mean	RSD (mean)	Projected Inventory
ANDREA	Degupent	segment	μCi/g	μCi/g	#Cl/g	#Ci/g	%	Ci
7941	99:1	Whole	26.1	22.1	24.1 ⁵	549	88.1	7.14E+05
7942	99:2	A	23.9	30.3	27.15.6			
7932		DL	142 μCi/mL	144 μCi/mL	143 μCi/mL (100 μCi/g)			
7943		D	49.4	45.7	47.66			
7944	99:3	A	52.3	63.1	57.7 ⁵			
7935		DL	146 μCi/mL	141 μCi/mL	144 μCi/mL (101 μCi/g)			
7945	99:4	A	737	721	729			
7946		В	1,220	841	1,030 ^s			i)
7947	-	С	2,520	2,710	2,620			
7948		D	3,690	3,840	3,770			

Table A-64. Tank 241-BY-108 Analytical Results: Total Beta.

A-116

		•	Table A-65. Tank 24	11-BY-108 Analytica	l Results: Total Carl	bon.		
Sample	Care:	Sub-	Result	Duplicate	Mean	Overall Mean	RSD (mean)	Projected Inventory
			AB C/B	R C/g	RE C/E	HE C/E	8	kg C
7941	99:1	Whole	2,440	2,090	2,270	8,970	39.6	11,700
7942	99:2	A	2,360	2,460	2,410			
7932		DL	9,900 μg/mL	9,500 μg/mL	9,700 μg/mL (6,780 μg/g) ⁴			
7943		D	4,830	4,570	4,700			
7944	99:3	A	16,700	11,700	14,2005			
7935		DL	9,600 μg/mL	9,200 μg/mL	9,400 μg/mL (6,570 μg/g)			
7945	99:4	A	30,100	29,800	30,000			
7946		B	19,000	18,800	18,900			
7947		C	16,100	15,200	15,700	-		
7948		D	9,190	10,600	6,900 ⁵			

	Projected Inventory	kg C	6,940						-			
	RSD (mean)	8	33.9									
c Carbon.	Overall Mean	RE C/S	5,340									
sults: Total Inorgani	Mean	MR C/R	1,160	1,530	7,450 μg/mL (5,210 μg/g) ^{3,5}	2,410	11,100 ⁵	7,650 μg/mL (5,350 μg/g) ⁵	13,200 ³	5,310	10,800	6,480 ⁵
Y-108 Analytical Re	Duplicate		1,170	1,590	6,400 μg/mL	2,480	9,100	8,100 μg/mL	12,700	5,530	11,000	6,910
e A-66. Tank 241-B	Result	AR C/R	1,150	1,470	8,500 μg/mL	2,340	13,100	7,200 μg/mL	13,600	5,090	10,500	6,050
Table	Sub- sement		Whole	A	DL	D	A	DL	A	B	c	D
	Core: Segment		99:1	99:2			99:3		99:4			
	Sample Number		7941	7942	7932	7943	7944	7935	7945	7946	7947	7948

Sample	Core:	Sub-	Result	Duplicate	Mean	Overall Mean	RSD (mean)	Projected Inventory
INUMDEL	Segment	schungur	μg C/g	μg C/g	μg C/g	µg C/g	%	kg C
1390	98:1	Whole	2,330	2,230	2,280	4,480	20.5	5,820
1373		DL	2,640 μg/mL	2,740µg/mL	2,690 μg/mL (1,880 μg/g)			
1404	98:2	A	3,600	3,620	3,610			
1427		DL	2,710 μg/mL	2,720 μg/mL	2,720 μg/mL (1,900 μg/g)			
1399		C	5,500	6,030	5,760			
1396	1	D	9,060	9,760	9,410			
1431	98:3	A	3,980	4,100	4,040	1		
1430		DL	2,550 μg/mL	2,510 μg/mL	2,530 μg/mL (1,770 μg/g)			
1432 .		C	3,870	3,720	3,800	-1		
1433		D	3,040	3,240	3,140			
1419	98:4	A	10,400	12,600	11,500			
1420	1	В	20,900	20,700	20,800			
1421	1	C	5,670	5,870	5,7704			
1422	1	D	3,500	3,370	3,440			
7941	99:1	Whole	1,290	920	1,1105			
7942	99:2	A	890	870	880			
7932	1	DL	1,400 μg/mL	3,100 μg/mL	2,250 μg/mL (1,570 μg/g) ⁵			
7943	1	D	2,490	2,090	2,2905			

Table A-67. Tank 241-BY-108 Analytical Results: Total Organic Carbon (2 sheets).

	ected atory		p		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·																	
	Proj Inve	k	Cont'																			
	RSD (mean)	8	Cont'd																			
bon (2 sheets)	Overall Mean	Jug C/g	Cont'd																			
: Total Organic Car	Mean	R C/S	3,110	1,750 μg/mL (1,220 μg/g) ⁵	16,800	13,600	4,890 ⁵	3,420 ⁵	2,750 ³	2,420	4,540	2,840	2,060	1,540	6,400	4,860	2,560	2,440	4,140	15,300 ⁵	13,400	7,650
8 Analytical Results	Duplicate	RE C/E	2,670	1,100 μg/mL	17,100	13,300	4,170	3,700	2,500	2,380	4,840	2,870	2,130	1,480	6,240	4,970	2,810	2,210	4,210	13,400	13,200	7,580
57. Tank 241-BY-10	Result	AB C/g	3,540	2,400 μg/mL	16,500	13,900	5,600	3,140	3,000	2,450	4,240	2,810	1,990	1,590	6,550	4,760	2,300	2,670	4,060	17,200	13,600	7,720
Table A-6	Sub- sement		A	DL	A	В	С	(]	Whole	<	В	C.	۲	C	D	A	C	D	A	В	c	D
	Core: Seement		99:3		99:4				104:1	104:2			104:3			104:4			104:5	•	L	
	Sample Number		7944	7935	7945	7946	7947	7948	1925	1966	1967	1968	1969	1970	1971	1972	1973	1974	3173	1976	1977	1978

Percent Water (2 sheets).	Mean Overall RSD Projected Mean (mean) Inventory	8 8 8 8	N/A 19.3 N/A										2		53	9		9	2				
08 Analytical Results:	Duplicate	\$	9.76 20.	4.04 32.	4.87 14.	5.03 26.	9.74 41.	6.38 37.	.360 8.5	4.12 42.	8.53 39.	9.47 39.	4.47 44	8.56 36	6.23 32	6.69 36	15.81 35	9.82 39	16.40 36	.6.1 29	.6 7.	16.7 [49	15.5 16
A-68. Tank 241-BY-1	Result	8	21.85	31.90 3.	14.64	27.11 2	43.90 3	38.92 3	8.560 9	40.98	41.31 3	38.58 3	43.77 4	34.52 3	28.88 3	36.64 3	35.41 3	38.31 3	36.49 3	32.7 2	7.8	52.6	17.9
Table	Sub-		Whole	DL	V	DL	c	D	-	.	A	DL	c	D	V		B	C	D	Whole	A	DL	D
	Core:	Segurent	98:1		98:2						98:3				98:4					99:1	99:2		
ŗ	Sample	Number	1390	1373	1404	1427	1399	1393	1396		1431	1430	1432	1433	1419		1420	1421	1422	7313	7315	7932	7314

٠.

A-121

					_													_		_		
	Projected Inventory	8	Cont'd																			
	RSD (mean)	8	Cont'd																			
(2 sheets).	Overall Mean	8	Cont'd		 											T -						
ults: Percent Water	Wean	8	24.6	52.4	25.5	35.6	35.9	42.3	24.34	20.05	31.72 ⁵	12.64 ⁵	7.470	9.4005	7.945	32.05	41.12	9.285 ³	9.175	36.66	35.50	33.47
-108 Analytical Res	Duplicate	8	35.0	52.6	25.1	35.9	36.2	44.0	23.78	19.25	29.90	9.880	7.790	7.680	7.820	33.09	41.13	8.800	8.980	35.62	35.50	34.59
A-68. Tank 241-BY	Result	%	14.1	52.1	25.8	35.3	35.6	40.6	24.90	20.84	33.54	15.40	7.150	11.12	8.070	31.01	41.10	9.770	9.370	37.69	35.50	32.34
Table	Sub-		A	DL	A	B	C	D	Whole	V	В	с С	V	J	D	×	U U	D	×	B	c	D
	Core: Segment	₩. Emvme	99:3		99:4				104:1	104:2			104:3			104:4			104:5			
	Sample		7316	7935	7322	7319	7318	7317	1925	1966	1967	1968	1969	1970	1971	1972	1973	1974	1975	1976	1977	1978

A-122

			Tab	ole A-69. Tank 241-1	3Y-108 Analytical R ₁	esults: Undecane (2	sheets).		
Nome FBC FBC <th>Sample Number</th> <th>Core: Seement</th> <th>Sub- seement</th> <th>Result</th> <th>Duplicate</th> <th>Mean</th> <th>Overall Mean</th> <th>RSD (mean)</th> <th>Projected Inventory</th>	Sample Number	Core: Seement	Sub- seement	Result	Duplicate	Mean	Overall Mean	RSD (mean)	Projected Inventory
381 Whole U U 23.5 50.8 30.6 2290 98:2 A U U U 1				P2 / 3	2/24	8,8%	rg/g	8	kg
2290 98:2 A U U U 2291 C 11.8 9.89 10.9 2292 D 46.6 44.4 45.5 2293 98:3 A U U U 2294 C U U U U 2295 98:4 A 94.2 88.0 91.1 2296 12 U U U U 2298 20 102 11.1 107 14.4 2298 104:1 Mhole U U U U 2333 104:1 Mhole U U U U 2344 D U U U U U 2344 Mole U U U U U 2344 A U U U U U U 2344 Mole U U U U	2289	98:1	Whole	n	U	n	23.5	50.8	30.6
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	2290	98:2	A	n	n	U			
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	2291		c	11.8	9.89	10.9			
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	2292		D	46.6	44.4	45.5			
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	2293	98:3	A	n	n	U			
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	2294		c	n	n	U	_		
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	2295	98:4	<	94.2	88.0	91.1			
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	2296		В	164	124	144			
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	2297		J	102	111	107			
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	2298		D	n	U	U			
2343 104:2 A U U U 2344 B U U U U 2345 B U U U U 2345 C 5.18 4.08 4.63 2346 104:3 A 1.47 1.70 1.59 2347 C 5.01 3.78 4.40 2348 D 32.4 21.2 26.8 2349 104:4 A 9.67 6.24 7.96 2350 C U U U U 2351 D 4.99 3.34 4.17	2338	104:1	Whole	n	U	U			
2344 B U U U 2345 C 5.18 4.08 4.63 2346 104:3 A 1.47 1.70 1.59 2347 C 5.01 3.78 4.63 2348 D 3.2.4 2.12 26.8 2349 104:4 A 9.67 6.24 7.96 2350 C U U U 1.96 2351 D 4.99 3.34 4.17	2343	104:2	×	n	U	U			
2345 C 5.18 4.08 4.63 2346 104:3 A 1.47 1.70 1.59 2347 C 5.01 3.78 4.40 2348 D 32.4 21.2 26.8 2349 104:4 A 9.67 6.24 7.96 2350 C U U U 1	2344		В	n	U	U			
2346 104:3 A 1.47 1.70 1.59 2347 C 5.01 3.78 4.40 2348 D 32.4 21.2 26.8 2349 104:4 A 9.67 6.24 7.96 2350 C U U U 1 2351 D 4.99 3.34 4.17	2345		C	5.18	4.08	4.63			
2347 C 5.01 3.78 4.40 2348 D 32.4 21.2 26.8 2349 104:4 A 9.67 6.24 7.96 2350 C U U U U 2351 D 4.99 3.34 4.17	2346	104:3	A	1.47	1.70	1.59			
2348 D 32.4 21.2 26.8 2349 104:4 A 9.67 6.24 7.96 2350 C U U U U 2351 D 4.99 3.34 4.17	2347		с U	5.01	3.78	4.40			
2349 104:4 A 9.67 6.24 7.96 2350 C U U U 2351 D 4.99 3.34 4.17	2348		D	32.4	21.2	26.8			
2350 C U U 2351 D 4.99 3.34 4.17	2349	104:4	V	9.67	6.24	7.96		·	
2351 D 4.99 3.34 4.17	2350		c	U	N	U		<u></u>	
	2351		D	4.99	3.34	4.17			

۰.

Sample	Core:	Sub-	Result	Duplicate	Mean	Overall Mean	RSD (mean)	Projected Inventory
NUMPET	Segment	segment	μg/g	₽£/g	ae/e	₽ ₽ /g	%	kg
2352	104:5	A	55.9	50.3	53.1	Cont'd	50.8	30.6
2353		В	100	171	136			
2354		С	107	186	147			
2355		D	8.77	22.1	15.4			

Table A-69. Tank 241-BY-108 Analytical Results: Undecane (2 sheets).

ample Core: lumber Segme 289 98:1 290 98:2 291 98:2 292 98:3 294 98:3 295 98:4	Sub-	Domit		1			Latelor
39 98:1 30 98:2 31 98:2 33 98:3 95 98:4	and community		nuplicate	Mean	Mean	(mean)	Inventory
98:1 98:2 98:2 91 92 93 94 95 98:4		µg/g	µ 8/8	8/34	8/8#	8	kg
90 98:2 91 98:3 92 98:3 94 98:4	Whole	U	U	U	71.3	45.2	92.7
91 92 98:3 94 98:4 95 98:4	A	U	U	U			
92 93 98:3 94 98:4	C	55.9	47.6	51.8			
93 98:3 94 98:4 95 98:4	٥	234	178	206	1		
94 95 98:4	V	6.81	8.52	7.67	r		
95 98:4	U	2.87	2.96	2.92	r		
Ī	<	233	226	230			
96	в	478	337	4085	r		
97	J	275	334	305			
86	D	6.07	7.48	6.78			
38 104:1	Whole	n	U	U			
43 104:2	V	n	U	U			
44	В	n	U	U			
45	C	18.4	14.5	16.5	r		
46 104:3	A	1.07	1.64	1.36			
47	C	13.4	12.5	13.0	-		
48	٥	142	87.1	115			
49 104:4	A	42.3	25.5	33.9	 1		
50	U	2.63	4.44	35.4			
51	D	13.5	9.46	11.5			

Projected Inventory kg		Cont'd				
RSD (mean) %		Cont'd				
 Overall Mean #E/g		Cont'd				
Mean FE/E		201	361	403	37.8	
Duplicate		142	452	481	55.3	
Result #8/E	121	101	270	325	20.3	
Sub- segment	×	v	В	C	D	
Core: Segment	104.5	C.5.				
Sample Number	7357	2002	2353	2354	2355	

Table A-70. Tank 241-BY-108 Analytical Results: Tridecane (2 sheets).

Sample	Core:	Sub-	Result	Duplicate	Mean	Overall Mean	RSD (mean)	Projected Inventory
Number	Segment	manifas	#8/8	P.8/8	8/3×	#8/8	8	kg
2289	98:1	Whole	U	U	U	55.1	41.8	71.6
2290	98:2	۲	U	n	n			
2291		C	49.6	41.2	45.4	1		
2292		D	196	189	193	1		
2293	98:3	V	7.18	8.80	7.99	T		
2294		C	U	D	U			
2295	98:4	V	163	150	157	r		
2296		B	372	214	2935	1		
2297		c	187	228	208	1		
2298		D	4.54	5.39	4.97	Γ		
2338	104:1	Whole	U	D	n	r		
2343	104:2	۲	n	n	n	r		
2344		В	n	n	N	1		
2345	•	C	14.4	13.2	13.8	r		
2346	104:3	V	U	n	n	-		
2347		с U	15.3	12.1	13.7	1		
2348		D	135	95	115	I		
2349	104:4	V	38.7	27.0	32.9	ſ		
2350		ບ	U	U	U	-		
2351	,	D	12.4	10.6	11.5	I		

Sample	Core:	Sub-	Result	Duplicate	Mean	Overall Mean	RSD (mean)	Projected Inventory
ANGAMOCA	segment	ocginerii	pg/g	₽g/g	pe/g	₽E/g	%	kg
2352	104:5	Α	146	140	143	Cont'd	Cont'd	Cont'd
2353		В	186	298	242	1	Į	
2354		С	241	360	301			1
2355		D	12.9	39.9	26.4	1	}	

Table A-71. Tank 241-BY-108 Analytical Results: Tetradecane (2 sheets).

Sample	Core:	Sub-	Result	Duplicate	Mean	Overall Mean	RSD (mean)	Projected Inventory
remider	Segment	segment	рв/в	# <u>8</u> /8	₽E/E	₽ ₽ ∕g	%	kg
2289	98:1	Whole	U	U	U	0.0745	N/A	0.0969
2290	98:2	Α	U	U	U			
2291		C	U	U	U ²			
2292		D	U	U	U			
2293	98:3	A	U	U	U			
2294		С	U	U	U			
2295	98:4	A	U	U	U			
2296	1	В	U	U	U			
2297	1	С	U	U	U			
2298	1	D	U	U	U			
2338	104:1	Whole	U	U	U			
2343	104:2	A	U	U	U	_		
2344	1	В	U	U	U			
2345	1	С	U	U	U			
2346	104:3	A	U	U	U			
2347	1	С	U	U	U			
2348	1	D	U	U	U ¹		1	
2349	104:4	Α	U	U	U	1		
2350	1	C	U	U	U			
2351	1	D	U	U	U			

Table A-72. Tank 241-BY-108 Analytical Results: Tri-n-butylphosphate (2 sheets).

	Projected Inventory kg	Cont'd			
	RSD (mean) %	Cont'd			-
ate (2 sheets)	Overall Mean #8/g	Cont'd			
Tri-n-butylphosph	Mean #B/B	2.99	U	U	U ¹
8 Analytical Results:	Duplicate #g/g	n	D	D	D
72. Tank 241-BY-10	Result #2/g	5.97	n	n	U
Table A-	Sub- segment	V	B	с U	D
	Core: Segment	104:5			
	Sample Number	2352	2353	2354	2355

) Projected a) Inventory	kg	29.1											<u>.</u>					
	RSI (meau	8	43.5											<u>,</u> ,					
2 sheets).	Overall Mean	#2/8	22.4	r															
sults: Pentadecane (Mean	8/8x	U	U	20.3	74.1	U	U	65.3	94.4	81.2	n	U	U	n	6.88	n	5.38	45.6
Y-108 Analytical Re-	Duplicate	p 8/g	U	U	18.5	71.5	U	U	61.3	80.7	105	N	N	N	N	6.11	n	4.44	31.1
A-73. Tank 241-B	Result	8/84	n	n	22.1	76.7	U	n	69.3	108	57.3	U	U	n	U	7.64	N	6.32	60.0
Table	Sub-		Whole	۲	c	D	<	c	<	В	C	D	Whole	V	B	C	V	C	D
	Core:	ocguneun	98:1	98:2			98:3		98:4				104:1	104:2			104:3		
	Sample		2289	2290	2291	2292	2293	2294	2295	2296	2297	2298	2338	2343	2344	2345	2346	2347	2348

Sample Number	Core:	Sub-	Result	Duplicate	Mean	Overall Mean	RSD (mean)	Projected Inventory
A COMMITTER A	orenwan.	orement	₽\$/g	#B/8	FE/E	#B/B	%	kg
2349	104:4	Α	17.5	10.2	13.9	Cont'd	Cont'd	Cont'd
2350		С	U	U	U	-1		
2351	104.5	D	8.03	5.60	6.82	-		
2352	104:5	A	106	105	106			
2353		В	83.6	131	107			
2354		С	93.4	129	111			
2355		D	U	13.4	6.70			

Table A-73. Tank 241-BY-108 Analytical Results: Pentadecane (2 sheets).

ļ								
a) 5	Core:	Sub-	Result	Duplicate	Mean	Overall Mean	RSD (mean)	Projected Inventory
	Desement		Ag/g	A 8/ 8	8/8#	#B / B	8	kg
	98:1	Whole	U	U	U	0.988	N/A	1.28
	98:2	V	n	U	U			
1		c	U	U	U ²			
Γ		D	U	n	n			
†	98:3	V	U	U	U			
		С	U	n	U²			
<u>† </u>	98:4	<	n	n	n			
		В	U	n	U'			
Γ		C	12.2	11.6	11.9			
Γ		D	n	n	N			
†	104:1	Whole	n	n	U			
†	104:2	V	U	n	n			
1		В	N	U	n			
		C	U	n	U			
	104:3	v	N	U	n			
Γ		ပ	U	n	n			
		D	U	n	U			
1	104:4	V	U	U	U			
		C	U	U	U			
		D	n	N	N			

A-133

							·(cimil		
Sample	Core: Segment	Sub-		Result	Duplicate	Mean	Overall Mean	RSD (mean)	Projected Inventory
				3/8 <i>1</i> 1	8/84	2/3#	#8/8	8	kg
2352	104:5	V	6.54		5.56	6.05	Cont'd	Cont'd	Cont'd
2353		B	D		n	U			
2354		C	11.9		25.0	18.5 ¹			
2355		D	n		n	U			

Table A-74. Tank 241-BY-108 Analytical Results: Nonane (2 sheets).

Sample Number Segment Core: Sub- segment Result mage Result mage Result mage Result mage Result mage RSD mate Mean Overall mage RSD mate 2290 98:1 Whole U U U 98:3 A U U 98:4 48:4 2290 98:1 A U U U 0 48:4 2291 229 98:3 A 7.14 9.47 8.31 34:4 2294 A 7.14 9.47 8.31 34:5 48:4 2295 98:4 A 7.14 9.47 8.31 34:5 2294 E U U U U U U 2344 A 104:1 U U U U U 2345 IO4:1 Moat 10:7 12.2 23:3 34:5 2344 A 10:0 U U U U 2345			Tab	le A-75. Tank 241-1	3Y-108 Analytical R	esults: Dodecane (2	sheets).		
Ref Ref <th>Sample Number</th> <th>Core: Serment</th> <th>Sub- seement</th> <th>Result</th> <th>Duplicate</th> <th>Mean</th> <th>Overall Mean</th> <th>RSD (mean)</th> <th>Projected Inventory</th>	Sample Number	Core: Serment	Sub- seement	Result	Duplicate	Mean	Overall Mean	RSD (mean)	Projected Inventory
2289 98:1 Whole U U U 58.8 48.4 2290 98:2 A U U U U S8.8 48.4 2291 C 39.4 0.1 34.8 34.8 48.4 2292 28:3 A 7.14 9.47 8.31 34.8 2293 98:3 A 7.14 9.47 8.31 34.8 2294 E U U U U 208 2295 98:4 A 214 201 208 2294 B 397 203 345 2294 U U U U U 2343 I04:1 Whole U U U U 2344 IO4:1 Whole U U U U 2343 I04:1 Whole U U U U 2345 I04:1 U U				P2/3	2/84	8/8x	8/8#	8	kg
2290 98:2 A U U 2291 C 39.4 30.1 34.8 2293 P 140 133 137 2293 98:3 A 7.14 9.47 8.31 2294 P 140 133 137 2295 98:3 A 7.14 9.47 8.31 2294 C U U U 2294 B 397 293 345 22343 I04:1 Whole U U U 2344 A 10 U U U 2343 I04:1 Whole U U U 2344 A 1.90 1.64 1.77 2345 I04:3 A 1	2289	98:1	Whole	n	U	U	58.8	48.4	76.4
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	2290	98:2	A	U	U	U			
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	2291		c	39.4	30.1	34.8			
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	2292		D	140	133	137			
2294 C U U U 2295 98:4 A 214 201 208 2295 98:4 A 214 201 208 2295 98:4 A 214 201 208 2297 E 255 270 263 2298 D 7.08 7.92 7.50 2233 104:1 Whole U U U 2343 104:1 Whole U U U 2344 D V U U U 2344 D U U U U 2345 Iou:2 A U U U 2346 Iou:3 A 1.90 1.77 12.2 2345 C 13.6 10.7 23.3 74.9 2348 D 9.25 23.3 21.7 2348 D 9.25 23.3 21.7	2293	98:3	V	7.14	9.47	8.31			
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	2294		C	U	U	U			
2296 B 397 293 345 2297 C 255 270 263 2298 D 7.08 7.92 263 2298 D 7.08 7.92 263 2338 IO4:1 Whole U U U 2344 A U U U U 2344 B U U U U 2344 B U U U U 2345 IO4:1 Mhole U U U 2345 IO4:2 A 1.90 IO.7 12.2 2346 IO4:3 A 1.90 I.64 I.77 2347 C 14.0 12.2 23.3 2348 IO4:3 A 1.90 1.77 2349 IO4:4 A 25.0 23.3 2349 IO4:4 A 25.0 18.3 2350 C <th>2295</th> <th>98:4</th> <td><</td> <td>214</td> <td>201</td> <td>208</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	2295	98:4	<	214	201	208			
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	2296	ſ	В	397	293	345			
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	2297		IJ	255	270	263			-
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	2298		D	7.08	7.92	7.50			
2343 104:2 A U U U 2344 B U U U U 2345 C 13.6 10.7 12.2 2346 104:3 A 1.90 1.64 1.77 2347 C 14.0 9.25 23.3 2348 D 92.5 57.3 74.9 2349 104:4 A 25.0 18.3 21.7 2350 C U U U 0 2351 D 92.5 57.3 21.7	2338	104:1	Whole	U	U	U			
2344 B U U U 2345 C 13.6 10.7 12.2 2346 104:3 A 1.90 1.64 1.77 2347 C 14.0 9.25 23.3 2348 D 92.5 57.3 74.9 2349 104:4 A 25.0 18.3 74.9 2350 C U U U 21.7 2351 D 11.3 9.49 10.4	2343	104:2	۷	N	U	U			
2345 C 13.6 10.7 12.2 2346 104:3 A 1.90 1.64 1.77 2347 C 14.0 9.25 23.3 2348 D 92.5 57.3 74.9 2349 104:4 A 25.0 18.3 21.7 2350 C U U U 2351 D 11.3 9.49 10.4	2344		В	U	U	U			
2346 104:3 A 1.90 1.64 1.77 2347 C 14.0 9.25 23.3 2348 D 92.5 57.3 74.9 2349 104:4 A 25.0 18.3 74.9 2350 C U U U 0 2351 D 11.3 9.49 10.4	2345		с С	13.6	10.7	12.2			
2347 C 14.0 9.25 23.3 2348 D 92.5 57.3 74.9 2349 104:4 A 25.0 18.3 74.9 2349 104:4 A 25.0 18.3 21.7 2350 C U U U 1 2351 D 11.3 9.49 10.4	2346	104:3	۲	1.90	1.64	1.77			
2348 D 92.5 57.3 74.9 2349 104:4 A 25.0 18.3 21.7 2350 C U U U U 2351 D 11.3 9.49 10.4	2347		C	14.0	9.25	23.3			
2349 104:4 A 25.0 18.3 21.7 2350 C U U U 2351 D 11.3 9.49 10.4	2348		D	92.5	57.3	74.9	-		
2350 C U U 2351 D 11.3 9.49 10.4	2349	104:4	۷	25.0	18.3	21.7			
2351 D 11.3 9.49 10.4	2350		c	n	N	n			
	2351		D	11.3	9.49	10.4			

•

Projected Inventory	kg	Cont'd			
RSD (mean)	8	Cont'd			
 Overall Mean	# 2/8	Cont'd			
Mean	8/8#	127	323	355	36.6
Duplicate	# 8/8	125	405	425	53.1
Result	µg/g	129	241	285	20.0
Sub- seement		A	В	c	D
Core: Seement		104:5			
Sample Number		2352	2353	2354	2355

Table A-75. Tank 241-BY-108 Analytical Results: Dodecane (2 sheets).

Sample	Core:	Sub-	Result	Duplicate	Mean	Overall Mean	RSD (mean)	Projected Inventory
Number	Segment	segment	μg/g	₽g/g	pg/g	₽g/g	%	kg
2289	98:1	Whole	U	U	U	3.42	N/A	4.45
2290	98:2	A	U	U	U			
2291	1	С	U	U	U			
2292	1	D	10.2	9.31	9.76			
2293	98:3	۸	U	U	U	1		
2294		С	U	U	U			
2295	98:4	٨	U	U	U			
2296		В	U	U	U	1		
2297		С	28.1	29.3	28.7	1		
2298	1	D	U	U	U			
2338	104:1	Whole	U	U	U			
2343	104:2	A	U	U	U			
2344	1	В	U	U	U	1		
2345		С	U	U	U			
2346	104:3	A	U	U	U	1		
2347	-	С	U	U	U	1		
2348	1	D	U	U	U			
2349	104:4	A	U	U	U	1		
2350		С	U	U	U	1		
2351	1	D	U .	U	U	1		

Table A-76. Tank 241-BY-108 Analytical Results: Decane (2 sheets).
Projected Inventory	kg	Cont'd			
RSD (meau)	*	Cont'd			
Overall Mean	g/g#	Cont'd			
Mcan	RB/B	13.8	34.2	36.4	U
Duplicate	#8 / 8	13.6	42.8	46.0	U
Result	F2/3	13.9	25.5	26.8	U
Sub- segment		A	В	C	D
Core: Segment		104:5			
Sample Number		2352	2353	2354	2355

Table A-76. Tank 241-BY-108 Analytical Results: Decane (2 sheets).

WHC-SD-WM-ER-533 Rev. 0

APPENDIX B

SAMPLE ANALYSIS AND PROCEDURES

This page intentionally left blank.

B.1 INTRODUCTION

For a brief discussion of the analyses performed by 222-S Laboratory of the Westinghouse Hanford Company and 325 facilities of the Pacific Northwest National Laboratory (see Section 3.3). Appendix B discusses each analysis in more detail. The 222-S Laboratory analyzed core 98 and 104; the 325 facilities analyzed core 99. There are brief descriptions of the analyses, problems encountered, and a list of the quality control tests used. Table B-1 lists all samples; the core, segment, and segment portion from which the samples were taken; and the analyses performed on the sample. Tables B-2 and B-3 lists the procedure titles and numbers used for each analysis.

B.2 SAMPLE ANALYSES

B.2.1 Westinghouse Hanford Company 222-S Laboratory (Cores 98 and 104)

B.2.1.1 Thermodynamic Analysis and DSC. Thermodynamic Analysis (TGA) measures the rate of mass loss of a sample subjected to a constant rate of temperature increase. It measures thermal decomposition temperatures, water content, and reaction temperatures. DSC measures the heat released or absorbed by a sample while the temperature of the sample is increased at a constant rate. Data generated by DSC analyses are often used to measure thermal decomposition temperatures, heats of reaction, reaction temperatures, melting points, and solid-solid transition temperatures.

TGA and DSC analyses were performed directly on homogenized samples. Quality control included duplicates and standards. Both analyses were performed under a nitrogen purge using one of the following instruments: Perkin-Elmer^{Φ} or Mettler^{Φ}.

B.2.1.2 Metals. Total metals were measured by inductively coupled plasma/atomic emission spectrometry. The samples were prepared using an acid digestion. Quality control tests included duplicate samples, blanks, spikes, and standard recoveries.

B.2.1.3 Anions. Concentrations of selected ions were measured on water-leached samples using ion chromatography.

B.2.1.4 Cyanide. Cyanide analyses were performed on all solid samples using microdistillation and coulometry. Samples were prepared for analysis by dissolution in ethylenediaminetetraacetic (EDTA) acid. Quality control tests included blanks, spikes, standards, and duplicate analyses.

B.2.1.5 Total Organic Carbon. Analyses for TOC were performed on all samples using the persulfate/coulometry method. Quality control tests included blanks, spikes, standards, and duplicate analyses. Total organic carbon may include some of the cyanide, but will not include aliphatic hydrocarbons.

B.2.1.6 Total Alpha Analysis. Total alpha analyses were performed on fused samples using an alpha proportional counter. Two fusions were prepared for each sample to obtain duplicate results. Quality control tests included blanks, duplicates, standards, and spikes.

B.2.1.7 Organic Compounds. Analyses were performed using the flame ionization detector/gas chromatograph method to measure the specific organic compounds in the waste. Quality control tests included method blanks and matrix spikes.

B.2.2 Pacific Northwest National Laboratory 325 Laboratory (Core 99)

B.2.2.1 Thermodynamic Analysis and DSC. TGA and DSC analyses were performed directly on the homogenized waste samples. Both analyses were performed in platinum pans under a nitrogen purge. Quality control included duplicates and standards.

B.2.2.2 Density. Density measurements were performed on all samples with the exception of segment 2A which had insufficient sample for an accurate measurement (only 2.5 cm [1 in.] of sample was retrieved). Density measurements were not performed in duplicate because of the small amount of sample available. Limited sample also prevented the use of the standard technical procedure. An experimental displacement method using mineral oil was substituted.

B.2.2.3 Metals. The concentrations of metals were determined by ICP on solid samples prepared by fusion using potassium hydroxide-potassium nitrate in a nickel crucible or sodium peroxide-sodium hydroxide in a zirconium crucible. Drainable liquids were prepared for analysis by digestion in hydrochloric and nitric acids.

Quality control measures included performing the analyses in duplicate with the exception of the sodium peroxide-sodium hydroxide fused samples from segments 2, 3, and 4. Other quality control measures used were serial dilution percent difference calculations, blank and matrix spike recovery determinations, verification and continuing calibrations, and processing blanks.

B.2.2.4 Anions. Concentrations of selected anions were measured using IC on solid samples prepared by a water-leach process and on diluted drainable liquid samples.

Quality control measures for the anion analyses included performing the analyses in duplicate, using leach processing, matrix and blank spikes for the solid samples, and a dilution blank for drainable liquids.

B-4

B.2.2.5 Total Cyanide. The cyanide concentrations of the samples from core 99 were determined by argentometric titration or by colorimeter after preparation of the direct samples using microdistillation. Initial plans were to argentometrically titrate the microdistilled samples because high concentrations of cyanide were expected in the waste. After titrating samples from segment 1 and 2 and finding no appreciable cyanide, colorimetry was used to analyze the residual from the first samples and the remaining samples.

Quality control measures included duplicate analyses, blank spikes, matrix spikes, and distillation blanks.

B.2.2.6 Total Inorganic Carbon, Total Organic Carbon, and Total Carbon. Analyses for carbon were performed directly on the homogenized material from core 99, segment 1 and quarter segments 2A, 2D, 3A, and 4A through 4D and on dilute solutions of drainable liquid. Carbon concentrations of waste samples were determined by the hot persulfate/coulometry method. Diluted drainable liquid solutions were also analyzed using a UV-catalyzed method.

Quality control measures included duplicate analyses, processing blanks for the drainable liquids, and matrix spikes for the drainable liquid UV-catalyzed method and for the solid hot persulfate analyses. Total orgnic carbon may include some of the cyanide, but it will not include aliphatic hydrocarbons.

B.2.2.7 Total Alpha Activity. Initial analyses of total alpha activity were measured using scintillation counters. The high beta/gamma content of the samples made high dilutions of the sample necessary, with subsequent low alpha activity and very long counting times. The samples contained solid material which attenuated much of the alpha activity, possibly a result of solids from the fusion flux. Samples were counted with and without a ²³⁹Pu spike, and the net plutonium count rates were averaged to obtain a correction factor of 0.722 \pm 20 percent to account for the attenuation. The factor was applied uniformly to all fused samples. Drainable liquid samples, which were prepared by an acid digestion, were not corrected.

Quality control measures included duplicate analyses, hot cell blanks, and standard recoveries.

B.2.2.8 Plutonium Analyses. Plutonium analyses were performed on all fused samples. (Drainable liquid samples were not analyzed for plutonium.) Samples were counted by alpha energy analysis following separation.

Standards, blanks, matrix spikes, and duplicate analyses were used as quality control tests.

B.2.2.9 Total Beta Analysis. Total beta analyses were performed on all samples. The samples were using beta gas flow proportional counters. Quality control tests for the total beta analysis included blanks and duplicates.

B.2.2.10 Strontium-90 Analysis. Strontium-90 analyses were performed on all fused samples. (Drainable liquids were not analyzed for ⁹⁰Sr.) Following separation, the samples were counted using a beta gas flow counter.

Quality control tests consisted of duplicate analyses, matrix spikes, standards, and blanks.

B.2.2.11 Gamma Energy Analysis. Gamma energy analyses were performed on all samples; counting was performed using germanium gamma detectors.

Quality control tests included duplicate analyses and blanks.

B.2.2.12 Uranium Analysis. Analyses were performed for total uranium using laser fluorimetry on all fused samples. Quality control tests included standards, blanks, and duplicates.

Segment	Quarter Segment	Sample Number ²	Analyses
		CORE 98	
1	Drainable Liquid	1373	TGA(PE), DSC(PE), TOC, ICP, IC
	Whole Segment ³	1390	TGA(PE), DSC(PE), CN, TOC
		1391	Total alpha
		1483	ICP
		2289	FID/GC
		2484	IC
2	A	1404	TGA(PE), DSC(PE), CN, TOC
		1405	Total alpha
		1484	ICP
		2290	FID/GC
		2490	IC
	С	1399	TGA(M), DSC(M), TOC, CN
		1400	Total alpha
		1485	ICP
		2291	FID/GC
		2491	IC
	D	1393	TGA(M)
		1396	TGA(PE), TGA(M), DSC(PE), TOC, CN
		1398	Total alpha
9		1486	ICP
		2292	FID/GC
		2492	IC
1	Drainable Liquid	1427	TGA(PE), DSC(PE) TOC, ICP, IC
3	A	1431	TGA(M), DSC(M), TOC, CN
		1480	Total alpha
		1487	ICP
	1	2293	FID/GC
		2493	IC

Table B-1.	Summary of	Samples	and Analyses	$(7 \text{ sheets}).^1$
------------	------------	---------	--------------	-------------------------

Segment	Quarter Segment	Sample Number ²	Analyses
3 (Cont'd)	С	1432	TGA(M), DSC(M), TOC, CN
		1481	Total alpha
		1488	ICP
		2294	FIC/GC
		2494	IC
	D	1433	TGA(M), DSC(M), TOC, CN
		1482	Total alpha
		1489	ICP
	ļ .	2495	IC
	Drainable Liquid	1430	TGA(M), DSC(M), TOC, ICP, IC
4	Α	1419	TGA(M), TGA(M) Rerun, DSC(M), DSC(M) Rerun, TOC, CN
		1423	Total alpha
		1490	ICP
		2295	FID/GC
		2496	IC
	В	1420	TGA(M), DSC(M), DSC(M) Rerun, TOC, CN
		1424	Total alpha
		1491	ICP
		2296	FID/GC
		2497	IC
		2639	RSST
	С	1421	TGA(M), DSC(M), TOC, CN
		1425	Total alpha
		1492	ICP
		2297	FID/GC
		2498	IC
	D	1422	TGA(M), DSC(M), TOC, CN
		1426	Total alpha
		1493	ICP
		2298	FID/GC
		3707	IC

Table B-1. Summary of Samples and Analyses (7 sheets).¹

B-8

· _ _

۰.

Segment	Quarter Segment	Sample Number ²	Analyses
	•	CORE 104	
1	Whole Segment	1925	TGA(PE), DSC(PE), TOC, CN
		2001	Total alpha
		2161	ICP
		2338	FID/GC
		2536	IC
2	A	1966	TGA(M), DSC(M), TOC, CN
		2002	Total alpha
		2162	ICP
		2343	FID/GC
		2558	IC
	В	1967	TGA(M), DSC(M), TOC, CN
		2003	Total alpha
		2163	ICP
		2344	FID/GC
		2559	IC
	С	1968	TGA(PE), DSC(PE), TOC, CN
		2004	Total alpha
		2164	ICP
		2345	FID/GC
		2560	IC
3	А	1969	TGA(PE), DSC(PE), TOC, CN
		2005	Total alpha
		2165	ICP
		2346	FID/GC
		2561	IC
	С	1970	TGA(M), DSC(PE), TOC, CN
		2006	Total alpha
		2166	ICP
		2347	FID/GC
		2562	IC

Table B-1. S	ummary of Sample	s and Analyses	(7 sheets). ¹
--------------	------------------	----------------	--------------------------

Segment	Quarter Segment	Sample Number ²	Analyses
3 (Cont'd)	D	1971	TGA(M), DSC(PE), TOC, CN
		2007	Total alpha
		2167	ICP
		2348	FID/GC
		2563	IC
4	A	1972	TGA(M), DSC(M), TOC, CN
		2008	Total alpha
		2168	ICP
		2349	FID/GC
		2564	IC
	С	1973	TGA(M), DSC(M), TOC, CN
		2009	Total alpha
		2169	ICP
		2350	FID/GC
		2565	IC
Ī	D	1974	TGA(PE), DSC(PE), TOC, CN
		2010	Total alpha
		2170	ICP
		2351	FID/GC
		2566	IC
5	A	1975	TGA(PE), DSC(PE), CN
		2011	Total alpha
		2171	ICP
		2352	FID/GC
		2568	IC
		3173	тос
	В	1976	TGA(M), DSC(M), TOC, CN
		2012	Total alpha
		2172	ICP
		2353	FID/GC
		2569	IC
		3174	RSST

Table B-1. Summary of Samples and Analyses (7 sheets).¹

B-10

Segment	Quarter Segment	Sample Number ²	Analyses
5 (Cont'd)	С	1977	TGA(M), DSC(M), TOC, CN
		2013	Total alpha
		2174	ICP
		2354	FID/GC
		2570	IC
	D	1978	TGA(PE), DSC(PE), TOC, CN
		2014	Total alpha
		2175	ICP
		2355	FID/GC
		2571	IC
	·	CORE 99	
1	Whole Segment	7313, 7313-2	TGA, DSC, Density ⁴
		7941-C, 7941-C2	IC
		7941-G, 7941-G2	CN
		7941-H1T,	KOH-KNO ₃ fusion, ICP, Radchem ⁵
		7941-H2T	
		7941-J, 7941-J2	TIC, TOC, TC
		7941-N1, 7941-N2	Na ₂ O ₂ -NaOH fusion, ICP
2	Drainable Liquid	7932, 7932-2	TGA, DSC
		7397	Density
		7932-A1, 7932-A2	HNO ₃ -HCl acid digestion, ICP, Radchem ⁵
		7932-C, 7932-C2	IC
		7932-G, 7932-G2	CN
		7932-J, 7932-J2	TIC, TOC, TC
	A	7315, 7315-2	TGA, DSC
		7942-C, 7942-C2	IC
		7942-G, 7942-G2	CN ·
		7942-H1, 7942-H2	KOH-KNO ₃ fusion, ICP, Radchem ⁵
		7942-J, 7942-J2	TIC, TOC, TC
		7942-N1, 7942-N2	Na ₂ O ₂ -NaOH fusion, ICP

Table B-1. Summary of Samples and Analyses (7 sheets).¹

Segment	Quarter Segment	Sample Number ²	Analyses
2 (Cont'd)	D	7314, 7314-2	DSC, TGA, Density
1		7943-C, 7943-C2	IC
		7943-G, 7943-G2	CN
		7943-H1, 7943-H2	KOH-KNO ₃ fusion, ICP, Radchem ⁵
		7943-J, 7943-J2	TIC, TOC, TC
		7943-N1	Na ₂ O ₂ -NaOH fusion, ICP
3	Drainable Liquid	7935, 7935-2	DSC, TGA
		7398	Density
		7935-A1, 7935-A2	HNO ₃ -HCl acid digestion, ICP, Radchem ⁵
		7935-C, 7935-C2	IC
		7935-G, 7935-G2	CN
		7935-J, 793 5-J 2	TIC, TOC, TC
	A	7316, 7316-2	DSC, TGA, Density
		7944-C, 7944-C2	IC
		7944-G, 7944-G2	CN
		7944-H1, 7944-H2	KOH-KNO ₃ fusion, ICP, Radchem ⁵
		7944-J, 7944-J2	TIC, TOC, TC
		7944-N	Na ₂ O ₂ -NaOH fusion, ICP
4	Α	7322, 7322-2	DSC, TGA, Density
		7945-C, 7945-C2	IC
		7945-G, 7945-G2	CN
		7945-H1, 7945-H2	KOH-KNO ₃ fusion, ICP, Radchem ⁵
		7945-J, 7945-J2	TIC, TOC, TC
į		7945-N1	Na ₂ O ₂ -NaOH fusion, ICP
	В	7319, 7319-2	DSC, TGA, Density
		7946-C, 7946-C2	IC
		7946-G, 7946-G2	CN
		7946-H1, 7946-H2	KOH-KNO ₃ fusion, ICP, Radchem ⁵
		7946-J, 7946-J2	TIC, TOC, TC
		7946-N1	Na ₂ O ₂ -NaOH fusion, ICP

Table B-1. Summary of Samples and Analyses (7 sheets).¹

Segment	Quarter Segment	Sample Number ²	Analyses
4 (Cont'd)	С	7318, 7318-2	DSC, TGA, Density
		7947-C, 7947-C2	IC
		7947-G, 7947-G2	CN
		7947-H1, 7947-H2	KOH-KNO ₃ fusion, ICP, Radchem ⁵
		7947-J, 7947-J2	TIC, TOC, TC
		7947-N1	Na ₂ O ₂ -NaOH fusion, ICP
	D	7317, 7317-2	DSC, TGA, Density
		7948-C, 7948-C2	IC
		7948-G, 7948-G2	CN
		7948-H1, 7948-H2	KOH-KNO ₃ fusion, ICP, Radchem ⁵
		7948-J, 7948-J2	TIC, TOC, TC
		7948-N1	Na ₂ O ₂ -NaOH fusion, ICP

	Table B-1.	Summary	of Samples	s and Anal	yses (7	' sheets). ¹
--	------------	---------	------------	------------	---------	-------------------------

Notes:

CN = cyanide TC = total carbon $M = Mettler^{(1)}$ $PE = Perkin-Elmer^{(2)}$

¹Baldwin 1995a and Silvers et al. 1995.

²Sample numbers for cores 98, 99, and 104 were abbreviated. Sample numbers for cores 98 and 104 (analyzed at 222-S Laboratory) all contain the prefix 'S95T000'. Duplicate samples have the same number as the originals. Sample numbers for core 99 (analyzed at PNNL) contain the prefix '95-0'. Additionally, core 99 sample numbers contain a letter-number suffix which identifies the specific analysis and differentiates the original sample from the duplicate (exceptions are the sample numbers for the TGA and DSC analyses). These are left on the sample numbers for clarity.

³The "whole segment" contains all solids from that particular segment.

⁴Density measurements were not run in duplicate because of insufficient sample.

⁵Radchem includes analyses for total alpha, total beta, ⁹⁰Sr, ^{299/240}Pu, gamma energy analysis, and uranium. Uranium analyses were not performed on the drainable liquid portions of core 99.

¹ Mettler is a registered trademark of Mettler Electronics, Anaheim, California.

² Perkins-Elmer is a registered trademark of Perkins Research and Manufacturing Company, Canoga Park, California.

Analysis	Instrument	Preparation Procedure	Procedure Number
Energetics by DSC	Mettler [®] Perkin-Elmer [®]	N/A	LA-514-113, Rev. B-1 LA-514-114, Rev. B-O
Percent Water by TGA	Mettler [®] Perkin-Elmer [®]	N/A	LA-560-112, Rev. A-2 LA-514-114, Rev. B-0
Total Alpha Activity	Alpha proportional counter	LA-549-141, Rev. D-0	LA-508-101, Rev. D-2
Nickel, lithium and other metals by ICP	Inductively coupled plasma spectrometer	LA-549-141, Rev. D-0 LA-505-158, Rev. A-4	LA-505-151, Rev. A-1
Bromide (and other anions) by ICP	Ion chromatograph	LA-504-101, Rev. D-0	LA-533-105, Rev.D-0
Total Organic Carbon	Coulometer	Hot persulfate oxidation	LA-342-100, Rev. C-O
Cyanide	Spectrophotometer	Microdistillation	LA-695-102, Rev. D-O
FID/GC	Gas chromatograph/ mass spectrometer	N/A	LA-523-437, Rev. A-0

Table B-2. Analytical Procedures, Cores 98 and 104.1

Notes:

N/A = Not Available

¹Baldwin (1995a).

٠.

.

Analysis	Instrument/ Procedure Title	Preparation Procedure	Procedure Number
Percent Water	Thermogravimetric analysis	Direct	PNL-ALO-508
Energetics	Differential scanning calorimetry	Direct	PNL-ALO-508
Density	N/A	Direct	PNL-ALO-501
ICP	Inductively coupled argon plasma atomic	KOH-KNO ₃ fusion PNL-ALO-115	PNL-ALO-211
	emission spectrometer	Na ₂ O ₂ -NaOH fusion PNL-ALO-114	
		HC1-HNO ₃ digestion PNL-ALO-128	
IC	Ion chromatograph	Water leach PNL-ALO-103	PNL-ALO-212
Cyanide	Spectrophotometer	Microdistillation PNL-ALO-285	PNL-ALO-289
TIC, TOC, TC	Coulometer	Direct analysis	PNL-ALO-381
Total alpha	Scintillation counter	KOH-KNO ₃ fusion PNL-ALO-115	PNL-ALO-420/421
		Na ₂ O ₂ -NaOH fusion PNL-ALO-114	
		HCl-HNO ₃ digestion PNL-ALO-128	
Plutonium	Separation and alpha energy analysis	KOH-KNO3 fusion PNL-ALO-115	PNL-ALO-423/422
		Na ₂ O ₂ -NaOH fusion PNL-ALO-114	
		HCl-HNO ₃ digestion PNL-ALO-128	
Total beta	Beta gas proportional counting	KOH-KNO ₃ fusion PNL-ALO-115	PNL-ALO-430/431
		Na ₂ O ₂ -NaOH fusion PNL-ALO-114	
		HCl-HNO ₃ digestion PNL-ALO-128	

Table B-3. Analytical Procedures, Core 99 (2 sheets).¹

Analysis	Instrument/ Procedure Title	Preparation Procedure	Procedure Number
⁹⁰ Sr	Beta gas proportional counting	KOH-KNO3 fusion PNL-ALO-115	PNL-ALO-433/431
		Na ₂ O ₂ -NaOH fusion PNL-ALO-114	
Gamma energy analysis	Gamma counting	KOH-KNO ₃ fusion PNL-ALO-115	PNL-ALO-450
		Na ₂ O ₂ -NaOH fusion PNL-ALO-114	
		HCl-HNO ₃ digestion PNL-ALO-128	

Table B-3. Analytical Procedures, Core 99 (2 sheets).¹

Note:

¹Silvers et al. (1995)

Table B-4. Analytical Procedures for August 1994 Auger Samples	Analytical Procedures for August 1994 A	Auger Samples. ¹
--	---	-----------------------------

Analyte	Instrument	Preparation Procedure	Procedure Number
Organic compounds	Gas chromatograph	N/A	Experimental ²
Total organic carbon	Coulometer	Persulfate oxidation	LA-344-105
Weight percent water by TGA	N/A	N/A	LA-560-112

Note:

¹Campbell et al. 1995a

²Pool and Bean 1994.

.

APPENDIX C

DRILL STRING WASH WATER CONTAMINATION CHECK DATA

This page intentionally left blank.

C.1 INTRODUCTION

Appendix C presents the chemical results of the drill string wash water contamination check. The two analytes added to the drill string wash water as tracers were lithium and bromide. The analytical results for these two analytes are given in Tables C-1 and C-2, respectively. Overall means, RSDs, and projected inventories were not calculated for these analytes because they are not waste constituents.

C.2 ANALYTE TABLE DESCRIPTION

The "Sample Number" column lists the laboratory sample for which the analyte was measured. Sampling rationale, locations, and descriptions of sampling events are discussed in Section 3.0.

Column two describes the core and segment from which each sample was derived. The first number listed is the core number. It is followed by a colon and the segment number.

Column three contains the name of the segment portion from which the sample was taken. This can be the entire segment (whole); drainable liquid (DL), or "A", "B", "C", or "D" describing the top, second, third, or bottom segment portion, respectively. Some segment portions are repeated in the analyte tables, with the second entry followed by an asterisk. The asterisk identifies samples from core 99 that were analyzed for metals on a sodium peroxide-sodium hydroxide fusion.

The "Result" and "Duplicate" columns are self-explanatory. The "Mean" column is the average of the result and duplicate values. All values, including those below the detection level (indicating the "less-than" symbol, <), were averaged. The mean was only expressed as a nondetected value if both sample values were nondetected. The data are listed in standard notation for values greater than 0.001 and less than 100,000. Values outside these limits are listed in scientific notation.

The Result and duplicate values were originally reported to higher significant figures than shown in the tables. The means were calculated by the laboratory, in a consistent manner, using these original data. The means may appear to have been rounded up in some cases and rounded down in others. However, this is strictly because the analytical results are shown in the tables to only three significant figures, and not because the means were incorrectly calculated.

Analytic values for drainable liquids, reported by the laboratory in $\mu g/mL$, were converted to units of $\mu g/g$ for the purposes of calculating the overall means for those analytes. A density value of 1.43 g/mL was used for the conversion.

The four QC parameters assessed on the tank 241-BY-108 samples were standards, spikes, duplicates, and blanks. The QC results for cores 98, 99, and 104 were summarized in Section 5.1.2. More specific information is provided with each of the following appendix tables. Sample and duplicate pairs in which any of the QC parameters were outside their specified limits are footnoted in column 6 with a 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, or 6 as follows:

- "1" indicates that the standard recovery was below the QC limit.

- "2" indicates that the standard recovery was above the QC limit.

- "3" indicates that the spike recovery was below the QC limit.

- "4" indicates that the spike recovery was above the QC limit.

- "5" indicates that the RPD was outside the QC limits.

- "6" indicates that there was some blank contamination.

The QC criteria for lithium and bromide specified in the SAP (Baldwin 1995c) were identical for all three cores. These criteria are summarized as follows: 90-110 percent recovery for standards and matrix spikes, \pm 10 percent for RPDs, and blanks \leq 5 percent of the analyte concentration.

ample			Result	Duplicate	Mean	Overall Mean	ßD	Projected Inventory
umber	Core: Segment	Sub- segment	A2/8	8/8#	g/g#	8/84	8	kg
183	98:1	Whole	18.42	17.60	18.01	N/A	N/A	N/A
573		DL	< 4.01 µg/mL	< 4.01 µg/mL	 < 4.01 μg/mL (< 2.80 μg/g) 			
84	98:2	V	< 4.84	< 4.84	< 4.84			
27		DL	< 4.01 µg/mL	< 4.01 µg/mL	< 4.01 μg/mL (< 2.80 μg/g)			
85		C	< 4.975	< 4.803	< 4.890			
86		D	< 4.808	< 4.630	< 4.720			
87	98:3	A	< 9.99	< 9.92	< 9.96			
30		DL	< 4.01 µg/mL	< 4.01 µg/mL	< 4.01 μg/mL (< 2.80 μg/g)			
88		C	< 9.41	< 9.27	< 9.34			
89		D	< 9.72	< 9.65	< 9.69			
8	98:4	۷	< 9.54	< 9.56	< 9.55			
91		B	< 9.67	< 9.68	< 9.68			
92		с С	< 9.47	< 9.46	< 9.47			
93		D	< 10.76	< 10.78	< 10.77			
41	99:1	Whole	109	121	115 ^{5,6}			
		Whole*	52	80	66 ⁵		_	

Table C-1. Tank 241-BY-108 Analytical Results: Lithium (3 sheets).

C-5

							000000000000000000000000000000000000000	
	1		Result	Duplicate	Mean	Overall Mean	82	Projected Inventory
ber ber	Core: Segment	Sub- segment	8/24	3/81	A8/8	#2/ 8	ĸ	kg
	99:2	A	< 52.08	< 54.10	< 53.09	Cont'd	Cont'd	Cont'd
		DL	< 3.75 μg/mL	< 3.75 µg/mL	 < 3.75 μg/mL (< 2.62 μg/g) 			
		D	54	49	526			
		D*	60		60			
	99:3	V	< 49.51	< 42.26	< 45.89			
		۸*	48	1	48			
		DL	< 3.75 μg/mL	< 3.75 µg/mL	< 3.75 μg/mL (< 2.62 μg/g)			
	99:4	V	< 55.71	< 51.20	< 53.46			
		۸*	< 57.80		< 57.80			
		В	127	171	1495			
		B*	< 55.71		< 55.71			
		с С	< 55.10	< 41.52	< 48.31			
	-	ť	< 57.36		< 57.36			
		D	< 60.73	< 68.49	< 64.61			
		D*	< 54.50		< 54.50			
	104:1	Whole	26.51	25.23	25.87		_	
						-	-	

Table C-1. Tank 241-BY-108 Analytical Results: Lithium (3 sheets).

C-6

WCH-SD-WM-ER-533 Rev. 0

						.(നാ		
						Uverall		Puriautad
2	{		Result	Duplicate	Mean	Mean	RSD	Inventory
Sample Number	Core: Segment	Sub- segment	µ 8/8	A8/8	8/8#	#8/8	8	kg .
2162	104:2	V	< 13.52	< 13.55	< 13.54	Cont'd	Cont'd	Cont'd
2163		В	< 13.59	< 15.00	< 14.30		_	
2164		с U	< 10.57	< 10.25	< 10.41			
2165	104:3	V	< 10.8	< 10.7	< 10.8			
2166		C	< 10.6	< 10.2	< 10.4		_	
2167		D	< 15.5	< 17.5	< 16.5			
2168	104:4	<	< 14.9	< 14.9	< 14.9	_		
2169		c	< 19.6	< 19.6	< 19.6			
2170		D	< 9.41	< 9.41	< 9.41			
2171	104:5	V	< 12.41	< 12.30	< 12.36			
2172		В	< 10.27	< 9.634	< 9.952			
2174		U	< 23.74	< 25.93	< 24.84			
2175		D	< 14.90	< 14.84	< 14.87			

Table C-1. Tank 241-BY-108 Analytical Results: Lithium (3 sheets).

۰.

Note: *Na₃O₂ - NaOH fusion

C-7

WARDEN TO THE								
Sample Number	Core: Segment	Sub	Result	Duplicate	Mean	Overall Mean	RSD	Projected Inventory
			8/81	pg/g	8/84	#8/8	8	kg
2484	98:1	Whole	< 622.9	< 641	< 632	N/A	N/A	N/A
1373	-	DL	< 6,450 µg/mL	< 6,450 µg/mL	< 6,450 µg/mL			
					$(< 4,510 \ \mu g/g)$			-
2490	98:2	A	< 677.6	< 670	< 674			
1427		DL	< 6,450 µg/mL	< 6,450 µg/mL	< 6,450 μg/mL (< 4,510 μg/g)			
2491		J	< 1,031	166 >	< 1,010			
2492		a	< 1,245	< 1,180	< 1,210			
2493	98:3	<	< 1,080	< 973	< 1,030			
1430		DL	< 6,450 µg/mL	< 6,450 µg/mL	< 6,450 μg/mL (< 4,510 μg/g)			
2494		C	< 461	< 468	< 465			
2495		D	< 723	< 695	< 709			
2496	98:4	V	< 850	< 818	< 834			
2497		В	< 583	< 553	< 568			
2498		С	< 1,320	< 1,280	< 1,300			
3707		D	< 521	< 551	< 536			
2536	104:1	Whole	< 1,550	< 1,550	< 1,550 ³			
558	104:2	A	< 3,330	< 1,420	< 2,380			
559		В	< 2,440	< 2,110	< 2,280			
560		C	< 1,760	< 1,760	< 1,760			

Table C-2. Tank 241-BY-108 Analytical Results: Bromide (2 sheets).

WCH-SD-WM-ER-533 Rev. 0

ole Core:	Sub-	Result	Duplicate	Mean	Overall Mean	RSD	Projected Inventory
Segment	t segment	µ2/8	µ8/8	\$/3 1	g/g#	8	kg .
104:3	A	< 1,470	< 1,470	< 1,470	Cont'd	Cont'd	Cont'd
	С	< 943	< 862	< 903			
	D	< 1,120	< 1,100	< 1,110			
104:4	A	< 3,370	< 3,610	< 3,490			
	С	< 2,480	< 2,380	< 2,430			
	D	< 342	< 342	< 342			
104:5	V	< 416	< 416	< 416		-	
	В	< 6,687	< 7,820	< 7,250			
	C	< 9,364	< 8,830	< 9,100			
	D	< 231	< 231	< 231			

Table C-2. Tank 241-BY-108 Analytical Results: Bromide (2 sheets).

WCH-SD-WM-ER-533 Rev. 0

This page intentionally left blank.

APPENDIX D

ANALYTICAL RESULTS FROM AUGUST 1994 AUGER SAMPLES

This page intentionally left blank.

D.1 SUMMARY OF RESULTS

As discussed in Section 3.4, auger samples were taken from risers 16 and 17 of tank 241-BY-108. Table D-1 summarizes these results.

Analyte or Measurement	Result ²	Mean
Examination of drainable liquids	No immiscible organic layer discernible	N/A
Organics by gas chromatograph/flame ionization detector	Normal paraffin hydrocarbons and tributyl phosphate not detected	N/A
Weight percent water	Riser 16 - 16 weight percent	13 weight percent
	Riser 17 upper - 14 weight percent	
	Riser 17 lower - 6 weight percent	
Total organic carbon	Riser 16 - 1,200 µg/g	875 μg/g
	Riser 17 upper - 600 µg/g	
	Riser 17 lower - 500 μ g/g	

Table D-1.	August	1994	Auger	Sample	Analytical	Results. ¹
			8	r		

Note:

¹Campbell et al. 1995a.

²Samples labeled as riser 16 originated from riser 1. Samples labeled as riser 17 originated from riser 12A.

This page intentionally left blank.